

2025 EU-WIDE STRESS TEST

METHODOLOGICAL NOTE 20

20 JANUARY 2025



Contents

List of tables	6
List of boxes	8
Abbreviations	10
1. Introduction	13
1.1. Background	13
1.2. Objectives of this note	13
1.3. Key aspects	14
1.3.1. Sample of banks	14
1.3.2. Scope of consolidation	14
1.3.3. Macroeconomic scenarios and risk type specific shocks	16
1.3.4. Time horizon and reference date	16
1.3.5. Regulatory regime and definition of capital	16
1.3.6. Hurdle rates	17
1.3.7. Accounting and tax regime	18
1.3.8. Static balance sheet assumption	18
1.3.9. Approach	19
1.3.10. Risk coverage	19
1.3.11. Process	20
1.3.12. Overview of the methodology by risk type	21
2. Credit risk	26
2.1. Overview	26
2.2. Scope	27
2.3. High-level assumptions and definitions	28
2.3.1. Definitions	28
2.3.2. Static balance sheet assumption	36
2.3.3. Asset classes	37
2.3.4. Reporting requirements	42
2.4. Impact on P&L	47
2.4.1. Starting point-in-time risk parameters (a hierarchy of approaches)	47
2.4.2. Projected point-in-time parameters (a hierarchy of approaches)	48
2.4.3. Calculation of non-performing assets and provisions	51
2.4.4. FX lending	57
2.5. Impact on REA, standardised REA, and IRB regulatory EL	58
	2



2.6.	REA for CCR	61
2.7.	Securitisation exposures	61
3M	larket risk, CCR losses and Valuation reserves	67
3.1.	Overview	67
3.2.	Scope	68
3.3.	High-level assumptions and definitions	69
3.3.1.	Proportionality	69
3.3.2.	Definitions	70
3.3.3.	Static balance sheet assumption	74
3.4.	Full revaluation of positions under partial or full fair value measurement	74
3.4.1.	Reference date and time horizon	74
3.4.2.	Scope of application of full revaluation	74
3.4.3.	Features of the full revaluation	75
3.4.4.	. Market risk factors	79
	Full revaluation of positions under partial or full fair value measurement: detail of optional ons for CA-Adv banks	82
3.5.	Treatment of valuation reserves	82
3.5.1.	CVA impact on P&L and exclusion of the DVA impact	83
3.5.2.	FuVa Impact on P&L	84
3.5.3.	Reserves for liquidity and model uncertainty	85
3.6.	Projections of client revenues for items held with a trading intent and NTI impact.	89
3.6.1.	. Client revenues for CA and CA-adv banks	89
3.6.2.	Client revenues for TE banks	89
3.6.3.	Baseline NTI	90
3.6.4.	Adverse NTI	91
3.7.	Counterparty credit risk losses	92
3.8.	Impact on REA	96
3.8.1.	Starting points	96
3.8.2.	Projections of MR REA	96
4N	II .	99
4.1.	Overview	99
4.2.	Scope 1	100
4.3.	High-level assumptions and definitions	L01
4.3.1.	. Definitions 1	L01
4.3.2.	Static balance sheet assumption	L03
4.3.3.	Treatment of maturing assets and liabilities 1	L04
4.3.4.	.Treatment of non-performing exposures	L04



4.3.5. Interest rate and currency shocks	108
4.3.6. Reporting requirements	108
4.4. Impact on P&L	115
4.4.1. High-level constraints	115
4.4.2. Projection of the components of the EIR	116
4.5. Treatment for held-for-trading positions	124
5. Conduct and other operational risks	126
5.1. Overview	126
5.2. Scope	127
5.3. High-level assumptions	127
5.3.1. Definitions	127
5.3.2. Reporting requirements	129
5.4. Impact on P&L	131
5.4.1. Conduct risk treatment	131
5.4.2. Treatment of other operational risks	135
5.4.3. Fall-back solution	136
5.5. Impact on capital requirements	137
6. Non-interest income, expenses and capital	138
6.1. Overview	138
6.2. Scope	139
6.3. High-level assumptions and definitions	141
6.3.1. Definitions	141
6.3.2. Approach	141
6.3.3. Reporting requirements	142
6.4. Impact on P&L and capital	142
6.4.1. NFCI, dividend income, and profit of investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates accounted for using the equity method	142
6.4.2. Administrative expenses, other main cost items and one-off adjustments	145
6.4.3. Dividends paid and distribution restrictions under Article 141 of the CRD	149
6.4.4. Tax treatment	152
6.4.5. Other P&L impact	154
6.4.6. Impact on capital	157
Annex I: Sample of banks	161
Annex II: Template overview	164
Annex III: Summary of information to be provided by banks	166
Annex IV: Summary of key constraints and other quantitative requirements	172



Annex V: Overview of the differences between CA, CA-adv and TE banks	
Annex VI: Requirements for banks applying nGAAP	180
Annex VII: Exposure by ETV bucket for STA portfolios	182
Annex VIII: Consistent reporting of NII variables at portfolio level	183
Annex IX: Additional proportionality elements for smaller banks	193
Annex X: Methodology for net fees and commission income projections.	195
Annex XI: Guidance on transitional adjustments under the fully loaded definition	200



List of tables

Table 1: Overview of the methodology by risk type	21
Table 2: Overview of IRB asset classes until 31 December 2024	
Table 3: Overview of STA asset classes until 31 December 2024	
Table 4: Overview of IRB asset classes, restated for entry into force for CRR3	40
Table 5: Overview of STA asset classes, restated for entry into force for CRR3	41
Table 6: Historical information to be provided for 2023-2024	45
Table 7: Starting point parameters to be provided for 2024	46
Table 8: FX lending threshold (per country of counterparty) — IRB asset classes, relevant for projections	57
Table 9: FX lending threshold (per country of counterparty) — STA asset classes, relevant for projections	58
Table 10: Overview of quantitative requirements and exemptions for proportionality groups or banks for the MR methodology	
Table 11: Definition of sensitivities	76
Table 12: Definition of CVA sensitivities	84
Table 13: Definition of FuVA sensitivities	85
Table 14: VaR assumptions for the calculation of the REA	97
Table 15: Mapping of the IRB credit risk asset class to the NII asset type	105
Table 16: Mapping of the STA credit risk asset class to the NII asset type	106
Table 17: Projection of conduct risk losses under the qualitative approach and in the adverse scenario — Illustration	133
Table 18: Sample of banks	161
Table 19: Overview of CSV templates	164
Table 20: Overview of TRA templates	165
Table 21: Credit risk (excluding securitisations) — information to be provided by banks	166
Table 22: Credit risk (securitisations) — qualitative information to be provided by banks	167
Table 23: Market risk, CCR losses and valuation reserves — qualitative information to be provi by banks	
Table 24: NII — qualitative information to be provided by banks	169



Fable 25: Conduct risk and other operational risk — qualitative information to be provided by panks	١70
Fable 26: Non-interest income, expenses and capital — qualitative information to be provided by panks	-
Fable 27: Credit risk (excluding securitisations) — key constraints and quantitative requirements1	
Fable 28: Credit risk (securitisations) — key constraints and quantitative requirements $oldsymbol{1}$	L73
Table 29: Market risk, counterparty credit risk losses and valuation reserves — key constraints an quantitative requirements	
Table 30: NII — key constraints and quantitative requirements	L 7 4
Fable 31: Conduct risk and other operational risk — key constraints and quantitative requiremen	
Fable 32: Non-interest income, expenses and capital — key constraints and quantitative requirements	L77
Table 33: Fields in credit risk templates to be populated by banks applying nGAAPs1	L80
Table 34: Treatment of exposures secured by mortgages on immovable property1	L82
Table 35: Guidance on transitional arrangements under the fully loaded definition2	200



List of boxes

Box 1: Summary of key assumptions for projection under IFRS 9	26
Box 2: Summary of the constraints on banks' projections of credit risk	27
Box 3: Development of the stock of provisions	52
Box 4: Provisions for new S1 exposures	52
Box 5: Provisions for existing S1 exposures	53
Box 6: Provisions for new S2 exposures	54
Box 7: Provisions for existing S2 exposures	55
Box 8: Provisions for new S3 exposures	55
Box 9: Provisions for existing S3 exposures	56
Box 10: REA estimation for defaulted exposures	60
Box 11: Mapping of securitisation exposures	64
Box 12: Summary of the constraints on banks' projections of market risk	67
Box 13: Constraint on the full revaluation of CA and CA-adv banks for items that are he trading intent and their related hedges (TI&RH)	
Box 14: Treatment of risk factors	80
Box 15: Application of the liquidity and model uncertainty shock	87
Box 16: Description of the computation of client revenues under the adverse scenario	90
Box 17: Definition of the baseline NTI	91
Box 18: NTI under the adverse scenario	91
Box 19: Algorithm for identifying and defaulting CCR exposures	94
Box 20: Calculation of projections of NII	99
Box 21: Application of the materiality threshold on the currency/country breakdown re	equested 114
Box 22: Cap on NII under the adverse scenario	115
Box 23: Development of the margin paid on new liabilities	120
Box 24: Development of the margin earned on new assets	123
Box 25: Summary of the constraints on banks' projections of conduct risk and other or risks	
Box 26: Floor for conduct risk losses for non-material conduct events	134



Box 27: Floor for conduct risk losses for material conduct events in the quality assurance process	
Box 28: Floor for the projection of other operational risk losses	136
Box 29: Fall-back solution for conduct risk and other operational risk losses	136
Box 30: Summary of the constraints on banks' projections of non-interest income, expenses and capital	
Box 31: Constraints for the calculation of dividend income and the share of the profit of investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates accounted for using the equity method	
Box 32: Overview of the differences between CA banks and trading exemption banks for the full revaluation on all assets and liabilities at partial or full fair value	
Box 33: Application of the model overlay and calculation of final growth rate parameters transmitted to banks	199



Abbreviations

A-IRB	advanced internal ratings-	CRM	credit risk mitigation
АВСР	based (approach) asset-backed commercial paper	CRR	Capital Requirements Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 applicable until 31 December 2024
ABS	asset-backed security		Capital Requirements
ALM	asset and liability management	CRR3	Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 version applicable on 1
AMA	advanced measurement approach		January 2025
APAC	Asia-Pacific	CSV	calculation support and validation
APR	all price risk	CVA	credit valuation adjustment
AVA	additional valuation adjustment	DGS	Deposit Guarantee Scheme
ВоҮ	beginning of the year	DGSD	Deposit Guarantee Scheme Directive 2014/49/EU
bps	basis points	DTA	deferred tax asset
BRRD	Bank Recovery and Resolution	DTC	deferred tax credit
	Directive 2014/59/EU	DTL	deferred tax liability
CA	comprehensive approach	DVA	debt valuation adjustment
CCF	credit conversion factor	EaR	earnings at risk
ССР	central counterparty	EBA	European Banking Authority
CCR	counterparty credit risk	ECA!	external credit assessment
CDO	collateralised debt obligation	ECAI	institution
CDS	credit default swap	ECB	European Central Bank
CET1	Common Equity Tier 1	ECL	expected credit losses
CMBS	commercial mortgage-backed security	EIR	effective interest rate
CODED	·	EL	expected loss
COREP	common reporting framework	EMEA	Europe, the Middle East and
CoC	closed-out-costs		Africa
cqs	credit quality step	EoY	end of the year
CRD	Capital Requirements Directive 2013/36/EU	ESRB	European Systemic Risk Board
CDE		EU	European Union
CRE	Commercial real estate	F-IRB	Foundation IRB



FINREP	financial reporting framework	MDA	Maximum Distributable
FRTB	Fundamental review of the		Amount
	trading book	MPU	Market price uncertainty
FRTB- ASA	FRTB - alternative standardised approach	NFCI	net fee and commission income
FRTB- AIMA	FRTB - alternative internal model approach	nGAAP	national accounting framework based on EU Bank Accounts Directive (BAD)
FTE	Full-time equivalent		(86/635/EEC)
FuVA	Funding valuation adjustment	NII	net interest income
FVO	fair value option (designated at fair value through profit or	NPE	non-performing exposure
110	loss — as defined in IFRS 9)	NPL	non-performing loan
	fair value reported in other	NTI	net trading income
FVOCI	comprehensive income (as defined in IFRS 9)	OCI	other comprehensive income
	fair value through profit or	P&L	profit and loss (account)
FVPL	loss (as defined in IFRS 9)	PD	probability of default
FX	Forex	PGS	Public Guarantee Scheme
GSII	Globally systemically important institutions	REA	risk exposure amount (risk- weighted exposure amount)
HFT	held for trading (as defined in	RF	Resolution Fund
	IFRS 9)	RI	relevant indicator
IFRIC	International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee	RMBS	residential mortgage-backed security
	International Financial	RNIME	risks not in the model engine
IFRS	Reporting Standards	RRAO	Residual risk add-on
IPS	Institutional Protection	RW	risk weight
IF 3	Schemes	S1/S2/S3	stage 1/stage 2/stage 3
IRB	internal ratings-based (approach)	SEC-ERBA	securitisation – external ratings-based approach
IRC	incremental risk charge	SEC-IAA	securitisation – internal
L1/L2/L3	level 1/level 2/level 3	SEC-IAA	assessment approach
LGD	loss given default	SEC-IRBA	securitisation – internal ratings-based approach
LGD_REG	regulatory loss given default		securitisation – standardised
LR	loss rates	SEC-SA	approach
LTV	Loan-to-Value	SBA	sensitivity-based approach



SFTs	securities financing	SVaR	stressed value at risk
	transactions	TE	trading exemption
SICR	significant increase in credit risk	TI&RH	items held with a trading intent and their related
SMEs	small and medium-sized		hedges
••	enterprises	TR	transition rates
SREP	supervisory review and evaluation process	TRA	Transparency
SRT	significant risk transfer	TDEA	The total risk exposure amount of the institution in
SSM	Single Supervisory Mechanism	TREA	accordance with Article 92(3) of the CRR3
STA	standardised approach		The un-floored total risk
STREA	The standardised total risk exposure amount of the entity calculated in accordance with Article 92(5)	UTREA	exposure amount of the entity calculated in accordance with Article 92(4) of CRR3
	of CRR3	VaR	value at risk
STS	simple, transparent and standardised		



1. Introduction

1.1. Background

- 1. The EBA is required, in cooperation with the ESRB, to initiate and coordinate EU-wide stress tests to assess the resilience of financial institutions to adverse market developments.
- 2. The objective of the EU-wide stress test is to provide supervisors, banks and other market participants with a common analytical framework to consistently compare and assess the resilience of EU banks and the EU banking system to shocks, and to challenge the capital position of EU banks. The exercise is based on a common methodology, internally consistent and relevant scenarios, and a set of templates that capture starting point data and stress test results to allow a rigorous assessment of the banks in the sample.
- 3. In particular, it is designed to inform the SREP carried out by competent authorities. The disclosure of granular data on a bank-by-bank level is meant to facilitate market discipline and also serves as a common ground on which competent authorities base their assessments.

1.2. Objectives of this note

- 4. This document describes the common methodology that defines how banks should calculate the stress impact of the common scenarios and, at the same time, sets constraints for their bottom-up calculations. In addition to setting these requirements, it aims to provide banks with adequate guidance and support for performing the EU-wide stress test. This guidance does not cover the quality assurance process or possible supervisory measures that should be put in place following the outcome of the stress test.
- 5. The templates used for collecting data from the banks, as well as for publicly disclosing the outcome of the exercise, are an integral part of this document. In addition, this document should be read in conjunction with any additional guidance provided by the EBA on templates, methodology, scenarios and processes.
- 6. The note also lists components of banks' projections for which banks are required to provide additional information in accompanying documents (e.g. on the methods applied) as input to the quality assurance process. A summary of the minimum information requirements in this respect is provided in Annex III.



1.3. Key aspects

1.3.1. Sample of banks

- 7. The EU-wide stress test exercise is carried out on a sample of banks covering broadly 75% of the banking sector in the euro area, each non-euro area EU Member State and Norway, as expressed in terms of total consolidated assets as of end 2023. Since the EU-wide stress test is run at the highest level of consolidation, lower representativeness is accepted for countries with a wide presence of subsidiaries of non-domestic EU banks.
- 8. To be included in the sample, banks have to have a minimum of EUR 30 bn. Notwithstanding the minimum threshold, competent authorities could, at their discretion, request to include additional institutions in their jurisdiction.
- 9. Banks that enter the sample after broadly 70% coverage of the banking sector in the euro area, each non-euro area EU Member State and Norway is reached could apply additional proportionality elements, when interpreting the methodological note. In addition, banks with assets below EUR 50 bn could apply additional proportionality elements. The additional proportionality elements are explained in Annex IX and could be, therefore, applied when either of the two criteria is met.
- 10.Banks subject to mandatory restructuring plans agreed by the European Commission could be included in the sample by competent authorities if they were assessed to be near the completion of the plans. Banks under restructuring are subject to the same methodology and assumptions as other banks in the sample.
- 11.Banks with specific business models could be excluded from the sample of banks, if the EU-wide stress methodology is identified as less suitable for assessing banks resilience and capital adequacy. Banks could also be excluded from the sample, in case they take part in a merger or are acquired by another bank, as long as such corporate action is confirmed before the start of the exercise and has a significant impact on bank's balance sheet structure or business operations. Such exclusions are approved by the EBA Board of Supervisors and are disclosed in Annex I.
- 12. The list of participating banks is given in Annex I.

1.3.2. Scope of consolidation

13. The exercise is run at the highest level of consolidation. The scope of consolidation is the perimeter of the banking group as defined by the CRR/CRD.



- 14. Insurance activities are therefore excluded from the balance sheet, the P&L and OCI. Institutions may be permitted to not deduct the holdings of own funds instruments of an insurance company if this has been previously agreed with their competent authority based on Article 49 of the CRR however, this cannot be applied solely for the purpose of the EU-wide stress test. In case the contributions of insurance activities are included in the balance sheet, P&L or OCI, they need to be projected in line with the baseline and adverse scenario.
- 15.In case of major events having affected the scope of consolidation and/or the bank's structure before the launch of the exercise, banks may be allowed to use pro-forma data to reflect these major events in the caps and floors prescribed in the methodological note. This will be the case only for those P&L items affected by caps or floors based on historical information (i.e. end-of-year 2024 or the years before). For such constraints, banks may be allowed to use pro-forma data if the event is in line with the scope of this section.
- 16.Pro-forma data may be introduced for the year in which the event happened and for any preceding year, depending on the amount of years of data needed for the calculation of the constraint. The adjustment will only be allowed for single events resulting in an impact of more than 12.5% in total assets. For the purpose of identifying banks eligible for pro-forma data, an event can be defined as "single" if it takes place in the same calendar year as the strategic decision and subsequent to that decision. Pro-forma data are to be used in case of sudden and significant events, which distort annual caps and floors in the EBA Stress Test Methodology for P&L items, but not for gradual changes stretched over multiple periods through implementation in steps or happening distantly. Note that if the strategic decision is formally taken (e.g. contract is signed) in t-1 but the effects on the B/S and P&L are not realised until year t, then t is considered as the "calendar year" for any resulting events.
- 17.Banks are permitted to use pro-forma data only for a selected list of events that are considered affecting the banks' scope of consolidation and/or banks' structure so that the financial statements are no longer showing a representative view of the bank. The list is included below:
 - Mergers;
 - Acquisitions;
 - · Spin-off of relevant business units;
 - Divestments;
 - Transfer of assets/liabilities¹.

¹ A transfer of assets/liabilities is a legally relevant event (transfer) between two parties (transfer parties) according to which one party (transferee) replaces another counterparty (transferor) as the counterparty to a contract or a group of contracts where (i) there is an institution participating in the stress test (participating institution) such that either the transferor or the transferee but not both are included in the participating institution's scope of consolidation relevant for



- 18. Competent authorities will present to the EBA a list of the relevant cases above the materiality threshold before the first submission date. Only the cases in line with the scope of this section will be considered, so that the bank would be allowed to adjust the historical data for calculation of the specific constraints in its submissions.
- 19.If the event is recognised, the bank may be asked to submit a set of relevant information to the competent authority for the calculation of the adjustment.
- 20. No adjustment to historical constraints will be permitted for the cases not proposed or not recognised.

1.3.3. Macroeconomic scenarios and risk type specific shocks

- 21. The exercise assesses the resilience of EU banks under a common macroeconomic baseline scenario and a common adverse scenario. The scenarios cover the period of 2025-2027.
- 22. The application of the market risk methodology is based on a common set of stressed market parameters, calibrated from the macroeconomic scenario.
- 23. The credit risk methodology includes a prescribed increase in REA for securitisation exposures, as well as prescribed shocks to credit risk losses for sovereign exposures.

1.3.4. Time horizon and reference date

24. The exercise is carried out on the basis of year-end 2024 figures, and the scenarios will be applied over a period of 3 years from 2025 to 2027.

1.3.5. Regulatory regime and definition of capital

25. Banks shall consider the regulatory framework that was brought into force and applicable as of 31 December 2024 when reporting starting points as of end-2024. This includes decisions taken by competent authorities regarding the application of the CRR/CRD that were applicable before 1 January 2025. For the restated figures as of 31 December 2024 and for the projections over 2025-2027, banks shall apply CRR3/CRD6, including decisions taken by competent authorities regarding its application announced prior to the cut-off date of the exercise (31 December 2024) that enter into force as of 1 January 2025. Buffer rates applicable over the horizon must reflect all decisions announced by competent authorities prior to the cut-off date of the exercise (31

the stress test and where (ii) the transfer is reflected in the balance sheet (i.e. derecognition or recognition according to applicable accounting principles) of that participating institution as reported for the scope of consolidation relevant for the stress test.



December 2024).² Banks are required to refer to the dedicated ESRB file on applicable capital buffer rates circulated at the launch of the exercise. Banks are not required to anticipate other changes to the regulatory framework.³

- 26. The impact of the EU-wide stress test will be reported in terms of CET1 capital. In addition, the Tier 1 capital ratio and total capital ratio, as well as the leverage ratio, will be reported for every year of the exercise.
- 27. Capital ratios shall be calculated on a transitional basis, i.e. including transitional arrangements according to the official schedule, and on a fully loaded basis. Capital components subject to transitional arrangements are reported separately and will be publicly disclosed. National discretions included in the CRR/CRD that were applicable before 1 January 2025 apply unless specified otherwise, as well as those related to CRR3/CRD6 announced prior to the cut-off date of the exercise (31 December 2024) that enter into force as of 1 January 2025.
- 28. The use of new internal models and modifications of existing internal models is mandatory as long as these are approved by the competent authority and effectively implemented by 31 December 2024.
- 29. Neither the use of new internal models nor modifications of existing internal models approved or implemented after 31 December 2024 are to be considered for the calculation of the REA. Exceptionally, for banks transitioning between different regulatory treatments (e.g. from internal models to standardised approach or vice versa) following supervisory approval of the transition and its effective implementation by Q1 2025, the competent authority may grant permission to conduct the stress test under the new regulatory regime, including a restatement of starting point REA, where needed. Such permission may be granted subject to the stress test execution timelines set out by the competent authority and may give rise to additional quality assurance and/or additional data reporting requirements.

1.3.6. Hurdle rates

30.No hurdle rates or capital thresholds are defined for the purpose of the exercise. However, competent authorities will apply stress test results as an input to the SREP in line with the EBA Guidelines on common procedures and methodologies for the SREP and supervisory stress testing.4

² Decisions announced by competent authorities but not effective at the cut-off date of the exercise (31 December 2024) and applicable over the horizon should be also reflected in the buffer rates applied in the EU-wide stress test. In particular this applies in the case of any phasing-in/out of buffer rates occurring during the horizon.

³ Accordingly, national measures aimed at addressing macroprudential or systemic risk identified at the level of a Member State based on Article 458 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013, which are effective at the cut-off date, shall apply for the whole projection horizon regardless of their expiration dates. If modifications of measures in place already on 31/12/2024 enter into force on 1/1/2025, to account for the entry into force of CRR3, the modified measures as of 1/1/2025 should be considered.

⁴ EBA/GL/2022/03.



1.3.7. Accounting and tax regime

- 31.All balance sheet and P&L projections over the years 2025-2027 shall be carried out on the basis of the applicable accounting regime valid on 31 December 2024.
- 32.Banks are not required to anticipate other changes to the accounting and tax regimes that come into effect after the launch of the exercise. The regimes that are valid as at the launch of the exercise should be applied during every year of the time horizon of the stress test. However, for the purpose of the EU-wide stress test, banks are asked to apply a common simplified tax rate of 30%. Historical values until end-2024 should be reported based on the regimes that were valid for the corresponding reporting dates unless banks were required to restate their public accounts.

1.3.8. Static balance sheet assumption

- 33.The EU-wide stress test is conducted on the assumption of a static balance sheet. This assumption applies on a solo, sub-consolidated and consolidated basis for both the baseline and the adverse scenario. Assets and liabilities that mature or amortise within the time horizon of the exercise should be replaced with similar financial instruments in terms of type, currency, credit quality at date of maturity, and original maturity as at the start of the exercise. No workout or cure of S3 assets is assumed in the exercise. In particular, no capital measures taken after the reference date 31 December 2024 are to be assumed.
- 34. Furthermore, in the exercise, it is assumed that banks maintain the same business mix and model (in terms of geographical range, product strategies and operations) throughout the time horizon. With respect to the P&L revenues and costs, assumptions made by banks should be in line with the constraints of zero growth and a stable business mix.
- 35.The static balance sheet assumption should also be assumed for assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the domestic (reporting) currency i.e. assets and liabilities remain fixed in the reporting currency, except for the items where stated explicitly. If the euro is not the reporting currency, all stock projections should be translated by applying the exchange rate as of 31 December 2024. In particular, FX effects should not have an impact on the projection of REA (with the exception of the market risk methodology). Constraints regarding the impact on P&L items are defined in section 3, 4 and 6.
- 36. There are no exemptions from the static balance sheet assumption. In particular, it also applies to those institutions subject to mandatory restructuring plans formally agreed with the European Commission that are included in the sample at the request of the competent authority (see paragraph 10). Similarly, any divestments, capital measures or other transactions that were



not completed before 31 December 2024, even if they were agreed upon before this date, should not be taken into account in the projections.⁵

37. Selected completed capital measures, i.e. the raising, repayment or conversion of capital instruments as well as significant losses realised between 1 January and 31 March 2025 shall be reported 'below the line' on a separate template (CSV_CAPMEAS) and will be publicly disclosed. Capital measures finalised during this time may be included in this template at any of the three submission dates of the EBA stress test. If the information provided by the bank has changed after one of the first submissions, data in that template should be amended in the next submission as long as the issuance was fully completed by the 31 March 2025. However, these events will not have an impact on the stress test result in terms of capital ratios for the relevant banks.

1.3.9. Approach

38. The approach of the exercise is a constrained bottom-up stress test with some top-down elements. Banks are required to project the impact of the defined scenarios using own models but subject to strict constraints and to a thorough review by competent authorities. For the projections of net fee and commission income, risk weights of securitisations, and the credit loss path of sovereign exposures, banks are required to make use of prescribed parameters. Projections of interest rate margin components should follow the outcome of prescribed formulas.

1.3.10. Risk coverage

39. The EU-wide stress test is primarily focused on the assessment of the impact of risk drivers on the solvency of banks. Banks are required to stress the following common set of risks:

- Credit risk, including securitisations;
- Market risk, CCR and CVA;
- Operational risk, including conduct risk.

assets/liabilities and for the year 2024 for the respective revenues/costs.

40.In addition to the risks listed above, banks are required to project the effect of the scenarios on NII and to stress P&L and capital items not covered by other risk types.

⁵ Accordingly, discontinued operations which have not been disposed by the 31 December 2024 shall be included in full in scope of the stress test exercise and treated in the respective risk section of the methodology. Hence, assets/liabilities classified as discontinued operations have to be reported consistent with other assets/liabilities of the bank, and revenues and costs associated to these assets/liabilities must be reflected on both 2024 and the projection years. Bank shall provide in the explanatory note detailed analytical information on the allocation of discontinued operations within the templates and a complete reconciliation to the discontinued operations as reported in ITS data as of 31 December 2024 for the



41. The risks arising from sovereign exposures are covered in credit risk and in market risk, depending on their accounting treatment.

1.3.11. Process

- 42. The process for running the EU-wide stress test involves close cooperation between the EBA, the national competent authorities and the ECB, as well as the ESRB:
 - The adverse macroeconomic scenario and any risk type specific shocks linked to the scenario are developed by the ESRB and the ECB in close cooperation with competent authorities, the EBA and national central banks. In particular, the ECB supplies the macroeconomic baseline scenario.
 - The EBA coordinates the exercise, defines the common methodology as well as the
 minimum quality assurance guidance for competent authorities, and hosts a central
 question and answer facility. The EBA acts as a data hub for the final dissemination of the
 common exercise. The EBA also provides common descriptive statistics to competent
 authorities for the purpose of consistency checks based on banks' submissions.
 - Competent authorities are responsible for conveying to banks the instructions on how to complete the exercise and for receiving information directly from banks. Competent authorities are also responsible for the quality assurance process e.g. for validating banks' data and stress test results based on bottom-up calculations, as well as for reviewing the models applied by banks for this purpose. Competent authorities, under their responsibilities, may also run the EU-wide stress test on samples beyond the one used for the EU-wide stress test, and may also carry out additional national stress tests. They are also responsible for the supervisory reaction function and for the incorporation of the findings from the EU-wide exercise into the SREP.
- 43. The results of the EU-wide stress test on a bank-by-bank basis and in the form of aggregated analyses and reports are published by the EBA using common disclosure templates.



1.3.12. Overview of the methodology by risk type

Table 1: Overview of the methodology by risk type

Section	Scope	Impact on P&L and OCI	Impact on REA	Key constraints
Credit risk	P&L: amortised cost; sovereign positions included; CCR and fair value positions excluded	Banks' internal models based on stressed point-in-time PD and LGD parameters and grade migration	CRR requirements based on stressed PD and LGD parameters	No release of accumulated provisions for S3 exposures permitted
	REA: CRR scope for credit risk including REA: CRR scope for credit risk including performing exposures entering into S3	reflecting the losses of initially performing exposures entering into S.	Impact on output floor via the projections of credit risk STREA.	No cures from S3 assets, i.e. no transitions from S3 to S2 or S1
	securitisations; CCR and fair value positions included	as well as the losses linked to initially S1 exposures that enter into S2 and become subject to lifetime ECL	Prescribed stressed risk weights applied to securitisation positions	REA floored at 2024 value (separately by regulatory approach)
		Additional impact — for initially S3 defaulted assets based on worsening	ional impact — for initially S3	REA for securitisations floored at 2024 value separately for each securitisation regulatory approach
		LGD		The REA used for the calculation of the output floor for securitization exposures reported under the SEC-IRBA
		Additional impact — for initially S2 assets based on worsening LGD and lifetime PD		and SEC-IAA treatment shall follow the same fixed risk weight increase as for the exposures reported under the SEC-IRBA and SEC-IAA treatment for the calculation
		Prescribed loss parameters for sovereign exposures		of the bank's UTREA.



Section	Scope	Impact on P&L and OCI	Impact on REA	Key constraints
Market risk, CCR and CVA	P&L: Amortised cost in hedge- accounting relation, FVPL, FVOCI, Mandatory or optional items at FV; CCR exposures, positions subject to CVA accounting. REA: market risk and CVA.	Banks' own projections for client revenues for their positions held with a trading intent. CA and CA-Adv banks: full revaluation to all asset categories with full or partial fair value measurement under IFRS 9. TE banks: revaluation of all assets and liabilities with a full or partial fair value behaviour except items held with a trading intent and their related hedges. Special treatment for L2 and L3 instruments to take into account liquidity and modelling uncertainty. Default of the three most vulnerable of the 10 largest stressed CCR exposures net of stressed collateral.	Constant for STA approaches. VaR constant in the baseline and replaced by SVaR in the adverse. Stressed IRC and SA-CVA capital requirements. BA-CVA constant in the adverse scenario. APR constant in the baseline and scaled in the adverse.	No impact for the baseline scenario. Prescribed simplified approach for TE banks: impact of FVPL positions held with a trading intent and their related hedges is set equal to zero. HfT Floor: for CA and CA-adv banks, the impact of the full revaluation is floored based on FRTB-ASA REA figures. NTI baseline values prescribed as the minimum of the averages across the last 2, 3, and 5 years (the 2-year average floored at 0). Banks' own projections for client revenues capped at 80% of average client revenues over the past three years. REA for IRC and CVA floored at the increase for IRB REA.



Section	Scope	Impact on P&L and OCI	Impact on REA	Key constraints
NII	P&L: all interest-earning or interest-paying positions across all accounting categories. Held-for-trading positions and their related hedges are excluded from the scope of the NII methodology and are treated separately. However, Economic hedges are in scope of the NII.	Banks' NII projections based on the repricing/replacement of their portfolio. Separate projections for margin and reference rates. NII from held-for-trading instruments, except for Economic Hedges, is projected separately in line with recent historical performance. Impact in the NII includes FX variations.	N/A	Under the adverse scenario, assumptions cannot lead (at group level) to an increase in the bank's NII compared with the 2024 value before considering the impact of the increase of provisions for non-performing exposures on interest income. The income on non-performing exposures is calculated net of provisions, and under the adverse scenario subject to a cap on the applicable EIR at aggregate level. Under the baseline scenario, banks are required to reflect a proportion of the changes in the sovereign bond spread of the country of exposure in the margin component of the EIR of their repriced liabilities. Under the adverse scenario, the margin paid on liabilities must increase at the highest amount between a proportion of the increase in the sovereign spread and that of an idiosyncratic component. Under both the baseline and the adverse scenario, the increase of the margin on repriced assets is equal to a proportion of the increase in sovereign spreads.



Section	Scope	Impact on P&L and OCI	Impact on REA	Key constraints
Conduct risk and other operational risks	P&L: impact of potential future losses arising from conduct risk and other operational risks REA: CRR scope for operational risk	Banks' own estimations Specific approach based on qualitative guidance and additional reporting requirements for material conduct events Losses calculated as a function of gross earnings (the relevant indicator) as a fall-back approach in case banks are unable to provide historical data	Banks are required to restate total capital requirements for operational risk at the starting point according to the CRR3, including providing transitional capital requirements, if they apply the Alternative Standardised Approach according to CRR3 Art. 314 (3 and 4). Total capital requirements stay constant and equal to the restated starting point.	Losses from new conduct risk events are subject to a floor, computed in the baseline scenario as the average of the historical conduct risk losses reported by the bank during the 2020-2024 period for non-material events only. A more conservative floor in the adverse scenario is achieved by applying a stress multiplier to the average Other operational risk losses are subject to a floor computed in the baseline scenario as the average of the historical losses during the 2020-2024 period times a multiplier. A more conservative floor in the adverse scenario is achieved by applying a stress multiplier to the average Capital requirements for operational risk stay constant and equal to the restated starting point (restated according to CRR3).



Section Sco	cope	Impact on P&L and OCI	Impact on REA	Key constraints
income, expenses no	&L and capital items ot in scope of risk rpes or NII	Banks' own estimates, but subject to constraints for specific P&L items Market risk methodology and macroeconomic shocks applied for non-financial assets and defined benefit pension plans Impact on NFCI is projected using prescribed growth rate parameters Impact in NIEC includes FX variations in administrative expenses and NFCI	N/A	'Dividend income' and the 'share of the profit of investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates outside the scope of consolidation' cannot exceed the 2024 level in the baseline, while a minimum reduction of net income from each item compared with 2024 is prescribed for the cumulative projections in the adverse scenario. In the baseline scenario, NFCI growth rate parameters are subject to a floor. In the adverse scenario NFCI growth rate parameters are subject to a cap and a floor. NFCI in the adverse scenario includes FX variations to the starting point. 'Other remaining administrative expenses', 'remaining other operating expenses', 'depreciation', and 'other provisions or reversals of provisions' cannot fall below the 2024 value, unless an adjustment for one-offs is permitted. 'Cash contributions to resolution funds and deposit guarantee schemes' cannot fall below the 2024 value except for the contributions to the building-up of national DGSs. One-off adjustments are subject to a threshold of 5bps of 2024 REA. Other remaining administrative expenses include FX variations Common tax rate of 30% applied No P&L contribution for realised gains or losses, derecognition, goodwill, FX effects other than on 'NFCI' and 'Other remaining administrative expenses' Other operating income capped at the 2024 value. Operating leasing income is subject to a minimum reduction of 10% compared with 2024 in the adverse scenario. For dividends paid: pay-out ratio based on publicly declared dividend policies. If no policy is available, the pay-out ratio in the baseline is the maximum of 30% and the median of the pay-out ratios in profitable years 2020-2024; in the adverse, the same pay-out ratio as in the baseline scenario shall be assumed (0 accepted in years in which a bank is making losses)



2. Credit risk

2.1. Overview

- 44.Banks are required to translate the credit risk impact of the macroeconomic scenarios on both the capital available i.e. via impairments and thus the P&L and the REA for positions exposed to risks stemming from the default of counterparties. Banks are required to make use of their models considering a number of conservative constraints.
- 45. The estimation of credit impairments requires the use of statistical methods and includes the following main steps: (i) estimating starting values of the risk parameters, (ii) estimating the impact of the scenarios on the risk parameters, and (iii) computing changes in the stock of provisions that will drive the P&L impact.
- 46.Banks are required to forecast credit impairments resulting from the materialisation of two separate scenarios (baseline and adverse) on the basis of IFRS 9 as prescribed in the methodology laid down in this section unless they are subject to nGAAP.⁶ Considering the wide range of practices used by banks for the implementation of IFRS 9, Box 1 below lists a number of key assumptions to be used in the context of the stress test exercise.

Box 1: Summary of key assumptions for projection under IFRS 9

- The projection of provisions is based on a single scenario in each macroeconomic scenario (baseline and adverse) (paragraph 138).
- Perfect foresight on macroeconomic projections is assumed, i.e. banks should assume the subsequent path of a variable to be known in line with the scenario for the remaining lifetime and possible workout period of the exposure (paragraph 132).
- For S1 and S2 exposures, and for the purpose of estimating the respective ECL after the end of the scenario horizon, the adverse scenario credit risk parameters (i.e. stage transition probabilities and the corresponding loss rates across stages) are assumed to revert to the 2027 baseline credit risk parameters. A linear 6-year reversion is assumed. For S1 and S2 exposures, the baseline credit risk parameters are assumed to stay flat after the end of the scenario horizon (paragraph 133).
- For S3 exposures, both the adverse and the baseline credit risk parameters assume a flat profile for the macroeconomic variables after the end of the scenario horizon (paragraph 132).

⁶ In this case, the requirements stated under Annex VI shall be adhered to.



- A common definition of S3 assets as non-performing exposures is applied for the starting point and for the projections (paragraph 56).
- 47. For the estimation of credit risk REA and standardised REA according to point (a) of paragraph 5 of Article 92 of CRR3, banks are required to adhere to regulatory requirements based on stressed regulatory risk parameters (section 2.5).
- 48. For securitisation exposures, banks are required to project specific credit risk adjustments based on the risk parameters of the underlying pool. For the estimation of REA, a fixed risk weight increase will be applied to the different credit quality steps (section 2.7). For the calculation of the standardised REA for securitisations according to point (a) of paragraph 5 of Article 92 of the CRR3, it is assumed that the same fixed risk weight increases are applied.
- 49.Banks' projections are subject to the constraints summarised in Box 2.

Box 2: Summary of the constraints on banks' projections of credit risk

- No cures from S3 exposures are permitted (paragraph 90), i.e. the only acceptable transitions are from stage 1 to stage 2, stage 2 to stage 1, stage 1 to stage 3 or stage 2 to stage 3.
- No release of accumulated provisions for any given S3 exposure is permitted over the scenario horizon (paragraph 150).
- The end-2024 level of REA before the application of the methodological floor (unfloored), restated for the application of CRR3, serves as a methodological floor for the total unfloored REA for non-defaulted and defaulted exposures in the baseline and adverse scenarios. This floor is applied separately to overall aggregate IRB and STA portfolios. The methodological floor does not apply to STREA (paragraph 161).
- For securitisation exposures, the end-2024 level of REA before the methodological floor (unfloored), restated for the application of CRR3, serves as a methodological floor for the total unfloored REA separately for SEC-IRBA, SEC-SA, SEC-ERBA and SEC-IAA (paragraph 195).
- The fixed risk weight increase of the standardised REA for SEC-IRBA and SEC-IAA will be equal to the fixed risk weight increase of the unfloored REA of SEC-IRBA and SEC-IAA.

2.2. Scope

50. For the estimation of the P&L impact, the scope of this section covers all counterparties (e.g. sovereigns, institutions, financial and non-financial firms, and households) and all positions (including on-balance and off-balance positions) exposed to risks stemming from the default of a counterparty, except for exposures subject to CCR and fair value positions (FVOCI and FVPL) which are subject to the market risk approach for the estimation of the P&L effect (or through



- capital, via OCI, for FVOCI) as stated in section 3. For the avoidance of doubt, FVOCI and FVPL positions are excluded from the estimation of credit risk losses.
- 51.Hedge-accounting hedges related to positions within the scope of this section can be considered only to the extent that they are already reflected in CRM or substitution effects as of the reference date. Additionally, they should also be treated as explained in section 3.2.
- 52. Conversely, the estimation of REA follows the CRR/CRD definition of credit risk. Therefore, exposures subject to CCR and fair value positions (FVOCI and FVPL) are to be included. Banks shall estimate the impact of the two scenarios on the risk weighted exposure amounts for credit risk for the purpose of calculating the STREA.
- 53. Specific requirements for securitisation positions are separately covered in section 2.7.
- 54. The methodology described in this section also applies to the capital charge for IRC (see section 3.8).

2.3. High-level assumptions and definitions

- 55. The credit risk methodology is based on the following high-level assumptions:
 - The exposure transitions between the three impairment stages defined in IFRS 9 need to be projected for each year.
 - For exposures in S2 and S3, banks are expected to provide stressed lifetime expected loss rates.
 - The ECL calculation for S1 and S2 is performed based on the impairment stage where the exposures are at the end of each year, incorporating forward-looking risk parameters (i.e. parameters estimated for the next year).
 - The ECL calculation for S3 exposures is performed incorporating the same year's risk parameters.
 - A perfect foresight approach is adopted for the calculation of LGD/LR and lifetime ECL, whereby the full macroeconomic scenarios should be treated as known when calculating expected credit losses.

2.3.1. Definitions

56.Banks are required to provide starting point values for 2024 for actual and "restated" for the application of the CRR3 including figures for the beginning, within, and end-of year for 2024,



and projected figures for the scenario horizon, split between S1, S2 and S3 exposures, as per the IFRS 9 regulation:⁷

- S1 exposures are, as stated in IFRS 9 5.5.5, those whose credit risk has not increased significantly since initial recognition at the reporting date and for which an entity shall measure the loss allowance at an amount equal to 12-month expected credit losses.
- **S2** exposures are those whose credit risk has increased significantly since initial recognition at the reporting date and for which the entity shall measure loss allowance at an amount equal to the lifetime expected credit losses while the exposure does not meet the definition of S3. Banks are required to project significant increase in credit risk in line with their accounting approaches, i.e. apply the S2 classification criteria used in their IFRS 9 models. However, for the purpose of the stress test projections banks are also required to assume, without prejudice to other triggers, that S1 exposures which experience a threefold increase8 of lifetime PD (as defined under IFRS 9) compared with the corresponding value at initial recognition undergo an SICR and hence become S2. If lifetime PDs for an exposure are unavailable, banks may apply a 1-year PD as a proxy, e.g. a threefold increase of TR1-3 (as defined in paragraph 84) compared with the corresponding value of forward-looking⁹ TR¹⁻³ at initial recognition could instead be used as a backstop for S2. For the purpose of the stress test, an instrument may be considered to be of low credit risk in a particular year t of the stress test if the instrument's $TR^{1-3}(t)$ for that year is less than 0.30%. Instruments which are of low credit risk may be exempted from the classification as S2. For the avoidance of doubt, banks should in general use their own accounting practices where these lead to more conservative results for SICR in the stress test. Banks are required to provide in the explanatory note a description of their internally applied S2 definition and of how the low credit risk exemption was implemented in the stress test. In this note, banks are also required to comment on how the definitions applied for the stress test differ from internally used criteria for the SICR and in particular the low credit risk exemption.
- **S3** exposures are those for which existing evidence indicates a 'detrimental impact on the estimated future cash flows' as per the definition of a credit-impaired financial asset in Appendix A of the IFRS 9 regulation. For the avoidance of doubt, all non-performing exposures as per Article 47a(3) of the CRR shall be classified as S3 on 1 January 2025 and for the stress test horizon. In the explanatory note, banks are required to comment on how this definition differs from their internally applied criteria for S3 exposure.

⁷ These definitions are applicable for the reporting of exposures during the period from beginning-2025 to end-2027. For the historical information listed in Table 6, the banks' internal definitions of S1, S2 and S3 shall be considered. In practice, this means that the template should be filled in with a different split of exposure by IFRS 9 stage at the end of 2024 (banks' internal definitions based on accounting practices) and at the beginning of 2025 (stress test definitions). However, if the banks' internal definitions of S1, S2 and S3 are more conservative than the stress test definitions, the banks' internal definitions apply also at the beginning of 2025 and during the projection. In any case, the total exposure and breakdown by country and asset class shall remain the same in both periods.

⁸ Increase of 200%, i.e. (1+200%) PD at initial recognition.

⁹ Forward-looking in this case is meant to account for expected movements of TR¹⁻³ during the lifetime of an exposure.



- For the remainder of the document, performing exposure refers to the sum of S1 and S2 exposures, and non-performing exposure refers to S3. For the avoidance of doubt, non-performing exposures should not be reported as S1 or S2 on 1 January 2025 and for the projected periods.
- 57. Performing exposure (Exp) is the performing exposure calculated for exposures in the scope of the credit risk stress test impairment framework according to paragraph 50 and to which the stage 1 and 2 definitions of paragraph 56 are applicable. This exposure shall be the one relevant for the calculation of accounting credit risk impairment in the template CSV_CR_SCEN, but shall be reported with the same logic as in COREP. The exposure shall be reported after CRM substitution effects and accounting CCF and shall be allocated in line with COREP exposure classes. As a reference for the exposure definition, a link to COREP is provided below. However, some differences to the COREP figures are expected due to the following: (i) different scope (paragraph 50); and (ii) different exposure amounts due to a different CCF used by banks for accounting purposes. If materially different from the COREP figures, banks are required to explain the differences in the explanatory note.
 - For IRB portfolios, banks should consider, as a reference, the definition of column 110 ('exposure value') as per COREP table CR IRB 1 and remove non-performing exposures.
 - For STA portfolios, banks should consider, as a reference, a post-CCF equivalent of column 110 ('net exposure after CRM substitution effects pre-conversion factors') as per COREP table CR SA. Since provisions have already been deducted (column 30 in CR SA), they need to be added to the exposure.
- 58.Exp is further split into **of which: S1 (Exp S1)** and **of which: S2 (Exp S2)** based on classification as either S1 or S2 of the exposure as defined in paragraph 56. Exp should equal the sum of S1 (Exp S1) and S2 (Exp S2).
- 59.**S1-S2 flow (S1-S2 Flow)** measures the amount of exposures that are S2 at the end of a given year out of those that were S1 at the beginning of the year.
- 60.**S3 flow (SX-S3 Flow)**¹³ measures the amount of exposures that entered into S3 during a given year out of those that were performing (S1 or S2) at the beginning of the year. It includes all S3 events that occur during a year. For both the historical and projected periods, exposures that enter into S3 several times in a given year are to be reported once. The projected values will be computed based on the methodology stated in this section.

_

¹⁰ Non-performing exposures shall be reported separately.

¹¹ See naragraph 96

¹² The exposure shall be net of write-offs for the historical periods.

¹³ The memorandum item PD PiT (%) in the CSV_CR_SCEN template shows the S3 flows as a percentage of the beginning-of-year performing exposure stock.



- 61.S3 flow (SX-S3 Flow) is further split into **S3 flow S1 to S3 (S1-S3 Flow)** and **S3 flow S2 to S3 (S2-S3 Flow)** based on classification as either S1 or S2 of the exposure at the beginning of the year. S3 flow (SX-S3 Flow) equals the sum of S3 flow from S1 (S1-S3 Flow) and S3 flow from S2 (S2-S3 Flow).
- 62.**S2-S1 flow (S2-S1 flow)** measures the amount of exposures that are S1 at the end of a given year out of those that were S2 at the beginning of the year.
- 63. Non-performing exposure (Exp S3) refers to S3 exposure after CRM and substitution effects and after accounting CCF. Exp S3 definition is analogous to paragraph 57 and has to be applied to exposures in the scope of the credit risk stress test impairment framework according to paragraph 50 and the stage 3 definition according to paragraph 56. S3 exposures shall be allocated to each asset class in line with Article 112 of the CRR.¹⁴

64.Exp S3 is further split into:

- Existing S3 exposures at the beginning of the exercise (**Exp Old S3**): this is the initial stock of S3 exposures at the beginning of the exercise, i.e. as of 1 January 2025.
- Cumulative New S3 exposures since the beginning of the stress test horizon (Cumul New Exp S3): this is the sum of SX-S3 flows since the beginning of the stress test horizon, i.e. 1 January 2025).
- 65. For example, as cures from S3 are not to be recognised for exposures' projections, the Cumulative New S3 exposures (Cumul New Exp S3) at the end of 2026 should be the sum of the SX-S3 flow during 2026 and the SX-S3 flow during 2025. The total stock of S3 exposures at the end of 2026 is therefore the sum of the existing S3 exposures at the beginning of the exercise (Exp Old S3) and the Cumulative New S3 exposures (Cumul New Exp S3) at the end of 2026.
- 66. Funded collateral (capped) covers all funded collateral, including real estate property, that is available to cover the performing exposure (Exp) or non-performing exposure (Exp S3) as defined above. Only CRR/CRD eligible collateral and only the bank's share of collateral (if collateral is assigned to several debtors) is to be reported. No regulatory haircuts should be applied, but the value of collateral should be adjusted by haircuts applied for accounting purposes in the banks' internal calculation of provisions (if any). Collateral has to be capped at the exposure level, which means that, at the exposure level, collateral cannot be higher than the corresponding exposure. All CRR/CRD eligible collaterals are to be reported regardless of the credit risk mitigation approach or regulatory own funds requirement calculation approach. Banks are required to provide in the explanatory note detailed information on how the collateral values have been determined and how often appraisals are refreshed. Provisions on IFRS 9

-

 $^{^{14}}$ "Exposure in default" under the STA shall be reported according to the nature of the counterparty.



- exposures should be calculated based on internal definitions of the collateral available while REA should be calculated taking into account the regulatory treatment of collateral.
- 67.Banks are required to report the **LTV ratio** for real estate related exposure classes ¹⁵ (see template CSV_CR_SCEN) as the exposure-weighted average of the LTV ratio at loan level. The LTV ratio at loan level is given by exposure divided by real estate collateral value. ¹⁶ Exposure follows the definitions given in paragraphs 57 and 63. Real estate collateral values follow the definition in paragraph 68.
- 68.Real estate collateral (available) covers all funded real estate collateral that is available to cover S1 exposures (Exp S1), S2 exposures (Exp S2) or non-performing exposures (Exp S3). Only CRR/CRD eligible real estate collateral and only the bank's share of collateral (if collateral is assigned to several debtors) is to be reported. No regulatory haircuts should be applied, but the collateral value should reflect the evolution of real estate prices in the respective macroeconomic scenario and haircuts applied for accounting purposes as part of the calculation of provisions (if any).¹⁷
- 69. The historical values of the **Stock of provisions** (**Prov Stock**) are the stock figures as of the end of the year in accordance with the accounting framework to which the reporting entity is subject. For on-balance sheet items, this value should refer to the accumulated impairment from FINREP template 4.4.1, columns 050, 060 and 070 ('Financial assets at amortised cost'). ¹⁸ It is split by **Of which: non-performing assets** (**Prov Stock S3**) and **Of which: performing assets** (**Prov Stock Perf**), which is also further split into **Of which: S1** (**Prov Stock S1**) and **Of which: S2** (**Prov Stock S2**).
- 70. Prov Stock S3 is the sum of Prov SX-S3 and Prov old S3 in each historical period and the sum of Cumul Prov SX-S3 and Prov old S3 in the projection horizon.
- 71. Provisions new S3 (Prov SX-S3) are the accounting stock figures which are allocated, at the end of the year, to the S3 exposures that were S1 or S2 at the beginning of the year and are S3 at the end of the year. Provisions new S3 (Prov SX-S3) are the sum of Provisions S1 to S3 (Prov S1-S3) and Provision S2 to S3 (Prov S2-S3). The historical values of Prov SX-S3 shall be net of write-offs and shall include the provisions allocated to exposures newly originated during the year which are S3 at the end of the year.
- 72. Cumulative provisions new S3 (**Prov Cumul SX-S3**) are the sum of Provisions new S3 (Prov SX-S3) since the beginning of the exercise (i.e. since 1 January 2025).

¹⁵ IRB "Secured by real estate property", "Secured by residential real estate", "Secured by commercial real estate", "Memo item: Commercial real estate", and STA "Secured by mortgages on immovable property and ADC exposures", "Memo item: Commercial real estate".

 $^{^{16}}$ No cap is applied to the collateral value used as an input for the calculation of the LTV.

 $^{^{17}}$ The denominator of the LTV ratio differs from the definition of funded collateral from paragraph 66 as the LTV shall include only real estate collateral.

 $^{^{18}}$ Banks that do not report this template should consider, as a reference, the FINREP template 18.00, columns 0140 and 0150.



- 73. Provisions S1 to S1 (Prov S1-S1) reflects the S1 provisions for assets that begin and end the year in S1. It reflects, for example, changes in ECL due to macroeconomic scenario changes or rating migrations. Like for the other provisions of performing exposures that stay within the same stage during the year t (Prov S2-S2), provisions are calculated based on an underlying exposure that is already adjusted for exposures that transition to other stages.
- 74. Provisions S1 to S2 (Prov S1-S2) reflects the S2 provisions on exposures that begin the year as S1 assets and migrate to S2 — thus becoming subject to a lifetime ECL with perfect foresight.
- 75. Provisions S1 to S3 (Prov S1-S3) reflects the S3 provisions on exposures that begin the year as S1 assets and migrate to S3 — thus becoming subject to a lifetime ECL with perfect foresight.
- 76. Cumulative provisions S1 to S3 (Prov Cumul S1-S3) reflects the sum of Provisions S1 to S3 (Prov S1-S3) since the beginning of the exercise.
- 77. Provisions S2 to S1 (Prov S2-S1) reflects the S1 provisions on exposures that begin the year as S2 and migrate to S1.
- 78. Provisions S2 to S3 (Prov S2-S3) reflects the S3 provisions on exposures that begin the year as S2 and migrate to S3.
- 79. Cumulative provisions S2 to S3 (Prov Cumul S2-S3) reflects the sum of Provisions S2 to S3 (Prov S2-S3) since the beginning of the exercise.
- 80. Provisions S2 to S2 (Prov S2-S2) reflects the S2 provisions on exposures that begin and end the year in S2 (regardless of the stage they end up eventually during their lifetime). As such, provisions for exposures transitioning to another stage within the year t are reflected in other "Prov" items and the underlying exposure for the calculation of the Prov S2-S2 is therefore adjusted for those exposures. In line with paragraph 132, banks are required to reflect the full impact of the scenario (with perfect foresight) on the calculation of lifetime ECL on S2 exposures. ECL on S2 assets may change afterwards only if, during the stress test horizon, exposures mature, amortise or migrate to S3 or S1.
- 81. The projected Provisions old S3 (Prov old S3) reflects the provisions on S3 assets already existing at the beginning of the stress test exercise (i.e. related to Exp Old S3). The historical values of Prov old S3 correspond to the accounting stock figures, net of write-offs¹⁹, which are allocated, at the end of the year, to the S3 exposures that were already S3 at the beginning of the year.
- 82. Cure rates are not observed values but forecast values affecting LGD estimation in 2024 and in the projected period across both scenarios. While the impact of cures for reducing projected S3 exposures are not considered for the purpose of this exercise, assumed cure rates are an important component of the LGD estimations. In doing so, banks are required to model cure rates when estimating PDs and LGDs and report them in the template CSV_CR_SCEN according

¹⁹ In case of partial or total write-off reducing the stock of provisions that were existing at the beginning of the historical year, the stock of provisions at the end of the historical year shall be reduced for the respective write-off amount.



to the definitions below in a manner that is consistent with the prescribed definitions of each of the stages and LGD. This applies for projections, as well as actual and historical data. If a bank does not explicitly calculate cure rates because of its methodological approach, they do not need to be reported in the template, but the bank is required to outline its calculations of each LGD in more detail in the explanatory note. Cure Rate (t) is the component of the LGD(t) calculation that corresponds to the assumptions made for the cumulative proportion of existing or projected S3 exposures that cure (through repayments) with zero loss in all years following year t. This depends on the characteristics of the loans at time t.

- 83. Cure Rateⁱ⁻³(t) is the average cure rate during a determined period of time (workout period), for Si exposures reaching S3 within year t. The cure rate should be calculated over a determined period of time (workout period) during which the S3 exposures may return to performing status, which may vary per asset class. For example, Cure Rate¹⁻³(t) refers to the cure rate of exposures that were in S1 at the beginning of the year t and reached S3 within year t.
- 84. Point-in-time risk parameters are the forward-looking projections of the 12-month transitions between each of the three stages and the associated loss rates. Transition rates (TR) denote the probability of moving between the stages (S1, S2 or S3) within 12 months. LGD refers to projected losses associated with possible S3 events. For the lifetime horizon (denoted by a subscript LT), loss rates (LR) have to be reported and they refer to the expected credit losses due to S3 events expected over the lifetime of the exposures. For example, the total exposure in S2 multiplied by LRLT²⁻² should give the lifetime expected credit losses required. Superscripts indicate the applicable transition in that year (e.g. 1-3 indicates that the parameter refers to S1 to S3 transitions in year t):
 - TR¹⁻³ refers to the probability of an exposure starting the year in S1 and transitioning at some point in time during the year to S3. The loss rate associated with the exposure that transitions from S1 to S3 is LGD¹⁻³.
 - TR²⁻³ refers to the probability of an exposure starting the year in S2 and transitioning at some point in time during the year to S3. The loss rate associated with the exposure that transitions from S2 to S3 is LGD²⁻³.
 - TR¹⁻² refers to the probability of an exposure starting the year in S1 and ending in S2.
 - TR²⁻¹ refers to the probability of an exposure starting the year in S2 and ending in S1.
 - LRLT¹⁻² refers to the lifetime expected loss rate of those exposures that begin the year in S1 and end it in S2. This parameter shall apply to the exposure at the end of the year (i.e. after transitions) and shall be forward-looking (i.e. consider macroeconomic conditions from the end of the year until the lifetime of underlying exposures).
 - LRLT²⁻² refers to the lifetime expected loss rate for all exposures that begin and end the year in S2 regardless of the stage they end up eventually during their lifetime. This parameter



shall apply to the exposure at the end of the year (i.e. after transitions) and shall be forward-looking (i.e. consider macroeconomic conditions from the end of the year until the lifetime of underlying exposures).

• LRLT³⁻³ refers to the lifetime expected loss associated with all exposures that are in S3 at the beginning of the exercise ("old S3"). For the avoidance of doubt, in each year *t*, this loss rate is applied to the same amount of S3 exposure, i.e. to the stock of S3 in the beginning of the exercise (1 January 2025). Note that S3 exposures cannot transition to another stage because of the 'no cure' constraint.

85. The following requirements apply to TR, LGD and LR used for the projection of impairments:

- Since they are reported at a portfolio level, each TR is an exposure-weighted average²⁰, and each LGD and LR is a TR * exposure-weighted average. The aggregation of the LGD for impairment purposes in the template CSV_CR_SCEN will therefore be different from the aggregation of LGDreg in the template CSV_CR_REA as the latter follows the COREP instructions (i.e. weighted only by the exposure at default).
- All TR, LGD and LR used for forecasting impairments are point in time (pit) parameters which
 capture current trends in the business cycle. In contrast to the regulatory PD and LGD
 parameters, they are required for all portfolios, including STA and F-IRB. They may include
 portfolio improvement effects where banks calculate risk parameters at a rating class level.
 Banks for which projected credit risk parameters are affected by portfolio improvement
 effects may be asked by the competent authority to report the exposures and default
 probability per rating class.
- LGDs and LRs should take collateral into account. The development of these parameters is affected by grade migrations and such an effect are to be addressed in the estimation.
- Although TR, LGD and LR are reported together with non-performing and expected credit loss amounts within the projected year, they refer to exposures as of the beginning of the year.
- 86. Average maturity refers to the performing exposure-weighted residual maturity of the exposures included in the asset class reported. This field refers to the remaining contractual period until the expiration date of the exposure, should be the same maturity used in the IFRS9 projections and should not be confused with the period of time until the loan is repriced. The calculation of this field should not consider assets that do not have a defined maturity. If a specific asset class is entirely composed of assets without defined maturity, the "average maturity" field should not be filled in for those asset classes for which no credit risk benchmarks

-

²⁰ Exposure defined in paragraph 57.

²¹ If an asset class includes products without contractual maturity, the average maturity shall be calculated without taking into consideration the assets that do not have defined maturity.



are available ²² and should be calculated on a best effort basis for the remaining. See section 2.3.2 for further detail on the treatment of residual maturity under a static balance sheet assumption.

- 87. Exposure value refers to the exposure serving as the basis for computation of REA, according to COREP definitions, as set out in Article 111 of the CRR (for the STA portfolio) and Articles 166-168 of the CRR (for the IRB portfolio). For the reporting of exposure values at the starting point banks shall follow the definitions applicable on 31 December 2024. For the restatement of exposure values at the starting point and the projections banks shall apply the definitions in line with the corresponding articles of the CRR3.
- 88. Regulatory risk parameters (PDreg and LGDreg) refer to those parameters used for the calculation of capital requirements for defaulted and non-defaulted assets as prescribed by the CRR (i.e. LGDreg should be reported exposure-weighted). For the reporting of regulatory risk parameters at the starting point (actual 2024) banks shall follow the definitions applicable on 31 December 2024. For the restatement of regulatory risk parameters at the starting point (restated 2024) and the projections banks shall apply the definitions in line with the corresponding articles of the CRR3.
- 89.**ELreg** is the EL based on regulatory risk parameters following the prescriptions of the CRR/CRD for defaulted and non-defaulted IRB exposures.

2.3.2. Static balance sheet assumption

- 90.According to the static balance sheet assumption, banks are not permitted to replace S3 exposures. New S3 exposures are moved into the stock of S3 exposures, reducing the stock of S1 and/or S2 and keeping the total exposure at a constant level. Furthermore, for the purpose of calculating exposures, it is assumed that no cures from S3, charge-offs or write-offs should take place within the 3-year horizon of the exercise.²³
- 91. Within the credit risk framework, and for the purpose of calculating the credit REA, the initial residual maturity is kept constant for all assets. For example, a 10-year bond with residual maturity of 5 years at the start of the exercise is supposed to keep the same residual maturity of 5 years throughout the exercise if it matures or amortises during the stress test horizon it has to be replaced with a bond having the same residual maturity and credit risk characteristics. It should be noted that the constant residual maturity applies, in particular, to the maturity factor used in A-IRB, but also the favourable risk weights for short-term exposures in STA.

²³ This is not to be confused with the inclusion of assumptions on future cure rates and write-offs in the generation of LGD parameters, which are implicitly assumed, where applicable.

36

²² No credit risk benchmarks are available for the IRB portfolios of 'Central Banks', 'Public Sector Entities', 'Equity', 'Securitisation', 'Other non-credit obligation assets', and 'Collective Investment Undertakings (CIU)' and for the STA portfolios of 'Central Banks', 'Public sector entities', 'Multilateral Development Banks', 'International Organisations', , 'Subordinated Debt Exposures', 'Covered bonds', 'Claims on Institutions and Corporates with a ST Credit Assessment', 'Collective Investment Undertakings (CIU)', 'Equity', 'Securitisation', and 'Other exposures'.



- 92. For the purpose of calculating impairments over the 3 years of the scenario, the assumption of a constant balance sheet is also held. Thus, if assets mature or amortise during the stress horizon they have to be replaced with assets with the same credit risk characteristics (including IFRS 9 or nGAAP stage classification) and residual maturity to keep the balance sheet stable.
- 93. Consistent with the static balance sheet assumption, credit exposure changes result only from yearly S1, S2 or S3 exposure flows. Market value fluctuations have no impact on the exposure and, in particular, cannot decrease the exposure. In addition, fair value effects shall have no impact on exposure and REA. This includes changes in the FX rate.
- 94.For the purpose of calculating impairments and credit REA during the stress test horizon, maturing loans falling under a public guarantee scheme (PGS) from the EBA list of PGS²⁴ as a response to the COVID-19 pandemic shall always be replaced with the guarantee, regardless of whether the particular PGS is expected to still be in place or not at the moment of replacement.

2.3.3. Asset classes

- 95. For the purpose of this stress test, banks are required to report their exposures using the asset classes specified in Table 2 and Table 3, which are based on the exposure classes for IRB and STA exposures in the CRR (see Articles 112 and 147 of the CRR) reported in COREP applicable on 31 December 2024. Banks are also required to report their exposures using the asset classes specified Table 4 and Table 5 which are based on IRB and STA exposures in the CRR3 (see Articles 112 and 147 of the CRR3). The latter asset class segmentation shall be used for the restated starting points and to reflect the impact of the two scenarios over 2025-2027. Banks should provide information in their explanatory notes on the approach used for the restatement of exposures and flows to the exposure classes under CRR3. Competent authorities can require participating banks to report additional breakdowns for exposures where they see significant risks.
- 96. The initial segmentation, both actual and restated for the entry into force of CRR3, should consider the transfer of exposures to other asset classes through CRM techniques (substitution approach), including recognised PGS as per paragraph 94. This transfer has to be performed in line with the asset classes given in Table 2 and Table 3 and the exposure should be reported in asset classes after substitution. For the restatement of exposures due to the application of CRR3 the asset classes given in Table 4 and Table 5 should be used. For the remainder of this Section, any definitions and calculations need to be consistent with this approach. For instance, default and loss rates, as well as TR, LGD and LR estimations, are required to be calculated and estimated taking into account the substitution of the risk to a different counterparty.
- 97. The initial segmentation shall not change for the reporting of the projections (e.g. changes in the value of collateral or the increase of collateral when an exposure becomes non-performing shall not lead to reporting exposures, risk exposures or provisions in asset classes different than

_

 $^{^{24}\} https://eba.europa.eu/eba-publishes-overview-public-guarantee-schemes-issued-response-covid-19-pandemic.$



the initial one). However, the REA shall always reflect changes that, according to the CRR, would lead to different risk weights (e.g. a decrease in the value of the collateral shall lead to an increase of REA for STA banks driven by a lower amount of exposure under the treatment of exposures secured by mortgages on immovable property).

- 98. The scope of the memorandum item on commercial real estate (CRE) loans shall follow the ESRB definition as referred to in FINREP. ²⁵ The memorandum item shall be reported in addition to the other asset classes, i.e. CRE loans reported under a certain COREP asset class shall not be removed from that class but reported both as per COREP and under the memorandum item.
- 99. The following tables contain the asset classes to be used for both credit risk impairments and REA for the starting point as of 31 December 2024 and the restated starting point that reflects the application of CRR3 as of 1 January 2025. The restated starting point asset classes shall be used for projecting the impact of the two scenarios. The tables do not contain the memorandum item on CRE loans which is only included as part of the CSV_CR_SCEN template. The breakdown of guaranteed retail loans secured by real estate property (e.g. Prêts cautionnés) have to be reported only by banks with relevant exposures to this asset class as per paragraph 110. Furthermore, the rows for the asset classes under STA, 'Secured by mortgages on immovable property and ADC exposures', the non-IPRE breakdowns are not requested to be reported in the respective lines of the CSV_CR_SCEN template and therefore, the corresponding excel rows cannot be filled.
- 100. It is noted that under the IRB corporate exposure class as per the CRR3, the breakdown by size is to be reported with respect to the "Corporate Other" asset class as described in COREP, which refers to exposures to corporates as per Article 147(2), point (c)(i), of the CRR. Specifically, "Corporate Other Large corporates" refers to Article 147(2), point (c)(i), of the CRR in conjunction with the definition of large corporate provided under CRR Article 142(5a). "Corporate Other SMEs" refers to Article 147(2), point (c)(i), of the CRR in conjunction with the SME definition set out in Article 5(9) of the CRR. "Corporate Other Mid-caps" refers to exposures under Article 147(2), point (c)(i) that do not meet neither the SME definition set out under CRR Article 5(9) nor the Large corporate definition established under CRR Article 142(5a).
- 101. Regarding the STA exposure class "Secured by mortgages on immovable property and ADC exposures" as per CRR Article 112 point (i), it is noted that the breakdowns "Residential immovable property" and "Commercial immovable property" should capture as well exposures that fall under the "Other" category as described in COREP instruction in section 3.2.4.4., i.e. it should also consider exposures that do not meet the conditions in Article 124(3) or any part of a non-ADC exposure that exceeds the nominal amount of the lien of the property, referred to in Article 124(1), point (b) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

_

²⁵ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/451, Annex V, par.239ix. The exposures should be aligned with FINREP template 18.2. CRE loans to SMEs and to non-financial corporations other than SMEs should be reported in the memorandum item.



Table 2: Overview of IRB asset classes until 31 December 2024

IRB asset classes **Central banks Central governments** Institutions **Corporates Corporates — Specialised lending** Corporates — Specialised lending — Secured by real estate property Corporates — Specialised lending — Not secured by real estate property Corporates — SME Corporates — SME — Secured by real estate property Corporates — SME — Not secured by real estate property **Corporates** — Others Corporates — Others — Secured by real estate property Corporates — Others — Not secured by real estate property Retail Retail — Secured by real estate property Retail — Secured by real estate property — SME ${\sf Retail-Secured\ by\ real\ estate\ property-Non-SME}$ of which: Residential quaranteed loans (Prêts cautionnés) insured by an eligible residential property loan guarantor of which: other than Residential guaranteed loans (Prêts cautionnés) insured by an eligible residential property loan guarantor Retail — Qualifying revolving Retail — Other retail Retail — Other retail — SME Retail — Other retail — Non-SME **Equity** Securitisation Other non-credit obligation assets Memo item: Commercial real estate

Table 3: Overview of STA asset classes until 31 December 2024

STA asset classes				
Central banks				
Central governments				
Regional governments or local authorities				
Public sector entities				
Multilateral development banks				
International organisations				
Institutions				
Corporates				
Corporate — SME				
Corporate — Non-SME				
Retail				
Retail — SME				
Retail — Non-SME				
Secured by mortgages on immovable property				
Secured by mortgages on immovable property — SME				



STA asset classes

Secured by mortgages on immovable property — Non-SME

of which: Residential guaranteed loans (Prêts cautionnés) insured by an eligible residential property loan guarantor

of which: other than Residential guaranteed loans (Prêts cautionnés) insured by an eligible residential property loan guarantor

Items associated with particularly high risk

Covered bonds

Claims on institutions and corporates with ST credit assessment

Collective investment undertakings

Equity

Securitisation

Other exposures

Memo item: Commercial real estate

Table 4: Overview of IRB asset classes, restated for entry into force for CRR3

IRB asset classes

Central banks

Central governments

Regional governments or local authorities

Public sector entities

Institutions

Corporates

Corporates - Specialised Lending

Corporates - Specialised Lending - Secured by real estate property

Corporates - Specialised Lending - Not secured by real estate property

Corporates – Purchased receivables

Corporates – Other – Large corporates

Corporates – Other - Large corporates - Secured by real estate property

Corporates – Other - Large corporates - Not secured by real estate property

Corporates - Other - SME

Corporates – Other - SME - Secured by real estate property

Corporates - Other - SME - Not secured by real estate property

Corporates – Other – Mid-caps

Corporates - Other – Mid-caps - Secured by real estate property

Corporates - Other - Mid-caps - Not secured by real estate property

Retail

Retail - Secured by residential real estate

Retail - Secured by residential real estate - SME

Retail - Secured by residential real estate - Non SME

of which: Residential guaranteed loans (Prêts cautionnés) insured by an eligible residential property loan quarantor

of which: other than Residential guaranteed loans (Prêts cautionnés) insured by an eligible residential property loan guarantor



	Retail – Qualifying Revolving
	Retail – Purchased receivables
	Retail – Other
-	Retail - Other - SME
	of which: socured by commercial real estate

of which: secured by commercial real estate

Retail - Other - Non SME

of which: secured by commercial real estate

Collective investments undertakings (CIU)

Equity

Securitisation

IRB asset classes

Other non-credit obligation assets

Memo item: Commercial real estate

Table 5: Overview of STA asset classes, restated for entry into force for CRR3

STA asset classes

Central banks
Central governments
Regional governments or local authorities
Public sector entities
Multilateral Development Banks
International Organisations
Institutions
Corporates
Corporates - Specialised Lending
Corporates - Other
Corporates - Other - SME
Corporates - Other - Non SME
Retail
Retail - SME
Retail - Non SME
Secured by mortgages on immovable property and ADC exposures
Secured by mortgages on immovable property and ADC exposures - Residential immovable property
of which: Non IPRE (Secured)
of which: Non IPRE (Unsecured)
of which: IPRE
of which: SME



STA asset classes

of which: Residential guaranteed loans (Prêts cautionnés) insured by an eligible residential property loan guarantor

of which: other than Residential guaranteed loans (Prêts cautionnés) insured by an eligible residential property loan guarantor

Secured by mortgages on immovable property and ADC exposures - Commercial immovable property

of which: Non IPRE (Secured)

of which: Non IPRE (Unsecured)

of which: IPRE of which: SME

Secured by mortgages on immovable property and ADC exposures - Land, acquisition, development and construction exposures (ADC)

of which: SME

Subordinated debt exposures

Covered bonds

Claims on institutions and corporates with a ST credit assessment

Collective investments undertakings (CIU)

Equity

Securitisation

Other exposures

Memo item: Commercial real estate

2.3.4. Reporting requirements

102. For exposures covered by IRB asset classes restated for the application of CRR3 'Corporates', 'Retail — Secured by residential real estate – SME' and 'Retail — Other – SME' or by STA asset classes 'Corporates', 'Retail – SME', 'Secured by mortgages on immovable property and ADC exposures - Residential immovable property – SME', 'Secured by mortgages on immovable property and ADC exposures -Commercial immovable property – SME, 'Secured by mortgages on immovable property and ADC exposures -Land, acquisition, and construction exposures (ADC) – SME as well as for corporate exposures reported as part of STA asset class 'Secured by mortgages on immovable property and ADC exposures' which are not reported within the SME exposures of this asset class, ²⁶ banks are required to provide an additional breakdown of these exposures in CSV_CR_SECTOR according to the NACE classification system. ²⁷ For starting points, the exposures and provisions at each country level reported in CSV_CR_SECTOR, including the distribution across IFRS9 stages, should be fully consistent with

²⁶ If applicable, banks should report the aggregate amount of these exposures additionally in the respective memorandum item: Corporate exposures classified as 'STA – Secured by mortgages on immovable property and ADC exposures– Non SME'.

²⁷ Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 December 2006 establishing the statistical classification of economic activities NACE Revision 2 and amending Council Regulation (EEC) No 3037/90 as well as certain EC Regulations on specific statistical domains. Banks shall consider the regulation applicable on 31 December 2024.



those reported in CSV_CR_SCEN. The exposures reported in CSV_CR_SECTOR shall be in line with the requirements of paragraph 57.

- 103. Banks are required to provide credit risk information by regulatory approach for the total exposure, for the most relevant countries of counterparties to which the banks are exposed as defined in paragraph 105, and for an 'Other countries' breakdown. The cells for the whole banking group contain the overall exposure of the group towards all counterparties and are the sum of the country-by-country and 'Other countries' cells and therefore, they should not be included in the set of counterparties considered when providing the breakdown by country of the counterparty according to paragraph 105.
- 104. The country of the counterparty refers to the country of incorporation of the obligor or, if different, the country of the underlying risk, i.e. an ultimate-risk basis. Hence, CRM techniques can change the allocation of an exposure to a country. For this purpose, exposures against international organisations are to be reported under the section for 'Other countries'.
- 105. The breakdown by country of the counterparty will be reported according to a minimum of:
 - 95% of the sum of total exposure (Exp S1 + Exp S2 + Exp S3), in the scope of paragraph 50 and in line with the definitions in section 2.3.1, reported in aggregate for the three regulatory approaches (i.e. A-IRB, F-IRB and STA).
 - Top 10 countries in terms of total exposure, as stated above.
- 106. For example, a bank with 95% of its exposure concentrated in six countries will fill in data only for those six countries specifically. By contrast, if the aggregate sum of exposure of a bank towards the largest 10 countries is below 95% of the total aggregate exposure, the bank will fill in the template only for the top 10 counterparty countries specifically. In either case, the 'Other countries' breakdown also needs to be populated.
- 107. The cut-off date to define the 95% of aggregate sum exposure and the top 10 countries is 31 December 2024. The selected countries of the counterparties and their order remain constant for the respective credit risk templates (CSV_CR_SCEN, CSV_CR_SECTOR and CSV_CR_REA). Banks are required to report discontinued operations that were still in the balance sheet at the cut-off date and these exposures will contribute to the total when identifying reportable country breakdowns as per the thresholds from paragraph 105.
- 108. In order to identify the top 10 countries of counterparties in terms of total exposures, as paragraph 105 refers to exposure (instead of exposure value), the respective definitions in paragraphs 50 (i.e. the 'P&L scope'), 57 and 63 apply.
- 109. Regarding the starting points of CSV_CR_SECTOR, banks shall submit the exposures in scope of paragraph 102 in full, following the same country breakdown as in CSV_CR_SCEN. Total exposures and provisions at country level reported in CSV_CR_SECTOR, including 'Other



countries', are expected to reconcile fully at the starting point with the corresponding totals at country level in CSV_CR_SCEN for the asset classes in scope of paragraph 102. For the projections, banks shall provide information based on the sectoral breakdown reported in CSV_CR_SECTOR for the most material country-sector combinations until a minimum coverage of at least 80% of the exposures in scope of paragraph 102 is reached. Once the minimum coverage threshold of 80% is met, banks are required to provide further projections only for those country-sector combinations which represent at least 2% of the total exposures in scope. The 2% materiality threshold only applies to the reporting of exposures above the 80% minimum coverage threshold. The 'Other countries' breakdown must also be populated, and these exposures shall be considered when determining the materiality of country-sector combinations to meet the minimum coverage threshold.²⁸ Due to the application of the materiality threshold, it is acknowledged that projected exposures, provisions, and parameters at country level might differ between CSV CR SECTOR and CSV CR SCEN.

- 110. Banks with loans under large-scale or nationwide guarantee schemes (e.g. "Prêts cautionnés") where the indirect exposure on the guarantor is significant are required to report the guaranteed exposures separately from the non-guaranteed ones using the respective rows in templates CSV_CR_SCEN and CSV_CR_REA (i.e. "of which: Residential guaranteed loans (Prêts cautionnés) insured by an eligible residential property loan guarantor"). Banks are required to explain in the explanatory note how LGDs for guaranteed exposures were modelled and projected on top of providing a breakdown of these exposures (Prêts cautionnés) by guarantors. These rows shall not include the exposures falling under a PGS from the EBA list of PGS.
- 111. The same cut-off date applies for the allocation of asset classes across the regulatory approach in line with the applicable regulatory framework as of 31 December 2024 and before restatement of exposures for application of CRR3. This means that a bank that applied the STA at the beginning of 2024 but the A-IRB approach at the end of 2024 is required to report beginning-of-year and end-of-year 2024 and historical information in the A-IRB section of the template. This should be applied at an individual exposure level.
- 112. Historical values shall be reported for 2023 and 2024 in CSV_CR_SCEN for both the beginning and the end of the year according to the asset classes applicable on 31 December 2024. The absolute values shall be the historically observed amounts reported on the basis of the accounting standard applicable and provisions shall be net of releases, but including management overlays in accordance with IFRS9 which might be used to offset future expected losses. ²⁹ The list of fields required is given in Table 6. The within year flows shall reflect the actual historically observed flows between the stages within the respective year. To reflect the entry into force of CRR3 on 1 January 2025, banks shall additionally provide restated starting point

44

²⁸ The minimum coverage requirement of 80% and the materiality threshold of 2% laid out in paragraph 109 do not apply to the "Memo item: Corporate exposures classified as 'STA – Secured by mortgages on immovable property and ADC exposures – Non SME'". This memo item should be reported consistently with the relevant requirements applicable to CSV CR SCEN.

²⁹ The split of volumes by IFRS 9 stage for 2023 and 2024 shall therefore be reported in line with banks' internal definitions.



data for 2024 for the beginning and end of the year, and the within year flows as required in Table 6, considering the asset classes of Table 4 and Table 5. The restatement shall consider that for some asset classes the regulatory approach will change due to the entry into force of CRR3, i.e., 'Institutions' or 'Corporates – Other – Large Corporates'.

113. The field of "Provisions old stage 3" for historical periods shall be reported with the provisions, net of write-offs, allocated to the exposures that started and ended the respective year in S3. This differs from the reporting of the projected periods, where these provisions shall always relate to the stock of S3 on 1 January 2025.

Table 6: Historical information to be provided for 2023-2024

Fields to be populated for 2023 and 2024

Performing exposure, of which: stage 1 (Exp S1)
Performing exposure, of which: stage 2 (Exp S2)
Non-performing exposure (Exp S3)
Stage 1 flow (S2-S1 flow)
Stage 2 flow (S1-S2 flow)
Stage 3 flow from Stage 1 (S1-S3 Flow)
Stage 3 flow from Stage 2 (S2-S3 Flow)
Stock of provisions (Prov Stock)
Of which: stage 1 (Prov Stock S1)
Of which: stage 2 (Prov Stock S2)
Of which: non-performing assets (Prov Stock S3)
Provisions old stage 3 (Prov Old S3-S3)

in Table 7. These parameter values are to be reported for 2024 (actual and restated) as given in Table 7. These parameter values are estimates from banks' models, following the hierarchy of approaches outlined in section 2.4.1 of the Methodological Note. For the actual 2024 data, this estimation of starting point parameters shall be based on the end-2024 line-by-line decomposition of the portfolio and shall rely on the most updated information. For the restated 2024 data, this estimation of starting point parameters shall be based on the beginning-2025 line-by-line decomposition of the portfolio, according to the asset classes restated for the entry into force of CRR3 on 1 January 2025, and shall rely on the most updated information. Where the estimation relies on observed macroeconomic data, it shall be gathered from an official source. For the calculation of forward-looking starting point parameters, banks shall anticipate the baseline scenario. For macroeconomic variables which are not provided in the baseline scenario, but which are used as an input for the estimation of starting point parameters, banks shall refer to an official source. Whenever banks revert to an official source other than the baseline scenario, this would need to be indicated in the explanatory notes.

³⁰ Whenever 2024 macroeconomic variables are still not available, the most updated projected values for these variables shall be used.



Table 7: Starting point parameters to be provided for 2024

Parameter	To be provided for 2024
TR	TR ¹⁻³ , TR ¹⁻² , TR ²⁻¹ , TR ²⁻³
LGD	LGD ¹⁻³ , LGD ²⁻³
Cure rates	Cure ¹⁻³ , Cure ²⁻³
LR	LRLT ¹⁻² , LRLT ²⁻² , LRLT ³⁻³

- 115. The starting point parameter values shall be modelled based on observed macroeconomic variables as per paragraph 114 and shall be suitable for the projection of sufficiently conservative credit risk parameters over the stress test horizon. To the extent that starting point parameters are not fully reflecting the 2024 actual macroeconomic situation, they have to be adjusted accordingly in order capture the appropriate credit risk profile of the loans.
- 116. The reporting of provisions in the CSV_CR_SCEN template and REA in the CSV_CR_REA template should be fully in line with IFRS 9 and exclude, if applicable, IFRS 9 transitional arrangements for the reporting of the historical data.³¹
- 117. Assets valued according to the simplified approach of IFRS 9 (as defined under IFRS 9 5.5.15) shall be reported under S2 for the purpose of this stress test.³² Purchased or originated credit-impaired assets (POCI) shall be classified as reported under FINREP template 18.00 columns 0058 and 0900, respectively ("of which: purchased or originated credit-impaired financial assets").
- 118. Exposures referred to in paragraph 102 shall be reported by economic sectors in CSV_CR_SECTOR based on the principal activity of the respective counterparty.³³ For the starting points, this breakdown follows NACE sections (1-digit) with some selected o/w break-downs at NACE division level (2-digit), while projections should be reported for NACE sections as well as for two sub-components of the manufacturing sector (NACE section C).

-

³¹ Except for banks not subject to IFRS 9. Nevertheless, the starting point-in-time risk parameters and the projected point-in-time risk parameters for the first year of the baseline scenario may not be the same, following the application of the hierarchy of approaches for the estimation of the starting point risk parameters described in section 2.4.1 and the hierarchy of approaches for the estimation of projected point-in-time risk parameters described in section 2.4.2.

³² The exemption from the classification as S2 for instruments at low credit risk, as per paragraph 56, does not apply for assets under the simplified approach.

³³ In case of holding companies (NACE 64.20) and head offices (NACE 70.10), banks should allocate exposures to economic sectors following a look-through approach, i.e. according to the principal activity of the firms controlled or managed by the counterparty, where this leads to a more accurate representation of the underlying risks. Banks should provide details on the effect of applying a look-through approach in their explanatory notes.



2.4. Impact on P&L

2.4.1. Starting point-in-time risk parameters (a hierarchy of approaches)

- 119. The following paragraphs describe a hierarchy of methods that banks are required to adhere to when they set the starting (unstressed) point-in-time risk parameters. As a general principle, banks should resort to data from models rather than from accounting approximations:
 - Banks are required in the first instance to extract the relevant parameters from the models that they use to compute provisions according to the relevant accounting standard.
 - For IRB portfolios where there is no model to produce IFRS 9/nGAAP provisions, banks are required to base their estimation of starting level point-in-time values on their approved internal parameter estimation models.
 - For portfolios for which starting level point-in-time parameters cannot be extracted from approved internal models, banks should use non-approved models to extract point-in-time parameters, provided that those models are regularly used in internal risk management and stress testing, and that the competent authority agrees with using them for the purpose of the EU-wide stress test. This also applies to starting level point-in-time parameters for individual economic (NACE) sectors.
 - For portfolios where no appropriate internal models are in use for estimating the starting TRs, LGDs or LRs, banks are expected to approximate these values using historically observed equivalents (e.g. the S3 transition and loss rates from S1 for TR¹⁻³ and LGD¹⁻³). While banks are expected to present parameters reflective of both 2024 macroeconomic conditions and the credit quality of the portfolios, in the calibration of point-in-time starting parameters the overarching objective is the parameter's suitability for projection. Therefore, banks are expected to consider factors that may lead to the observed performance for 2024 being unrepresentative or unsuitable for a sufficiently conservative projection or for small portfolios in which no default has been observed. Only those adjustments of the historical values that result in a more conservative starting point are permitted.
- 120. Irrespective of which approach is followed and the extent of the adjustments, banks are required to provide in the explanatory note a description of the methodology employed for deriving point-in-time parameters for all portfolios. Banks should apply the terminology used in this note, wherever applicable.
- 121. Participating banks will be subject to cross-sectional comparisons of starting level point-intime parameters after the submission of the results and might be asked to revise internal figures if they are deemed not suitable for projections.



2.4.2. Projected point-in-time parameters (a hierarchy of approaches)

- 122. Likewise, for the estimation of projected parameters, as a general principle, banks should use models rather than resort to benchmarks to determine stressed TR, LGD or LR parameters (under both the baseline scenario and the adverse scenario). However, banks' models will be assessed by competent authorities against minimum standards in terms of econometric soundness and responsiveness of the risk parameters to ensure that the model specification results in a prudent outcome.
- 123. Banks should rely on their sectoral models to project sector-specific risk parameters according to paragraph 118. Alternatively, banks may also apply sectoral sensitivities to portfolio-level projections. For economic sectors where no appropriate satellite models are available for estimating the sector-specific stressed TRs, LGD or LRs, banks are expected to allocate the losses reported at country level in CSV CR SCEN consistently across economic sectors in CSV CR SECTOR using a reasonable loss distribution approach. The chosen loss distribution approach should be duly described in the explanatory note. Such approaches shall rely on either i) the relative sectoral sensitivities estimated based on the correlation of the sector specific GVA to the overall macroeconomic conditions at country level or on ii) the allocation based on the size of the sectoral exposure at country level. Alternatively, banks could use other approaches to allocate losses to sectoral exposures if these lead to more accurate representation of their credit risk losses. The use of any other approaches, not relying on satellite models or the loss distribution approaches described in this paragraph, shall be justified and documented in the explanatory note. Banks should indicate for each country-sector pair in the CSV CR SECTOR template the percentage of exposures for which sectoral models or sensitivities were used and which banks should also describe in the explanatory note. Banks are expected to provide sectoral risk parameters irrespectively of the adopted approach. The projected parameters are expected to be consistent with both the direction and the magnitude of the Gross Value Added shocks into the macroeconomic scenario.
- 124. For portfolios where no appropriate satellite models are available for estimating the stressed TRs, LGD or LRs, banks are expected to use the benchmark parameters provided by the ECB, without any adjustment (i.e. without applying any expert adjustment or scaling). Benchmarks should be applied at portfolio level, not at rating class level.
- 125. The bank's initial choice regarding the use of internal models or the ECB benchmark parameters for the estimation of projected parameters cannot change unless the competent authority approves this change.³⁴
- 126. Banks are required to fill in the 'ECB benchmarks parameter application' columns in CSV_CR_SCEN with the percentage of exposures for which benchmark parameters were used due to the lack of appropriate satellite models. If the banks' satellite models do not ensure the estimation of all the PD/TR and LR/LGD parameters, respectively, for a minimum of 10% of the

³⁴ Banks may be asked by competent authorities to indicate their intention to use the ECB benchmark parameters for the estimation of projected credit risk parameters before the publication of the macroeconomic scenarios.



pivot asset class exposure,³⁵ the benchmark parameters need to be applied to the entire pivot asset class exposure (e.g. use the benchmark LR/LGD parameters for the entire exposure of 'Retail – Secured by residential real estate – SME' if the banks' satellite models do not ensure the estimation of all the LR/LGD parameters for a minimum of 10% of the total exposure to that asset class). If the 10% threshold is exceeded, unless the competent authority provides further instructions, banks can use a weighted average between internal models' and benchmark's parameters for the same asset class. The use of a mix between internal models' and benchmark's parameters shall be duly justified in the explanatory note.

- 127. Irrespective of the approach, the ECB benchmark parameters will serve as an important benchmark to gauge internal parameter estimates in the baseline as well as in the adverse scenario as described in the following paragraphs and will serve as inputs for the calculation of provisions for sovereign exposures according to paragraph 155. Moreover, banks will be subject to cross-sectional comparisons after the submission of the results and might be asked to revise internal figures if they are deemed overly optimistic.
- 128. If banks' models allow the estimation of the relationship between point-in-time parameters and the macroeconomic variables at a rating class level, banks are required to employ a rating transition matrix-based approach, considering the effects of TR/LR grade migration on the level of defaults and impairments projected in the stress test horizon for the given scenarios. In this case, banks are required to calculate point-in-time transition matrices. Transition matrices need to ensure that the TR/LR for each grade are adjusted appropriately to reflect the scenario.
- 129. Conversely, if the bank's models allow for the estimation of the relationship between point-in-time parameters and the macroeconomic variables at a portfolio level, aggregate parameters for each portfolio are obtained. In addition, banks are required to document in the explanatory note the approach followed for this estimation.
- 130. In the projection of LGD/LRs, banks are required to take into consideration the possible impact caused by the decrease in the fair value of credit risk mitigants (e.g. a shock on real estate prices will affect real estate collateral).
- 131. The LGD/LR parameters need to be estimated by taking into account both the characteristics of the exposures in S3 and the given scenario. Prudent assumptions are required on the implicit cure rate, the costs associated with the liquidation of collateral, and any other factor affecting the level of impairment. The development of these assumptions across the time horizon for the given scenarios will need to be justified.
- 132. For the estimation of the LGD/LR and lifetime ECL, it is assumed that there is perfect foresight and, therefore, the full macroeconomic scenarios for the remaining lifetime and possible workout period of the exposure should be treated as known when calculating ECL. This means that, whenever lifetime ECL is calculated during the stress test (i.e. for initial S2 or S3 exposures and for exposures that transition from S1 to S2 or to S3), the lifetime ECL has to be

_

³⁵ Pivot asset class refers to the lowest level of aggregation (e.g. 'Corporates – SME - Secured by real estate property).



booked in that year with perfect foresight and ECL may change afterwards only if, during the stress test horizon, exposures mature, amortise or migrate to S3 or S1. The first year of the LGD/LR calculation shall incorporate, for example, the cumulative house price shocks and the impact of the scenario in the workout period and respective time-in-default. For the estimation of LGD/LR and lifetime ECL for 2025-2027, banks are required to assume that future macroeconomic parameters and property prices for realising collateral will develop as described in the given scenarios. After the scenario horizon — excluding GDP, for which constant growth rates shall be assumed — all macroeconomic parameters and property prices used in the estimation shall be assumed to stay flat (i.e. stable absolute house prices and other macroeconomic variables considered in the modelling, without assuming any growth or reversion to the baseline). This has the impact that loss rates for exposures which have moved to S3 by 2027 shall be calculated assuming this flat profile for the macroeconomic variables.

- 133. Notwithstanding these assumptions on macroeconomic variables, for the purpose of calculating the loss rates for S1 and S2 exposures, after the scenario horizon the 2027 baseline credit risk parameters (i.e. stage transition probabilities and the corresponding loss rates across stages) are kept constant. The adverse scenario credit risk parameters (i.e. stage transition probabilities and the corresponding loss rates across stages) for S1 and S2 exposures from 2028 onwards are assumed to revert from their 2027 levels to the 2027 baseline parameters. The path of each of the credit risk parameters for S1 and S2 exposures is assumed to linearly revert to those observed at the end of the baseline scenario over 6 years following the end of the adverse scenario.
- 134. If the lifetime ECL in a given year is calculated by banks as the discount of losses for each future time slice until the lifetime or workout period of the respective exposure, the expectation for the application of the perfect foresight is the following:
 - The loss for each future time slice until the end of the stress test horizon (i.e. probabilities
 of defaulting in each future year and respective LGDs) shall fully incorporate the impact of
 the scenario in each future year until 2027;
 - The loss for each future time slice after the stress test horizon shall follow the prescribed path of macroeconomic variables for S3 exposures (i.e. future PDs and LGDs reflecting flat macro variables, except GDP, as per paragraph 132) and the prescribed path of risk parameters for S1 and S2 exposures (i.e. future PDs and LGDs remaining flat in the baseline calculation and reverting to the baseline parameters in the adverse calculation, as per paragraph 133);
 - According to paragraph 33, maturity and amortisation of exposures during the stress test
 horizon shall lead to the replacement of the same amount with similar characteristics.
 Maturity and amortisation of exposures without replacement can only be assumed by banks
 for time slices after the stress test horizon;



- If in a given year there are migrations from S2 to S1, the ECL related to that particular exposure flow is expected to change due to a 12-month calculation rather than lifetime. The ECL for the remaining part of S2 exposures shall be kept constant unless replaced;
- If in a given year there are migrations from S2 to S3, the ECL related to that particular exposure flow is expected to change due to the application of 100% PD and ECL fully driven by the LGD. The ECL for the remaining part of S2 exposures shall be kept constant unless replaced;
- Increases in vintages shall not be considered during the stress horizon, but the loss rates and LGDs shall consider the expected time-in-default.
- 135. In order to assess the projected LGD/LR parameters, historical LGD/LR parameters for 2024 are requested as memorandum items. In addition to the LRs based on the coverage ratio, banks are also required to provide the LGD/LR parameter estimates by anticipating the baseline scenario as per paragraph 114.
- 136. If an exposure towards a Parent Company is subject to the credit risk scope for the 2025 EU-wide stress test, banks should treat the parent exposures at arm's length and provide transition and loss rates for a counterparty considering the credit quality and nature of the exposures (e.g. overnight placements).
- 137. Projected risk parameters have to be reported in the credit risk scenario template (CSV_CR_ SCEN).

2.4.3. Calculation of non-performing assets and provisions

- 138. The development of the parameters as described in the previous section based on a single scenario in each macroeconomic scenario (baseline and adverse) must be applied for the computation of the provisions resulting from exposure transitions across stages.
- 139. The additional impairment losses for all the stages computed (as described in the following sections) will be reported in the P&L as 'impairment of financial assets other than instruments designated at fair value through P&L'.
- 140. In line with the perfect foresight definition from paragraph 132, for initial S2 and S3 exposures and for exposures that transition from S1 to S2 or to S3, banks are required to reflect in the calculation of lifetime ECL the impact of the macroeconomic scenario for the remaining lifetime and possible workout period of the exposure. For example, if property prices drop by 10% over the 3-year horizon of the adverse scenario then this drop should be reflected in the impairment loss for old S3 exposures in 2025.



a. Stock of provisions

141. The stock of provisions depends on the existing exposures in each stage and the new exposures that have moved between stages. The stock of provisions for each stage will change over time during the stress period as summarised in Box 3.

Box 3: Development of the stock of provisions

Stock of provisions S1 = Provisions for new S1 exposures + Provisions for existing S1 exposures

Prov Stock
$$S1(t+1) = Prov S2-S1(t+1) + Prov S1-S1(t+1)$$

Stock of provisions S2 = Provisions for new S2 exposures + Provisions for existing S2 exposures

Prov Stock S2(
$$t+1$$
) = Prov S1-S2($t+1$) + Prov S2-S2($t+1$)

Stock of provisions S3 = Provisions for new S3 + Provisions for existing S3 exposures

Prov Stock S3(t+1) = Prov Cumul S1-S3(t+1) + Prov Cumul S2-S3(t+1) + Prov Old S3(t+1)

- 142. Projected provisions are calculated in the credit risk scenario template (CSV CR SCEN).
- b. Stock of provisions of S1 exposures
- 143. The stock of provisions for S1 exposures is given by exposures existing (and remaining) in S1 (Prov S1-S1) and new S1 exposures migrating from S2 to S1 (Prov S2-S1).³⁶
- 144. The calculation method of new S1 provisions is outlined in Box 4.

Box 4: Provisions for new S1 exposures

The provisions for new S1 exposures are computed as follows:

Prov S2-S1(
$$t$$
+1) = S2-S1 flow * TR¹⁻³(t +2) * LGD¹⁻³(t +2)
S2-S1 flow = Exp S2^{BoY}(t +1) * TR²⁻¹(t +1)

Where:

• Exp $S2^{BoY}(t+1)$ is the S2 exposures at the beginning of year t+1.

³⁶ Provisions for S1 exposures at the end of year (t+1) account for the possibility that exposures might default during the following year (t+2). Therefore, TR1-3 and LGD1-3 applied to existing and new S1 exposures at the end of the year (t+1), respectively, should reflect the estimates for the following year (t+2).



- LGD¹⁻³(t+2) refers to the expected loss rate for exposures that transition from S1 to S3 during t+2.
- TR¹⁻³(t+2) refers to the 1-year transition probability of S1 exposures to S3 during t+2.
- TR²⁻¹(t+1) refers to the 1-year transition probability of S2 exposures to S1 during t+1.

For simplification, as the baseline credit risk parameters are assumed to stay flat after year 3, then provisions for new S1 in year 3 (2025), under the baseline scenario, are calculated as:

Prov S2-S1_{Base}(2027 EoY) = Exp S2(2027 BoY) *
$$TR^{2-1}_{Base}(2027)$$
 * $(TR^{1-3}_{Base}(2027))$ * $LGD^{1-3}_{Base}(2027)$)

For simplification, as the adverse scenario credit risk parameters are assumed to linearly revert to the baseline credit risk parameters within 6 years, then provisions for new S1 in year 3 (2025), under the adverse scenario, are calculated as:

Prov S2-S1_{Adv}(2027 EoY) = Exp S2(2027 BoY) *
$$TR^{2-1}_{Adv}(2027)$$
 * (5/6 * $TR^{1-3}_{Adv}(2027)$ * LGD¹⁻³_{Adv}(2027) + 1/6 * $TR^{1-3}_{Base}(2027)$ * LGD¹⁻³_{Base}(2027))

145. The provisions for exposures existing in S1 (Prov S1-S1) should reflect the change in ECL due to the scenario and grade migration. Box 5 outlines the method for calculating provisions on existing S1 exposures.

Box 5: Provisions for existing S1 exposures

The provisions for existing S1 exposures are computed as follows:

Where:

- Exp $S1^{BoY}(t+1)$ is the S1 exposures at the beginning of year t+1.
- $TR^{1-2}(t+1)$ refers to the 1-year transition probability of S1 exposures to S2 during t+1.
- $TR^{1-3}(t+1)$ refers to the 1-year transition probability of S1 exposures to S3 during t+1.
- $TR^{1-3}(t+2)$ refers to the 1-year transition probability of S1 exposures to S3 during t+2.
- LGD¹⁻³(t+2) refers to the expected loss rate for exposures that transition from S1 to S3 during t+2.

For simplification, as the baseline credit risk parameters are assumed to stay flat after year 3, then provisions for existing S1 in year 3 (2027), under the baseline scenario, are calculated as:



Prov S1-S1_{Base}(2027 EoY) = Exp S1(2027 BoY) * (1 -
$$TR^{1-2}_{Base}(2027)$$
 - $TR^{1-3}_{Base}(2027)$) * ($TR^{1-3}_{Base}(2027)$ * $LGD^{1-3}_{Base}(2027)$)

For simplification, as the adverse scenario credit risk parameters are assumed to linearly revert to the baseline credit risk parameters within 6 years, then provisions for existing S1 in year 3 (2027), under the adverse scenario, are calculated as:

Prov S1-S1_{Adv}(2027 EoY) = Exp S1(2027 BoY) * (1 -
$$TR^{1-2}_{Adv}(2027)$$
 - $TR^{1-3}_{Adv}(2027)$) * (5/6 * $TR^{1-3}_{Adv}(2027)$ * LGD¹⁻³_{Adv}(2027) + 1/6 * $TR^{1-3}_{Base}(2027)$ * LGD¹⁻³_{Base}(2027))

- c. Stock of provisions of S2 exposures
- 146. The stock of provisions for S2 exposures is given by exposures existing in S2 (Prov S2-S2) and new S2 exposures migrating from S1 to S2 (Prov S1-S2) and calculated based on a lifetime perspective.³⁷
- 147. Box 6 outlines the method for calculating provisions on S1 exposures that deteriorate in credit quality and move to S2 within the year.

Box 6: Provisions for new S2 exposures

The provisions for exposures that move from S1 to S2 are computed as follows:

Prov S1-S2(
$$t+1$$
) = S1-S2 flow * LRLT¹⁻²($t+1$)
S1-S2 flow = Exp S1^{BOY}($t+1$) * TR¹⁻²($t+1$)

Where:

- Exp $S1^{BoY}(t+1)$ is the S1 exposures at the beginning of year t+1.
- $TR^{1-2}(t+1)$ refers to the 1-year transition probability of S1 exposures to S2 during t+1.
- LRLT¹⁻²(t+1) refers to the forward-looking lifetime ECL parameter for exposures that transition from S1 to S2 during t+1.
- 148. Box 7 shows the approach for calculating provisions for existing S2 exposures (Prov S2-S2). Prov Stock S2 is calculated by adding the provisions for additional S2 exposure (Prov S1-S2).

³⁷ As per paragraph 84, the LRLT applied to existing and new S2 exposures at the end of the year (t+1), respectively, shall be forward-looking (i.e. consider the macroeconomic conditions from the end of the year until the lifetime of the underlying exposure).



Box 7: Provisions for existing S2 exposures

The provisions for S2 exposures that were also categorised at the beginning of the year as S2 are computed as follows:

Where:

- Exp $S2^{BoY}(t+1)$ is the S2 exposures at the beginning of year t+1.
- $TR^{2-1}(t+1)$ refers to the 1-year transition probability of S2 exposures to S1 during t+1.
- $TR^{2-3}(t+1)$ refers to the 1-year transition probability of S2 exposures to S3 during t+1.
- LRLT²⁻²(t+1) refers to the forward-looking lifetime ECL parameter for exposures that were S2 at the beginning of t+1 and are S2 at the end of t+1.

d. Stock of provisions of S3 exposures

- 149. The stock of provisions for S3 exposures is given by the sum of provisions allocated to exposures existing in S3 at the beginning of the exercise (Prov Old S3), new S3 exposures migrating from S1 to S3 (Prov S1-S3) and new S3 exposures migrating from S2 to S3 (Prov S2-S3).
- 150. No release of accumulated provisions for any given S3 exposure is permitted for any year or scenario and this restriction shall be applied at the exposure level.
- 151. Provisions for new S3 exposures from S1 and S2 shall be calculated as shown in Box 8. Given the restriction of no release of accumulated provisions for any S3 exposure, provisions on new S3 exposures are accumulated throughout the stress test horizon.

Box 8: Provisions for new S3 exposures

The provisions for new S3 exposures at time *t* is given by:

Where:

• Exp $S1^{BoY}(t+1)$ is the S1 exposures at the beginning of year t+1.



- Exp $S2^{BoY}(t+1)$ is the S2 exposures at the beginning of year t+1.
- TR1-3(t+1) refers to the 1-year transition probability of S1 exposures to S3 during t+1.
- TR2-3(t+1) refers to the 1-year transition probability of S2 exposures to S3 during t+1.
- LGD1-3(*t*+1) refers to the expected loss rate for exposures that transition from S1 to S3 during *t*+1.
- LGD2-3(*t*+1) refers to the expected loss rate for exposures that transition from S2 to S3 during *t*+1.

For S3 exposures, both the adverse and the baseline credit risk parameters shall assume a flat profile for the macroeconomic variables after year 3 (paragraph 132).

- 152. Box 9 describes the approach to be used to derive the provisions for existing S3 exposures.
- 153. As described in paragraph 132, perfect foresight applies to impairment losses on existing S3 exposures. In addition, due to the fact that these exposures are already non-performing, the provisions should be calculated based on the first year risk parameter.

Box 9: Provisions for existing S3 exposures

The provisions for existing S3 exposures are given by:

Prov Old S3(t+1) = MAX {Old Exp S3^{BoY}(2025) * LRLT³⁻³(t+1); Prov Old S3^{BoY}(t+1)}

Where:

- Old Exp S3^{BoY}(2025) is the S3 exposures at the beginning of the exercise.
- Prov Old $S3^{BoY}(t+1)$ is the stock of provisions at the beginning of t+1 allocated to S3 exposures existing at the beginning of the exercise.
- LRLT $^{3-3}(t+1)$ is the loss rate estimated at t+1 for the stock of existing S3 exposures at the beginning of the exercise. Due to the perfect foresight assumption, this loss rate is the same in every year of the projection.

e. Provisions on sovereign exposures

154. Banks are required to estimate default and impairment flows for sovereign positions recorded at amortised cost according to the macroeconomic baseline and adverse scenarios. This in particular covers only sovereign positions whose exposure (Exp) is reported under the categories 'central governments' and 'regional governments or local authorities' for both IRB and STA portfolios. For exposures to central banks zero loss rates are to be applied under the



baseline and adverse scenarios. Fair value positions (i.e. FVOCI and FVPL) are subject to the market risk approach.

155. In order to compute these provisions, banks will be provided with a set of stressed TR, LGD and LR parameters developed by the ECB for a selection of countries. The application of these parameters is mandatory for all banks and for all countries regardless of whether a country has to be reported separately according to paragraph 102. For the estimation of provisions on sovereign exposures for countries where the ECB does not provide stressed credit risk parameters, banks are required to estimate their own parameters with an adequate degree of conservatism and following the hierarchy of approaches described in Section 2.4.2.

2.4.4. FX lending

- 156. Banks with significant foreign currency exposure are required to take into account the altered creditworthiness of their respective obligors, given the FX development under the baseline and adverse scenarios. The marginal impact from the risk emanating from FX lending exposure has to cover both TRs and LRs. For TRs, the impact should be based on satellite models that link the macroeconomic scenario to the transition rates. For the loss rate, the impact should be based on an add-on for the LTV ratio in the case of collateralised exposures, while, in the case of uncollateralised exposures, banks should apply the appropriate FX add-on based on relevant historical information.
- 157. In particular, banks are required to evaluate this impact for exposures denominated in a currency other than the local currency of the borrower at asset class level for each country of counterparty if the total share of exposures in foreign currencies is above the thresholds described in Table 8 and Table 9 below.

Table 8: FX lending threshold (per country of counterparty) — IRB asset classes, relevant for projections

IRB asset classes	Threshold (%)
Corporates — Specialised lending	5
Corporates — Purchased receivables	5
Corporates — Other — Large Corporates	5
Corporates — Other — SME	5
Corporates — Other — Mid-caps	5
Retail — Secured by residential estate property	5
Retail — Qualifying revolving	5
Retail — Other retail	5



Table 9: FX lending threshold (per country of counterparty) — STA asset classes, relevant for projections

STA exposure classes	Threshold (%)
Corporate — SME	5
Corporate — Specialised lending	5
Corporate — Other	5
Retail — SME	5
Retail — Non-SME	5
Secured by mortgages on immovable property and ADC exposures — Residential	5
immovable property	
Secured by mortgages on immovable property and ADC exposures — Commercial	5
immovable property	

2.5. Impact on REA, standardised REA, and IRB regulatory EL

- 158. Banks are required to simulate the impact on credit risk REA, used as component of the UTREA and IRB regulatory EL for credit risk caused by the application of the macroeconomic scenarios (baseline and adverse). When banks use internal models for the calculation of REA for credit risks, they are also required to simulate the impact caused by the application of the macroeconomic scenario on the REA for credit risk which is relevant for the calculation of STREA. The scope of the REA templates is wider than the P&L impact section. The exposure values to consider in the REA templates will follow the COREP perimeter, taking into account exposures subject to counterparty credit risk and eligible CRM techniques, but without "migration" between different asset classes as outlined in paragraph 164.
- 159. The exposure value of the positions included in the FVPL and FVOCI portfolio, whose P&L impact is assessed under the market risk framework, will remain constant for the purpose of the credit risk REA and the STREA estimation.
- 160. The use of new internal models or modifications of existing internal models or reversal to less sophisticated approaches are to be considered for calculating the REA only in accordance with paragraphs 28 and 29. The expected increase in regulatory parameters during the stress horizon, derived from their re-estimation following the addition of new data under stress conditions, shall be considered. The projections shall take into account any specific conditions for the continued use of such models for regulatory capital purposes e.g. any regulatory floors and/or parameter-level supervisory scalars. Exposures which change regulatory approach following the application of CRR3 on 1 January 2025, should be reported under the regulatory asset classes applicable as of 1 January 2025, for both restated-2024 and the scenario, but not under actual-2024 and historical. Specifically, exposures which as of 1 January 2025 are classified as IRB 'Corporates Other Large Corporates' and "Institutions" for which banks shall not use the A-IRB approach, should consider this when reporting the restated data, while they should be classified under the original asset classes (applicable on 31 December 2024) when reporting the actual data. However, banks that have been granted permission to use the IRB approach for



the calculation of REA for equity exposures up to 31 December 2024 should continue to report these exposures under the IRB approach for the purpose of the restated starting points and the stress test projections following the application of CRR3 on 1 January 2025, if they apply the treatment laid down in Article 495(1).

- 161. For both STA and IRB portfolios, the end-2024 level of UTREA serves as a methodological floor for the total credit risk UTREA for non-defaulted and defaulted assets calculated using stressed regulatory risk parameters in the baseline and adverse scenarios which is used as input for the unfloored total REA of the institution. This methodological floor is applied separately for the aggregate IRB and STA portfolios and shall consider the restatement of UTREA amounts at the starting point due to the introduction of the CRR3 as of 1 January 2025. The floor does not apply for the calculation of the total credit risk standardised REA that serves as input to the calculation of the standardised total REA (S-TREA). As per paragraph 25, regulatory risk-weight floors based on Article 124, Article 164, or Article 458 of the CRR that are in force as of 31 December 2024 or are applicable as of 31 December 2024 and a modification applies as of 1 January 2025, should be assumed to remain in force for the restated figures and the entire projection period.
- 162. REA for contributions to the default fund of a CCP is assumed to remain constant across both scenarios.
- 163. The exposure composition with respect to rating classes is expected to change as a result of defaulted asset flows and credit deterioration. For both STA and IRB portfolios, the exposure distribution among risk grades and defaulted exposures needs to be adjusted (assuming rating grade migration) based on the banks' own methodologies as appropriate, and consistent with the estimated default flows and migrations for impairment purposes. Accordingly, exposures that are downgraded or that are defaulted must be risk-weighted at the appropriate risk weights (e.g. in the case of STA defaulted unsecured exposures, at 100% or 150%).
- 164. The impact of the defined scenarios on collateral values and eligibility shall also be considered for REA and IRB EL projections, including the projections of the credit risk REA for the standardised REA in accordance with point (a) paragraph 5 of Article 92 of the CRR3 applicable as of 1 January 2025. Banks shall assume no "migration" of exposures and REA between different asset classes during the stress test horizon, i.e. to consider exposure value of each asset class as static and report the respective REA in the same asset class. This applies for instance for exposures that do not meet definitions of secured by mortgages on immovable property 'due to decrease in property value over the stress test horizon. Banks are required to calculate risk weights as per CRR and project collateral and credit quality in line with the scenarios.
- 165. For the defaulted exposures, where the institutions apply the LGD values set out in Article 161(1) of the CRR, the REA shall be 0. If banks use own estimates of LGD, the REA for defaulted exposures is calculated in accordance with Article 153 of the CRR (as shown in Box 10 below).



- 166. No transitional arrangements according to Article 465 of the CRR3 should be considered for the reporting of standardised REA neither at the starting point nor for the projections within the CSV_CR_REA_OF template.
- 167. The credit risk UTREA amounts in the CSV_CR_REA template should be reported including transitional arrangements. The impact of these arrangements should be reported as an "of-which" item within the CSV_CAP template. The credit risk amounts of STREA, including the amounts reported within the CSV_CR_REA_OF template and the adjustments to the STREA for STA credit risk exposures due to the IMM for CCR and master netting agreements in the CSV_CR_REA_STA template, should be reported at the starting point and projections horizon without considering any transitional arrangements according to Article 465 of the CRR3. The latter transitional adjustments should be reported within the CSV_CAP template. However, the starting point and projections of credit risk STREA should be reported including other transitional adjustments other than these applying to the output floor that affect the calculation of risk exposure amounts and their impact will be reported as an "of-which" item within the CSV_CAP template. Guidance on transitional adjustments is provided in Annex XI.
- 168. Transitional arrangements for equity exposures, related to articles 495 and 495a of the CRR3 should be considered for the projections of REA within the CSV_CR_REA templates and thus included in the projections of the UTREA. In this case, banks should continue to report equity under the related IRB exposure class in accordance with paragraph 160 of this note. Banks should report the impact of the included transitional arrangements as an "of which" item to the UTREA and STREA within the CSV_CAP template.

Box 10: REA estimation for defaulted exposures

REA Def (t) = MAX $\{0 : [LGDreg(t) \text{ on default stock} - ELBE(t)] * 12.5 * Def Stock(t)\}.$

Where:

- LGDreg(t) on default stock should incorporate downturn conditions and additional potential unexpected losses due to the impact of the scenarios.
- ELBE (in the CSV_CR_REA template) represents the Expected loss best estimate. The ELBE, as also underlined in Article 181(1)(h) of the CRR, should reflect economic circumstances.
- 169. The IRB excess or shortfall is calculated at an aggregate level, separately for the portfolios of defaulted and non-defaulted exposures. As per Article 159 of the CRR, the IRB excess resulting from the calculation performed for the defaulted portfolio shall not be used to offset an IRB shortfall resulting from the calculation performed for the portfolio of exposures that are not in default. However, the IRB excess from the overall non-defaulted portfolio may be used to cover any IRB shortfall from the overall defaulted portfolio. If the mechanism outlined above results in an IRB excess of credit risk adjustments and additional value adjustments over expected



losses, this amount must be included in Tier 2 capital as set out in Article 62(d) of the CRR, i.e. up to 0.6% of REA. The expected loss amounts for equity exposures need to be reported in a dedicated row of the CSV_CR_REA_IRB in case the expected loss for equity exposures is deducted in COREP and if the bank has applied the treatment in accordance with CRR3 Article 495(1) as of 1 January 2025. The expected loss amounts for other non-credit obligation assets shall be zero.

- 170. The development of the credit risk adjustments after the starting point is linked to the changes in provisions related to exposures that are determined as described for the estimation of impairments in section 2.4.3.
- 171. Upon request from the competent authority, the table in Annex VII with the exposure value by ETV buckets for residential immovable property and commercial immovable property portfolios under the STA exposure class "Secured by mortgages on immovable property and ADC exposures" should be filled in and included in the explanatory note. This information should be reported with reference to 2024 restated exposures and to each year of the scenario. For exposures with an ETV larger than 100%, banks should report the part of the exposure with ETV above 100% but also the part of the exposure with ETV up to 100%.

2.6. REA for CCR

- 172. The previous section 2.5 regarding the REA and IRB regulatory EL applies to the exposures subject to CCR (both banking and trading book).
- 173. For calculating the REA for CCR, regulatory exposures relating to CCR will be reported using the appropriate template (CSV_CR_REA) and asset classes listed in Table 2 to Table 5 for only this purpose.
- 174. CCR regulatory exposures will remain constant and will not be affected by the impact of market risk scenarios or by any offset for increased accounting CVA in the scenarios (as set out in Article 273(6) of the CRR). In particular, stressed regulatory PD and LGD parameters (PDreg and LGDreg) shall be applied to these constant CCR regulatory exposures for the calculation of stressed REA for CCR. Similarly, the CCR regulatory exposures will also remain constant for the calculation of the standardised credit risk REA in the CSV_CR_REA_OF template and exclude transitional arrangements related to Article 465(4) of the CRR3. The impact of the latter shall be reported separately within the CSV_CAP template.

2.7. Securitisation exposures

175. All exposures subject to Chapter 5 of the CRR (i.e. securitisation banking book positions, both on-balance and off-balance) as well as exposures subject to the specific risk part of trading



book positions in accordance with Article 337 of CRR are included in the scope of this section.³⁸ Therefore, all these positions for which risk weights are calculated (retained originator positions for which SRT has been achieved and investor positions) need to be reported in the securitisation template. Securitisation positions deducted from capital shall not be reported in any of the securitisation templates.

- 176. Originating banks are required to treat the underlying exposures of securitisation transactions where no SRT has taken place under the credit risk methodology and should report them accordingly in the credit risk templates.
- 177. Banks are required to take into account the credit risk mitigation effect in accordance with Article 249 of the CRR. In particular, this holds for originator and investor exposures to securitisations issued or guaranteed by international organisations, multilateral development banks, governments or government agencies, where firms are subject to the credit risk of these institutions rather than the credit risk of the underlying exposures.
- 178. In line with section 2.3.2, the static balance sheet assumption shall be applied by keeping the outstanding balance of all securitisation exposures unchanged throughout the time horizon of the stress test. Fair value changes shall not have an impact on the exposure amount and the REA calculation for the application of the credit risk methodology.
- 179. All securitisation exposures are to be reported net of specific credit risk adjustments and in accordance with Article 248(1) of CRR.
- 180. For the computation of the P&L impact, banks are required to estimate the amount of specific credit risk adjustments for securitisation exposures that are not subject to mark-to-market valuation, taking into account the features of the baseline and adverse macroeconomic scenarios. FVOCI and FVPL portfolios are thus excluded from the calculation of specific credit risk adjustments. The cumulative specific credit risk adjustments on securitisations shall be reported in CSV_CR_SEC (i.e. incremental impairments must be added to impairments already considered in prior periods). For each individual security, the underlying pool needs to be stressed under the different scenarios to produce consistent impairment estimates. Estimated specific credit risk adjustments should take into consideration the impact of credit enhancement and other structural features when applying the credit risk methodology. Banks are required to outline their calculations in the explanatory note.
- 181. For securitisation exposures subject to mark-to-market valuation (i.e. FVOCI and FVPL), banks are required to estimate the P&L impact via the mark-to-market loss incurred as a result of the impact of the scenarios according to the market risk methodology (see section 3). Banks shall report the "of which" amount of exposures that are not subject to mark-to-market valuation in a dedicated column of the CSV_CR_SEC template.

2

 $^{^{38}}$ The general risk capital requirements of these exposures shall be reported in the market risk templates.



- 182. For the estimation of the REA serving as input to the calculation of the unfloored total REA and the standardised total REA, the stress under the securitisation framework is applied to the securitisation positions in both the banking book and the trading book within the scope of this section as per paragraph 175. Thus, all REA impact for exposures in the trading book (e.g. within correlation trading portfolios), except the specific risk of securitisation exposures, are covered by the market risk methodology and shall be reported within market risk templates.
- 183. The "actual" starting point figures for the REA should be reported based on regulatory standards applicable as of 31 December 2024. The starting point REA values "restated" for the application of the CRR3 should be reported based on regulatory standards applicable as of 1 January 2025 (i.e., CRR3) and will serve as the basis for the stress test projections. The securitisations STREA, including the REA for exposures that are risk weighted using the SEC-IRB or the SEC-IAA, should be reported without considering transitional arrangements in accordance with Article 465(13) of CRR3 the impact of which should be reported in the CSV_CAP template. Accordingly, securitisations that lost their STS certification before 31 December 2024 should be classified as non-STS.
- 184. For all regulatory approaches (i.e. SEC-IRBA, SEC-SA, SEC-ERBA and SEC-IAA), a fixed risk weight increase will be applied for projecting the REA amounts relevant for the calculation of the UTRA. For this reason, all exposures have to be mapped to the CQSs from SEC-ERBA look-up tables of Articles 263(3) and 264(3) of CRR. Senior securitisation positions in a qualifying traditional NPE securitisation positions treated according to paragraph 3 of Article 269a of Regulation (EU) 575/2013 should be allocated to the dedicated buckets. Exposures shall be mapped to the CQS that ensures a similar risk weight as the actual one after the application of regulatory floors. This mapping shall take into account the tranche-specific seniority, maturity and qualification as an STS transaction based on corresponding applicable definitions from CRR (e.g. Article 243 for the eligibility as an STS transaction or Articles 263 and 264 for SEC-ERBA). SEC-ERBA exposures shall be mapped to the CQS row in line with the ECAI rating before any adjustment for tranche thickness. The fixed risk weight increase of the standardised REA for SEC-IRBA and SEC-IAA will be equal to the fixed risk weight increase of the unfloored REA of SEC-IRBA and SEC-IAA.
- 185. The mapping of exposures to the SEC-ERBA look-up tables is required to follow these steps: first, the SEC-ERBA look-up table to be considered depends on the classification as STS (Article 264(3) of CRR) or non-STS (Article 263(3) of CRR); second, the allocation to a specific column is done in line with the tranche seniority; third, for the allocation to a specific CQS row, unless paragraphs 186 or 187 apply, the exposure is mapped to the CQS row where the actual RW falls within the range of RWs for one year and five year maturity (i.e. CQSi 1y RW < Sec RW ≤ CQSi 5y RW); fourth, the exposure is split between the one and five years' cells of the CQS row identified

63

³⁹ Banks shall report for information purposes only the exposures mapped to the CQS before the application of regulatory floors



in the previous step so that the weighted average of RW resulting from the split is equal to the actual RW of the securitisation.⁴⁰ Box 11 provides examples on the mapping of exposures.

- 186. In case the RW satisfies two CQS rows, the CQS to select is the one for which the weighted average maturity ensuring the same RW is closer to the original maturity of the securitisation;⁴¹ in case the weighted average maturity is equally distant, in absolute terms, to the original maturity, the exposure shall be allocated to the higher CQS;⁴² in case there are two CSQ rows with the same maturity and the same risk weight, the exposure shall also be allocated to the higher CQS.
- 187. In case a securitisation's actual RW is not in the range of any CQS row because there is a gap between the RW for five years' maturity of a determined CQS and the RW for one year maturity of the next higher CQS it is necessary to split the notional between different CQS rows so that the weighted average RW remains equal to the original. In this case, the weight for the five years' bucket of the lower CQS is equal to (CQShigher 1y RW Sec RW) / (CQShigher 1y RW CQSlower 5y RW) and the weight for the one year bucket of the higher CQS is equal to (1 (CQShigher 1y RW Sec RW) / (CQShigher 1y RW CQSlower 5y RW)).

Box 11: Mapping of securitisation exposures

A) Illustration of the mapping for a non-senior tranche assessed via the SEC-ERBA and with the following characteristics: initially allocated to CQS 5, maturity of tranche of 3 years and not classified as an STS transaction.

- 1. According to Article 263(4) of CRR, the RW before the correction for the thickness shall be 110% following the linear interpolation between one year (60%) and five years maturity (160%).
- Since in this example the RW before thickness adjustment is in the middle between the RW for one year and the RW for five years, the exposure shall be allocated to the row of CQS 5 and split equally between one and five years maturity (non-senior tranche columns).
- B) Illustration of the mapping for a senior tranche assessed via the SEC-IRBA and with the following characteristics: maturity of tranche of two years, RW of 12.5% and classified as an STS transaction.

_

⁴⁰ 5y weight = (Sec RW - CQS $_i$ 1y RW) / (CQS $_i$ 5y RW - CQS $_i$ 1y RW); 1y weight = 1 - 5y weight.

⁴¹ For example, a senior STS position with maturity of four years and a RW of 19% would fall within the ranges of CQS 3 and CQS 4. The allocation that ensures the same average RW would lead to a weighted average maturity of 4.2 years and 2.6 years, respectively for CQS 3 and CQS 4. Therefore, the closest weighted average maturity to the original maturity of this securitisation would be the one of CQS 3.

⁴² For example, a senior STS position with maturity of four years and a RW of 20% would fall within the ranges of CQS 3, CQS 4 and CQS 5. The allocation that ensures the same average RW would lead to a weighted average maturity of 5 years, 3 years and 1 year, respectively for CQS 3, CQS 4 and CQS 5. Since the CQS with the closest maturity to the original one are equally distant to that original maturity (absolute distance of CQS 3 and 4 is equal to one), the higher CQS of these two is chosen (i.e. CQS 4).



- Given its seniority and RW, the exposure shall be mapped to the column of senior positions and row of CQS 2, since 10% < 12.5% ≤ 15%.
- 2. The exposure shall be split equally between one year and five years maturity because this is the allocation that ensures a weighted average RW of 12.5% (50% * 10% + 50% * 15%).
- C) Illustration of the mapping for a senior tranche assessed via the SEC-SA and with the following characteristics: maturity tranche of 2.5 years, RW of 110% and not classified as an STS transaction.
- 1. Since the original RW does not fall within the range of any single CQS, the exposure needs to be allocated to both CQS 9 (five years bucket; 105% RW) and CQS 10 (one year bucket; 120% RW).
- 2. The weight for the five years bucket of CQS 9 is 66.7% = (120% 110%) / (120% 105%).
- 3. The weight for the one year bucket of CQS 10 is 33.3% = 1 66.7%.
- 188. Mapped risk weights will be subject to predefined increases to be applied in the stress test horizon. The increased risk weights reflect the effect on REA of the potential rating migration of the positions given the baseline and adverse macroeconomic scenarios. The impact will be shown in template CSV_CR_SEC but separately for the different regulatory approaches.
- 189. The securitisation positions are allocated to the three different securitisation categories for which the increase in REA is prescribed. The differentiation is dependent on the structure or asset class of the transaction, regional differentiation, the credit quality of the position and the expected sensitivity to the macroeconomic scenario. The classification is based on an analysis of the migration volatility of different products and their origin. The risk categories and allocation of products are the following:
 - Risk bucket 1: ABCP, EMEA RMBS, EMEA ABS, Americas ABS, APAC RMBS;
 - Risk bucket 2: EMEA CMBS, EMEA CDO, Americas CMBS, APAC CMBS;
 - Risk bucket 3: Americas RMBS, Americas CDO, re-securitisations and all other positions.
- 190. In the case of mixed pools, the allocation shall be done in a risk-oriented way, i.e. according to the bucket that covers the highest share of total REA within the tranche.
- 191. Re-securitisations shall be treated in line with Article 269 of CRR and shall always be reported under the respective CQS in the SEC-SA part of the template.

⁴³ On-balance sheet synthetic securitisations may be allocated to other risk buckets according to equivalent traditional securitisations as long as the underlying risk is equivalent. In this case, banks should provide in the explanatory note supporting evidence for the equivalence in risk and the related allocation to another risk bucket.



- 192. Banks are required to estimate the amount of specific credit risk adjustments before the calculation of REA for securitisation positions. Impairments estimated for the computation of the P&L impact will be taken into account in accordance with Article 248(1) of CRR. Additional specific credit risk adjustments for securitisations estimated during the stress test horizon will directly impact the P&L.
- 193. When external ratings are not available and banks use the SEC-IAA approach for REA calculation purposes, these securitisation positions shall be reported according to the assigned CQS. Similarly, when inferred ratings have been derived in accordance with Article 263(7) of CRR for securitisation positions, these securitisation positions shall be reported according to the assigned CQS.
- 194. Positions subject to additional risk weights resulting from the application of Article 270a of CRR shall also be reported on an aggregate level in the template CSV_CR_SEC_SUM. They are not stressed during the stress test horizon, i.e. REA stays constant at the 2024 restated value for those positions.
- 195. The end-2024 level of REA, after restatement for the application of CRR3 on 1 January 2025, serves as a floor for the total REA calculated under the baseline and adverse scenarios for the projections of the securitisations REA serving as component of the UTREA. This floor is applied separately for each securitisation approach.
- 196. The impact in terms of REA from the maximum risk weight for senior securitisation positions and the maximum capital requirement outlined in Articles 267 and 268 of CRR shall be reported as a memorandum item. The REA reported for each approach and the RW used for the mapping from paragraph 184 shall consider the impact of the application of the maximum risk weight and maximum capital requirements mentioned above (i.e. report the capped amount, if applicable).



3. Market risk, CCR losses and Valuation reserves

3.1. Overview

- 197. The impact of market risk on all positions at partial or full fair value measurement is to be assessed via a full revaluation after applying a common set of stressed market risk factor shocks provided in the market risk scenario. Under the trading exemption, banks are allowed to not apply a full revaluation on items held with a trading intent and their related hedges.
- 198. Following criteria of proportionality, banks are classified as Trading Exemption (TE), Comprehensive Approach (CA) or Advanced Comprehensive Approach (CA-adv). Banks are required to assess the full revaluation at different degrees of granularity depending on their classification (see Section 3.4.3).
- 199. Banks must recalculate their accounting and regulatory valuation reserves (i.e. CVA, FuVA and liquidity reserves) based on the market risk scenario consistently with the full revaluation, also considering model uncertainty for L2/L3 assets and liabilities.
- 200. In addition, for items held with a trading intent, client revenues can be projected for each year if the bank is able to provide historical evidence of the sustainability of these incomes. Under the trading exemption, banks are allowed to set these revenues to 80% of the average across the last three years if historical data is supplied.
- 201. For CCR, it is assumed that the three most vulnerable of the 10 largest counterparties default.
- 202. In addition, banks are required to determine the impact of the scenarios on REA; however, these are largely based on prescribed assumption as explained in Box 12.
- 203. Banks' projections are subject to the constraints summarised in Box 12.

Box 12: Summary of the constraints on banks' projections of market risk

- No change, i.e. no deviation from the starting value, is assumed under the baseline scenario (paragraph 249) for the full revaluation.
- For CA and CA-adv banks, the full revaluation impact on items held with a trading intent and their related hedges is floored at a haircut based on the FRTB-ASA REA (see paragraph 256).



- The baseline value for the NTI is defined (based on average historical values) as the minimum of the averages across the last 2, 3 and 5 years, where the 2-year average is floored at 0 (Box 17).
- Under the adverse scenario, client revenues projections for CA and CA-adv banks are capped at 80% of the average of the last three years of historical client revenues (paragraph 312). Client revenues for TE banks are assumed to remain flat in the adverse scenario unless banks can prove that they have generated client revenues over time. If so client revenues are set to 80% of the average of the last three years of historical client revenues (Box 16).
- REA stays constant in the baseline scenario and cannot decrease below the starting value in the adverse scenario (paragraphs 335).
- REA is assumed to be a multiple of the risk measures for VaR and APR (Table 14).
- Banks that do not have in place a VaR model approved by the competent authority are assumed to maintain market risk REA constant at the starting value for both the baseline and adverse scenarios (paragraph 332).
- The impact on REA for IRC and SA-CVA is floored at the increase for IRB REA (paragraphs 336 and 344).

3.2. Scope

- 204. The scope of the market risk stress methodology covers all positions under full or partial fair value measurement i.e. positions at FVPL, FVOCI and amortised cost positions being part of a hedge-accounting relationship.
- 205. This scope includes all hedge-accounting portfolios designated to hedge positions measured at fair value (i.e. FVOCI, and FVPL) or at amortised cost. This includes fair value hedges and cash flow hedges.
- 206. Back-to-back trades are within the scope of the full revaluation if one of the legs is a non-EU institution and should be reported in the CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL and they are reflected in the CSV_MR_SUM template. Instead, Back-to-Back trades are not in scope of the full revaluation if both legs involved in the trades reside in the EU or if the trades occur within the same entity. In addition, given their intra-group nature, Back-to-Back trades are excluded from the CCR methodology and should not be reported in the CSV_MR_CCR template.
- 207. Discontinued operations shall be treated in the market risk methodology if they comply with the requirements set in paragraph 35. In this case, they should be also reported as a memo item in the CSV_MR_SUM template.
- 208. The full revaluation must be performed under the market risk factor shocks for both the hedged position and the hedging instrument separately (i.e. positions cannot be netted prior to



calculating the impact after stress). The CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL template distinguishes fair value changes between hedged items and their related hedging instruments. Therefore, banks should report the two components in separate columns.

- 209. In line with paragraphs 35 and 537, the impact in P&L or OCI stemming from a FX movement on positions measured at amortised cost which are in a hedging relationship (both economic hedge or hedge accounting) is excluded from the scope. Furthermore, the fair value change due to an FX movement of the hedging items of such positions is out of scope for the market risk methodology and should not be reported in the CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL. Banks should provide additional info in the explanatory note on all the hedging relationships mentioned in this paragraph if requested by the Competent Authority (e.g. hedge efficiency and related impact of hedged and hedging items).
- 210. For all other items, the fair-value changes of both, the hedged item, and the hedging item, due to the hedged risk factor (e.g. interest rates or FX) should be considered.
- 211. All positions for which banks calculate accounting and valuation reserves, as well as all positions subject to CCR, are also in scope.
- 212. Securitisation positions held at fair value are also in scope. The market risk impact for securitisation positions therefore needs to be reported in the market risk templates depending on their accounting treatment and in line with any other positions in the scope of the market risk methodology. However, the stressed REA for securitisation positions that are not in the correlation trading portfolio are not in the scope of the market risk methodology and are covered under credit risk in section 2.7.
- 213. Defined benefit pension funds are subject to the application of the corresponding "funds" shock as defined in the market risk adverse scenario.

3.3. High-level assumptions and definitions

3.3.1. Proportionality

- 214. The classification of banks is defined univocally by the supervisory authorities before the start of the exercise.
- 215. Banks are distinguished in three clusters: Trading Exemption (TE), Comprehensive Approach (CA), or Comprehensive Approach Advanced (CA-Adv). Clusters are defined by combining quantitative and qualitative criteria (expert judgement), as summarised with in Table 10. The methodological differences between TE, CA, and CA-Adv banks are also summarised in Box 32 the Annex V.



Table 10: Overview of quantitative requirements and exemptions for proportionality groups of banks for the MR methodology

Classification	Trading Exemption (TE)	Comprehensive Approach (CA)	CA-advanced (CA-adv)
Quantitative	Not reporting FRTB-ASA or	Reporting FRTB-ASA and	Reporting FRTB-ASA and
Criteria	(FRTB-ASA REA / Total REA) < 2%	2% ≤ (FRTB-ASA REA / Total REA) ≤ 8%	(FRTB-ASA REA / Total REA) > 8%
Requirements and exemptions	Partial exemption in CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL	Complete submission of CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL	Complete submission of CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL and Complete submission of CSV_MR_OPT_REVAL

3.3.2. Definitions

- 216. **Partial fair value** is an accounting measurement under which only specified risks are measured at fair value through profit and loss. For example, amortised cost items that are hedged via a fair value hedge-accounting relationship are at partial fair value because the changes of the fair value of the instrument related to the hedged risk are reported in the P&L.
- 217. **Hedged items** are items in any type of hedge-accounting relationship (e.g., fair value and cash flow hedging), under either IFRS 9 or IAS 39.
- 218. **Hedging instruments** are framed univocally in one of the following categories:
 - Fair value hedging instruments are items that are recognised as hedging instruments in a fair value hedge-accounting relationship under either IFRS 9 or IAS 39.
 - Cash flow hedging instruments are items that are recognised as hedging instruments in a cash flow hedge-accounting relationship under either IFRS 9 or IAS 39.
 - Economic hedges are financial instruments that do not meet the requirements of IAS 39 or IFRS 9 to qualify as hedging instruments, but that are held for hedging purposes. Economic hedges follow the definition used in FINREP. They include those derivatives that are classified as HFT but are not part of the trading book as defined in Article 4(1)(86) of the CRR. The item 'economic hedges' does not include derivatives for proprietary trading.
- 219. **L1/L2/L3 instruments** are defined according to FINREP or IFRS 13.
- 220. **Fund positions** are defined as "undertakings for collective investment in transferable securities" (UCITS) as in the <u>Directive 2009/65/EC</u> of the European Parliament and of the Council



of 13 July 2009 on the coordination of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to undertakings for collective investment in transferable securities.

- 221. The "IFRS 9 Measurement type" categories follow the FINREP definition and include items measured at Amortised cost, FVOCI, Mandatory or optional at FVPL, and FVPL (Held for Trading).
 - **Amortised cost** items include items in a hedge-accounting relationship under either IFRS 9 or IAS 39.
 - FVOCI items include both items in a hedge-accounting relationship under either IFRS 9
 or IAS 39 and all items measured at FVOCI that are not part of any hedge-accounting
 relationship.
 - Items mandatory or optional at FVPL are positions that are either (i) non-trading financial assets mandatorily at fair value through profit or loss (IFRS 9.4.1.4) or (ii) designated at fair value through profit or loss (IFRS 7.8(a)(i)).
 - Items held with a trading intent are all financial instruments reported in HFT in FINREP.
- 222. The "**Type of instrument**" categories follow the FINREP definition and include Debt Instruments, Equity, Derivatives, and Other.
- 223. **Back-to-back trades** is a pair of trades with the same risk characteristics and with one of the trade offsetting the risk of the other (e.g., an interest rate swap with a borrower and an offsetting interest rate swap with a dealer counterparty).
- 224. The "Type of counterparty" categories follow the FINREP definition and include Central banks, General governments, Credit institutions, Other financial corporations, Non-financial corporations.
- 225. The "**Type of sensitivity**" categories distinguish between hedged sensitivities and hedging instrument sensitivity.
- 226. **Market risk factors** refer to a set of factors identified by the ESRB and the ECB as the main drivers of market risk that were used to calibrate the impact of the adverse market risk scenario on fair value positions. They include interest rates and volatilities for currencies, exchange rates, changes in volatility for equity, commodity and debt instruments, changes in credit spreads for debt instruments, parameters relevant to the correlation trading portfolios, and bid/ask spreads to be used for the assessment of the impact on market liquidity. Most, but not all, of these market risk factors are explicitly captured in the full revaluation template (CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL).
- 227. **Additional risk factors** are factors other than the ESRB and the ECB market risk factors that have a material contribution to the overall full revaluation results.



- 228. **Basis risk** is defined as the risk arising from the valuation of instruments and positions that are function of risk factors that are similar, but not identical to the ones provided in the market risk scenario.
- 229. **NTI** is defined as the sum of FINREP 02.00 row 0280, 0285, 0287, 0290, 0295 and 0300, with the exclusion of the following items:
 - Net interest income on assets and liabilities in FVPL that are reported in NTI during their periodic financial reporting. Banks shall separate the accrued interest on held-for-trading instruments into a component related to Economic Hedges according to the definition in FINREP Annex V Part. Par. 137 and other instruments. The former will be treated in accordance with Sections 4.1 to 4.4. The latter will be treated in accordance with Section 4.5.
 - All components (including related hedges), which will not further impact P&L according to paragraph 35 (e.g. gains and losses from FX positions, which will not re-occur after the market risk ad-hoc shock).
- 230. For **historical NTI**, the abovementioned exclusion should be carried out on a best effort basis. If the national applicable accounting framework mandatory requires to classify the P&L from FX hedging items as exchange differences instead of NTI, these P&L components must be netted with the effects from the related hedged items reported under NTI. Banks shall describe how the netting was carried out and report the excluded amounts per year in detail for the historical NTI in the explanatory note. One-off effects (as described in in section 6.4.2) shall not be deducted or accounted for in the calculation of the NTI, i.e. historical data for NTI may not be adjusted unless the bank restated its accounts (e.g. for misvaluing derivative positions) over the last 5 years.
- 231. Client revenues from items held with a trading intent are defined as the part of the NTI, which is (i) a retained portion of or a mark-up on the bid/ask-spread, generated from market making or trading activities on behalf of external clients, (ii) prime services revenues and (iii) underwriting fees charged by the bank on a debt underwriting or a debt issuance by a corporate client booked in the trading book. Banks shall describe in the explanatory note how client revenues have been estimated and give a breakdown of which types of transactions are recognised as client revenues. Client revenues as defined above do not include any items treated as "Fee and commission income" according to FINREP template 2 row 0200, which are treated under section 6.4.1 of the methodology. Further, P&L due to movements in fair value caused by movements in market prices shall not be included in the client revenues.
- 232. **Optional derivatives** are all derivatives, as defined under IFRS 9 or IAS 39 that have an optional pay-off.⁴⁴

72

⁴⁴ This includes, inter alia, equity single name options, equity index options, equity basket options, equity variance options, equity volatility options, equity warrants, equity convertibles, equity convertible preferred, currency options, FX



- 233. **CCR exposures** are exposures related to the risk that the counterparty to a transaction could default before the final settlement of the transaction's cash flows. This refers to CCR as defined in Article 272 of the CRR, and to the regulatory exposure for capital requirements as calculated in accordance with Article 273 of the CRR. The definition of CCR exposures includes all exposures that are subject to Article 271 of the CRR, including repurchase transactions, securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions, long settlement transactions and margin lending transactions. The relevant exposure measure that shall be used is the current exposure given by the market value and considering (i) legally enforceable counterparty netting and (ii) collateral received or posted to the counterparty by the reference date to which the market risk scenario has been applied. The exposure for P&L is distinct from the exposure for the calculation of capital requirements as set out in section 2, which refers to regulatory exposure as defined in the CRR - i.e. covering both current and potential future exposure. The exposures for both the P&L and capital requirements calculations should comprehensively capture trades and aggregated exposures across all forms of CCR at the level of specific counterparties.
- 234. **CVA** is an adjustment to the mid-market valuation of the portfolio of transactions with a counterparty, as per Article 381 of the CRR. This adjustment reflects the current market value of the credit risk of the counterparty to the institution but does not reflect the current market value of the credit risk of the institution to the counterparty. **DVA** is an adjustment to the measurement of derivative liabilities to reflect the own credit risk of the entity.
- 235. **FuVA** reflects the funding cost/benefit of writing a hedge (collateralised) on a derivative that is not, or only partially, collateralised. A funding cost arises typically when uncollateralised derivatives have a positive market value (thus negative for the collateralised hedges). A funding benefit arises when the derivatives have a negative market value.
- 236. **Liquidity reserves** are adjustment to reflect uncertainty around the fair value/exit price of a position (e.g. reserves for market price uncertainty, bid-ask spread and close-out costs).
- 237. **Securitisation positions** are defined as in section 2.7
- 238. **IRC** is an approach that captures, in the calculation of capital requirements, the default and migration risks of trading book positions that are incremental to the risks captured by the VaR measure as specified in Article 365(1) of the CRR.
- 239. **Correlation trading portfolio and APR:** institutions shall use this internal model to calculate a number that adequately measures APR at the 99.9% confidence interval over a time horizon of 1 year under the assumption of a constant level of risk and adjusted (where appropriate) to reflect the impact of liquidity, concentrations, hedging and optionality (Article 377 of the CRR).

OTC options, currency swaptions, options on bond futures, options on interest rate futures, options on interest rate swaps and options on CDS. On the other hand, this excludes CDS – single names, CDS – basket, CDS – index, equity index futures, equity forward, equity swaps, equity variance swaps, equity volatility swaps, equity convertible swaps, currency futures, forward FX contracts, currency-linked notes, bond futures, interest rate futures, futures on swaps, single currency interest rate swaps, cross-currency interest rate swaps, basis swaps, bond forwards and forward rate agreements.



3.3.3. Static balance sheet assumption

- 240. The market risk shock is applied as an instantaneous shock to all positions in the scope of the market risk methodology, except for FVPL positions held with a trading intent and their related hedges for trading exemption banks.
- 241. In line with the static balance sheet assumption:
 - The notional values of all assets and liabilities under the market risk scope are expected to remain constant over the time horizon of the exercise.
 - Banks cannot assume any portfolio management actions in response to the stress scenarios (e.g. portfolio rebalancing or liquidation).

3.4. Full revaluation of positions under partial or full fair value measurement

3.4.1. Reference date and time horizon

- 242. The reference date for applying the market risk shocks is 31 December 2024.
- 243. The overall impact on P&L and capital of the market risk shocks is fully recognised in the first year of the stress test horizon (i.e. in 2025).
- 244. The P&L impact of the market risk stress shall be an instantaneous shock i.e. no holding period assumptions can be made for any positions for the calculation of gains or losses.

3.4.2. Scope of application of full revaluation

- 245. For banks classified as trading exemption (TE) banks according to the criteria set out in Section 3.3.1, the impact of FVPL positions held with a trading intent and their related hedges is set equal to zero.
- 246. All accounting categories under a full or partial fair value measurement are required to be fully revaluated under the adverse scenario (except items held with a trading intent and their related hedges for trading exemption banks).
- 247. For items that are measured at FVOCI and that would be subject to the impairment model of IFRS 9, the impact from changes in the credit risk of counterparties shall be measured at fair value and reported in OCI.
- 248. Both linear and optional derivatives are within the scope of the CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL template.



3.4.3. Features of the full revaluation

- 249. In the baseline scenario, no impact is assumed (i.e. the impact is set to zero). Gains and losses on all position in scope shall be fully recognised in the first year of the stress test. The impact of the full revaluation shall be reported in the template CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL.
- 250. Banks are requested to conduct full revaluations of all their positions under partial or full fair value measurement (except items held with a trading intent and their related hedges for trading exemption banks) and to report impacts by asset classes, accounting type, type of instrument, and type of counterparty.
- 251. To perform the full revaluation banks should comply with the procedure described in Section 3.4 of this document and Section 2.3.2 of the Template Guidance.
- 252. For each row of the CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL template, the notional amount, the FV, and the impact (both OCI and/or P&L) must be broken down without double counting into the components related to the items (both hedged and not hedged), the fair value hedges, the cash flow edges, and the economic hedges. Further instructions are given in the TG.

253. Banks need to report:

- The notional amount of the positions in line with FINREP requirements. Notional amount is defined as the sum of the absolute values for assets (positive) and liabilities (positive).
- The Fair Value of the positions in line with the FINREP requirements.
- The gain or losses under the full revaluation, including a breakdown by risk factor by first and second order sensitivities (notice that such reporting is required for all banks, including TE banks).
- The granular detail of first order sensitivities ('Delta', as defined in Table 11) of the positions
 to the risk factors provided in the template CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL, as of the reference date
 (31 December 2024). Sensitivities need to be reported for all risk factors included in the
 market risk scenario other than volatilities and the shocks for reserves for liquidity or model
 uncertainty. This reporting applies only to CA and CA-Adv banks.
- The granular detail of second order sensitivities (Gamma and Vega, as defined in Table 11)
 of the positions to the risk factors provided in the template CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL, as of the
 reference date (31 December 2024), in the CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL template. This reporting
 applies only to CA and CA-Adv banks.



Table 11: Definition of sensitivities

Risk factor category	Current Value	Greek letter	Greek value
Equity, FX, Funds, Commodity	X ₀	DELTA	$F_{X}'(X_0) \times 1\%$
Interest rate, credit spread, inflation	r ₀	DELTA	$F_r'(r_0) \times 1bp$
Equity	X_0	GAMMA	$F_{X}^{\prime\prime}(X_{0})\times1\%$
Interest rate	r ₀	GAMMA	$F_r''(r_0) \times 1bp$
Equity and Interest rate	θ ο	VEGA	F θ'(Θ 0) × 1%

- 254. In relation to credit risk and interest rate risk factors, the reporting of sensitivities across buckets in the template CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL shall follow a "bucketing" approach. This shall consist in reporting sensitivities for the relevant tenor (as reported in the template CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL) in such a way that the total impact computed from the tenors' sensitivities after bucketing is equivalent to the impact obtained from the actual sensitivity of the cash flows and the related shock.
- 255. The total impact shall then be separately reported for the following items, in line with accounting standards:
 - The impact on P&L from revaluation effects of (i) ineffectiveness of hedging instruments that are part of a cash flow hedge-accounting relationship, (ii) hedged risk factors on hedged instruments via fair value hedge accounting or (iii) all risk factors on FVPL positions.
 - The impact on OCI from revaluation effects of (i) non-hedged risk factors on hedged items
 i.e. the impact on OCI after hedging —, (ii) hedged risk factors on cash flow hedging instruments (effective part) or (iii) all risk factors on FVOCI positions.
- 256. For items that are held with a trading intent and their related hedges (TI&RH), the full revaluation loss for CA and CA-adv banks under the adverse scenario is floored at a haircut based on the formula described in Box 13. This is applied directly in the CSV_MR_SUM template on the final gain and losses on items held with TI&RH. An adjustment factor (as described in Box 13), to account for window dressing, is then applied to the final loss.
- 257. For TE banks, the impact of items held with TI&RH is assumed to be equal to zero as indicated in paragraph 245.

Box 13: Constraint on the full revaluation of CA and CA-adv banks for items that are held with a trading intent and their related hedges (TI&RH)

The impact for CA and CA-adv banks on held-for-trading items is given by:

$$HfT impact = AF^{CRR2} * min (HfT floor; Projections)$$
 (1)



Where:

- $HfT\ floor = -0.4\ x\ 8\%\ x\ REA_ASA_{q4\ 2024}$
 - \circ For banks applying the CRR3 scope in January 2025⁴⁵, $REA_ASA_{q4\ 2024}$ is the restated risk exposure amounts based on FRTB alternative standardised approach (sensitivity-based approach & residual risk add-on) for the reference date 31 December 2024, according to the regulation in force as of 1 January 2025 (CRR3).
 - Otherwise $REA_ASA_{q4\ 2024}$ is the restated risk exposure amounts based on FRTB alternative standardised approach (sensitivity-based approach & residual risk add-on) for the reference date 31 December 2024, according to the regulation in force as of 31 December 2024 (CRR2).
- *Projections* are banks' own full revaluations of HfT items. This should exclude gains and losses coming from eligible CVA hedges (as defined in paragraph 290) and from eligible FuVA hedges (as defined in paragraph 300).
- lacktriangleright AF^{CRR2} is an adjustment factor that accounts for the possible lack of representativeness of the end-of-year positions, and it is defined as:

$$AF^{CRR2} = Max \left[1, \frac{Average\left(Highest\,REA_ASA^{CRR2}; 2nd\,Highest\,REA_ASA^{CRR2} \right)}{REA_ASA^{CRR2}_{q4\;2024}} \right]$$
 (2)

Where:

- \circ REA_ASA $_{q4~2024}^{CRR2}$ are the reported risk exposure amounts based on FRTB alternative standardised approach (sensitivity-based approach & residual risk add-on) for the reference date 31 December 2024, according to the regulation in force as of 31 December 2024 (CRR2).
- Highest REA_ASA^{CRR2} are the highest quarterly reported risk exposure amounts based on FRTB alternative standardised approach (sensitivity-based approach & residual risk add-on) in 2024, according to the regulation in force as of 31 December 2024 (CRR2).
- 2nd Highest REA_ASA^{CRR2} are the second highest quarterly reported risk exposure amounts based on FRTB alternative standardised approach (sensitivity-based approach & residual risk add-on) in 2024, according to the regulation in force as of 31 December 2024 (CRR2).
- 258. For the stress test, banks shall not, under any circumstances, consider possible valuation adjustments on debt securities and gains resulting from credit spread widening of own liabilities

_

 $^{^{}m 45}$ In line with the EBA No Action Letter



(for instance DVA). Hence, following a deterioration of own creditworthiness, the bank is not allowed to book a gain on those debt securities (or any other fair value liabilities) that represent a net liability to the bank.

- 259. The impact of the full revaluation shall be reported including basis risk in line with paragraph 278. Banks are required to outline the approach taken in the explanatory note.
- 260. Market shocks shall be applied and reported also by relevant risk factors (i.e. interest rate, FX, equity, funds, commodities, credit spread, inflation). Multiple risk factors can apply to the same position and possible related hedges. For instance, for a bond the key risk factors to be considered could be interest rate and credit risk. If the bond is denominated in a foreign currency also the FX risk factor shall be considered.
- 261. Considering the risk factor 'funds', differently from the Basel Framework and the Capital Requirements Regulation (CRR, Part Three, Title IV, Chapter 1a, Section 2, Article 325(j)), banks are not allowed to apply a look-through approach. Fund positions must be stressed with the dedicated fund shocks provided in the market risk scenario⁴⁶.
- 262. The impact of the shock on correlation trading portfolios shall be reported together with other positions in the full revaluation market risk template (CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL). Banks holding a correlation trading portfolio larger than 1% of total REA are deemed to hold a significant correlation trading portfolio. Competent authorities can ask these banks to provide additional information on the impact of these portfolios in the explanatory note.
- 263. When reporting results, multivariate effects deriving from the application of the market risk shocks shall be considered and cumulatively shown in the template in the P&L and OCI impact columns.
- 264. Banks are requested to provide a narrative, detailing major hedging strategies at portfolio level, for both hedge-accounting portfolios and economic hedges in the explanatory note.
- 265. The reference date for applying the market risk shocks is 31 December 2024.
- 266. The overall impact on P&L and capital of the market risk shocks is fully recognised in the first year of the stress test horizon (i.e. in 2025).
- 267. The P&L impact of the market risk stress shall be an instantaneous shock i.e. no holding period assumptions can be made for any positions for the calculation of gains or losses.

⁴⁶ Such a departure from the CCR is justified in the context of the EBA Stress Test for the following reasons: i) ensuring that all banks treat fund positions with the same approach, ii) ensuring that fund positions are stressed with the corresponding fund parameters, which consider possible second-round effects of risks related to funds that would not be captured by using parameters related to other risk factors.



3.4.4. Market risk factors

- 268. The market risk scenario has been defined in terms of shocks to market risk factors to project gains and losses on all positions subject to partial or full fair value measurement, except for items held with trading intent and their related hedges in the case of trading exemption banks. The stressed market risk factors have been estimated for the adverse scenario by the ESBB and the ECB.
- 269. Not all risk factors provided in the market risk scenarios are explicitly captured in the CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL. Banks' impact projections shall consider all market risk factors provided in the scenario e.g. the impact for equity instruments will depend not only on the shocks provided for equity indices, but also on the volatility assumptions in the scenario.
- 270. If aggregate and more detailed risk factors are provided, e.g. for the EU and on a country level, the most granular relevant risk factor should be applied in each case.
- 271. As the risk factors provided may not necessarily capture all of banks' market risk drivers, all banks are required to identify and stress all relevant risk drivers, including additional risk factors that are not provided in the market risk scenario but have a material contribution— i.e. when on a cumulative basis, for banks with an approved VaR model, in the case of items held with a trading intent and their related hedges, the additional risk factors show a relevant impact, and, along with the factors already considered, explain at least 95% of the actual VaR. When identifying the list of additional risk factors, banks should consider in particular:
 - Factors included in the regulatory VaR model.
 - Factors which are subject to the standardised approach for market risk.
 - Factors which are part of the risks not in the VaR (RNIME) framework.
 - Additional risk factors which the bank manages, hedges or limits.
 - Any other key illiquid parameters or pricing model inputs, which are relevant for P&L or OCI under stressed market conditions.
- 272. In addition, banks need to report in the explanatory note the calibration of these risk factors and their impact. This information will be relevant in the quality assurance process to assess the degree of fitting between the additional stress factors and the ones included in the market risk scenario.
- 273. Banks shall differentiate between two kinds of additional risk factors:
 - Risk factors that are part of aggregated risk factors in the given scenario e.g. different types of oil as part of the oil risk factor.



- Risk factors that are not included in the scenario in aggregate form e.g. correlation risk.
- 274. For the first type of risk factors defined in paragraph 273, banks shall in general, not extend the set of risk factors to additional, more granular, risk factors but shall apply the shocks given in the scenario directly. However, banks shall assess whether the resulting stress is adequate for their portfolio. If this is not the case, e.g. because of illiquid positions in a bank's portfolio or concentrations in more volatile positions, banks shall also extend the scenario to more granular risk factors. This approach may only increase the stress impact of the bank. The list of more granular risk factors and associated shocks derived using this approach should be reported in the explanatory note.
- 275. In the case of interest rate, credit and inflation curves, the shocks for tenors that are not provided in the market risk scenario are required to be computed by interpolation according to the hierarchy defined in Box 14. For tenors that are shorter or longer than the range of tenors available in the scenario, shocks to the shortest and the longest tenor available respectively should be used.
- 276. Banks should define their own approach to derive the additional risk factors that are not provided in the market risk scenario and need to provide evidence to show that this approach is:
 - Appropriate (i.e. methods and relationships relied upon should be valid).
 - Comprehensive (i.e. material market risks should not be left unstressed).
 - Conservative (i.e. where it is impossible to accurately reflect the impact of the stress scenario, banks should overestimate rather than underestimate its impact).
- 277. The treatment of risk factors and the approach to including additional risk factors, as well as the optional and additional information required by competent authorities, is specified in Box14. Data that are available in banks' internal systems and are sourced from standard market data providers can be used for calibrating shocks to the additional risk factors.

Box 14: Treatment of risk factors

The identification of the market risk shocks should be performed following the steps/hierarchy below:

1. Mapping to EBA shocks (shock to bank risk factor determined directly by the shock to the related EBA risk factor)

This is expected to be the most common approach for most banks, where the shock to the bank risk factor is directly determined by the shock to the related EBA risk factor in the scenario. The mapping can be (i) one-to-one (direct application of EBA shock to one of the bank's market risk driver), (ii) many-to-one (application of one EBA shock to several



appropriate bank risk factors, (iii) one-to-many (identification of most appropriate EBA risk factor among many for the respective bank risk factor – largest shock as a fall-back), (iv) many-to-many (identification of most appropriate EBA risk factor for respective set of bank risk factors and apply this shock to all bank risk factors).

2. Statistical expansion

If EBA risk factor time series and additional risk factors can be linked via estimated statistical relationship, the shock size to additional risk factor shall be derived from statistical relationship and the given shock to the EBA risk factor. This approach may be used if good quality data is available and sound statistical relationship can be established. Such a statistical relationship may also be established between EBA risk factors themselves or bank risk factors to which Step 1 can be applied. The shock for the bank risk factor is determined by feeding the stressed EBA risk or stress risk factors determined by Step 1 into the statistical relationship.

3. Rules-based approach

Shocks shall be derived via interpolation, extrapolation, or rule-based combination of several other risk factors (either EBA risk factors or additional risk factors derived via approach Step 1 or Step 2) to derive shock to bank risk factor. The shock for the bank risk factor is derived by first shocking the input risk factors used for the rule derived via Step 1 or 2 and then applying the rule.

4. Expert judgement

For cases where (i) insufficient historical data in stressed conditions is available and/or no meaningful statistical relationship can be established and (ii) there are sufficiently related risk factors as to determine a rule-based expansion, risk factors shall be stressed considering theoretical considerations, such as non-arbitrage relationships, with other risk factors whose shock size can be determined via approaches steps 1 to 3. The theoretical considerations should be justified with historical data demonstrating the conservatism of the approach. The shock for the bank risk factor is determined by first stressing the input risk factors to the theoretical relationship via steps 1 to 3 and then applying the theoretical relationship.

5. Statistical expansion via the market risk scenario

Where bank risk factors cannot be related to the EBA risk factors establishing meaningful relationship between them, but, nevertheless, good quality data is available to support a statistical relationship between the bank risk factor and the market risk scenario, this relationship should be used to calibrate the shocks of the risk factor. The shock for the bank risk factor is determined by feeding the stressed market risk shocks into the determined statistical relationship.

6. Expert judgement using the narrative of the macroeconomic scenario

For cases where insufficient data is available to establish meaningful relationship between the bank risk factor and the EBA risk factors or macroeconomic variables, theoretical reasons to support the calibration of the risk factor shall be applied. These theoretical considerations should be backed with historical data demonstrating the conservatism of the approach. The shock for the bank risk factor is determined by first stressing the input risk factors to the



theoretical relationship via steps 1 to 5 or using the narrative of the macro-economic scenario and then applying the theoretical relationship.

- 278. The scenario translation and expansion shall include consideration of all relevant basis risks for the bank in the adverse scenario. Banks shall assess if shocks have been applied on a suitable level of granularity to ensure all key basis risks are captured, since methodological choices following from Box 14 may lead to underestimation of basis risk.
- 3.4.5. Full revaluation of positions under partial or full fair value measurement: detail of optional positions for CA-Adv banks
- 279. CA-Adv banks shall fill in the CSV_MR_OPT_REVAL template in addition to the CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL. TE and CA banks are exempted from reporting the CSV_MR_OPT_REVAL template.
- 280. If not differently indicated, the methodology and the scope of for the CSV_MR_OPT_REVAL is the same as for the CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL from RowNum 93 to 121 (including back to back trades in the HfT portfolio according to paragraph 206). Therefore, Economic hedging instruments referring to hedges items outside the held for trading items are excluded from the scope of the CSV_MR_OPT_REVAL template.
- 281. Both linear and optional derivatives are within the scope of the CSV_MR_OPT_REVAL template.
- 282. Banks need to report Delta, Gamma, and Vega sensitivities as defined in the CSV MR FULL REVAL.
- 283. Banks need to perform the full revaluation of the items held with a trading intent and their related hedges under the market risk scenario and under five additional scenarios defined proportionally to the market risk scenario. The parameters of the four additional scenarios shall be computed by multiplying all the parameters of the market risk scenario by -0.2, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8. The results for each of the scenarios and related sensitivities, should be reported in the CSV_MR_OPT_REVAL template.

3.5. Treatment of valuation reserves

- 284. For the valuation of reserves stress test losses (as detailed in this section), all banks are required to stress exposures in the adverse scenario based on the market risk scenario shocks for the relevant risk factors, as described in section 3.4.4.
- 285. No additional losses on valuation reserves are assumed for the baseline scenario.



3.5.1. CVA impact on P&L and exclusion of the DVA impact

- 286. The negative P&L adjustments arising from CVA changes will reflect deteriorating credit quality for some counterparties under the market risk stress. When calculating the adjustments, all banks, irrespective of whether they are TE, CA or CA-adv banks, should maintain consistency in the calculation of CVA with their internal modelling choice and apply their internal methodology in a prudent way.
- 287. Banks are required to calculate CVA losses as the CVA at the reference date minus the CVA under the market risk stress. The latter is derived from the application of the prescribed market risk shocks for the adverse market risk scenario to both the positions' exposure value and the counterparties' credit spreads, net of the eligible CVA hedges.
- 288. The projection of CVA losses encompass all instrument (e.g. derivatives, SFTs) and portfolios in which CVA losses can occur according to the accounting treatment of the bank i.e. it is not limited per se to FVPL positions, uncollateralised positions or positions for which a CVA capital charge is calculated. All losses will be captured in the P&L. No separate materiality thresholds are set, as banks are required to follow their accounting treatment.
- 289. In deriving the CVA under the market risk stress, banks may exclude counterparties in default. Banks should pay particular attention to material counterparties whose credit spread is significantly and adversely correlated with the risk factors that drive the CVA related to those counterparties, or the collateral posted by those counterparties. In this case they should make a judgement about whether a more conservative application of their standard methodology would be appropriate in such a material case. If requested by the competent authorities, banks should report in the explanatory note additional information about those counterparties.
- 290. For the computation of the P&L impact of CVA reserves, banks should also consider eligible CVA hedges (i.e., instruments meeting the criteria set in point (1) of Article 386 of the CRR3). These hedges shall be stressed with the shocks provided in the market risk scenario and should be reported in the CSV_MR_RESERVE template. Eligible CVA hedges should not be reported either in the CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL template or in the CSV_MR_OPT template. The P&L effect from CVA reserves (net of eligible hedges) will be computed in the CSV_MR_SUM template. If requested by the competent authorities, banks should report in the explanatory note additional information about eligible hedges.
- 291. Exposures shall be reported net of stressed collateral. Banks should not assume the default of a counterparty. Banks should also not assume that additional collateral can be called beyond what is held at the reference date and should compute the corresponding CVA charge. The only exception for posting collateral after the reference date is for cash collateral of financial instruments that are cleared at an exchange or a CCP (either cleared directly with a CCP or cleared with a CCP through a Clearing Member).



- 292. For the purposes of the stress test, banks shall not consider possible DVA as explained in paragraph 258. This constraint should be applied within each netting set for derivatives.
- 293. Banks are not allowed to offset the projected CVA fair value impact by any existing reserves.
- 294. The resulting CVA impact shall be reported using the reserve template (CSV_MR_RESERVE).
- 295. Banks are asked to break down CVA positions into investment and sub-investment grade for the set of types of counterparties defined in the template CSV_MR_RESERVE, using their normal approach to distinguishing investment grade according to external ratings or, for counterparties with no external rating, according to an internal methodology if applicable.
- 296. Banks are also asked to report the 'Delta' sensitivities (as defined in Table 12) of the accounting CVA, net of the eligible hedges, to the risk factors included in the market risk scenario. In relation to credit spread risk factors, banks should report the sensitivities of the CVA to both the counterparties' credit spreads and the credit spreads of the underlying positions (i.e. impacting the exposure value).

Table 12: Definition of CVA sensitivities

Risk factor category	Current Value	Greek letter	Greek value
Equity, FX	X ₀	DELTA	$CVA_{X}'(X_0) \times 1\%$
Interest rate, credit spread, inflation	r ₀	DELTA	$CVA_{r}'(r_{0}) \times 1bp$

3.5.2. FuVa Impact on P&L

- 297. The P&L adjustments arising from FuVA changes will reflect changes in institutions' funding costs or benefits under the market risk stress. When calculating the adjustments, all banks, irrespective of whether they are TE, CA or CA-Adv banks, should maintain consistency in the calculation of FuVA with their internal modelling choice and apply their internal methodology in a prudent way.
- 298. Banks are required to calculate FuVA impact as the FuVA at the reference date minus the FuVA under the market risk stress. The latter is derived from the application of the prescribed market risk shocks for the adverse market risk scenario to both the positions' exposure value and the funding costs, net of the eligible hedges. The stressed funding rates are to be determined by applying a shock equal to the sum of the interest rate yield shocks applicable to the institution's country and the credit spread shock defined for the ITRAXX Financial 5y.
- 299. The projection of FuVA impact encompasses all instrument (e.g. derivatives, SFTs) and asset and liability portfolios in which FuVA impact can occur according to the accounting treatment of the bank i.e. it is not limited per se to FVPL positions. All impacts will be captured in the P&L.



No separate materiality thresholds are set, as banks are required to follow their accounting treatment.

- 300. For the computation of the P&L impact of FuVA reserves, banks should also consider derivatives which specifically hedge the FuVA reserve (i.e. instruments designed to protect against fluctuations in funding costs or positions' exposure value). Such transactions must be booked and managed by a dedicated function (e.g. FuVA trading desk). These hedges shall be stressed with the shocks provided in the market risk scenario and should be reported in the CSV_MR_RESERVE template. These hedges should not be reported in either the CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL template or the CSV_MR_OPT_REVAL template. The P&L effect from FuVA reserves (net of hedges) will be computed in the CSV_MR_SUM template. If requested by the competent authorities, banks should report in the explanatory note additional information about these hedges.
- 301. Banks are not allowed to offset the projected FuVA fair value impact by any existing reserves. The resulting FuVA impact shall be reported using the reserve template (CSV_MR_RESERVE).
- 302. Banks are also asked to report the 'Delta' sensitivities (as defined in Table 13) of the accounting FuVA, net of the FuVA hedges, to the risk factors included in the market risk scenario. In relation to credit spread risk factors, banks should report the sensitivities of the FuVA to both the funding spreads and the credit spreads of the underlying positions (i.e. impacting the exposure value).

Table 13: Definition of FuVA sensitivities

Risk factor category	Current Value	Greek letter	Greek value
Equity, FX	X_0	DELTA	$FuVA_{x}'(X_{0}) \times 1\%$
Interest rate, credit spread, funding spread, inflation	r ₀	DELTA	$FuVA_r'(r_0) \times 1bp$

303. In case a bank does not explicitly calculate any FuVA for accounting purposes but includes funding costs or benefits using an alternative approach (e.g. adding a premium to the discounting curve), the bank is permitted to omit the FuVA reserve section in the CSV_MR_RESERVE. However, the bank is required to stress the funding component embedded in the fair value computation according to the methodology specified in paragraph 300. The resulting impact must be reported in the CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL template as part of the revaluation effects. Banks are required to outline the approach taken in the explanatory note, along with an estimation of the impacts related to the funding component.

3.5.3. Reserves for liquidity and model uncertainty

304. The liquidity and model uncertainty methodology shall be applied to all banks in the sample.



- 305. To assess liquidity and model uncertainty, banks shall compute the impact on their fair value adjustments (IFRS 13) and prudential adjustments (AVA, Article 105 of the CRR) of an exogenous widening in the bid-ask spread for the whole portfolio of items for which these reserves are computed.
- 306. Regarding the accounting adjustment, the scope of application of the bid-ask spread widening shall concern the fair value adjustment for model risk, market price uncertainty and liquidity (bid-ask); while for AVA calculations, the adjustments related to market price uncertainty, model risk, close out cost, unearned credit spreads as well as investing and funding cost are required to be considered. Other valuation adjustments defined in Article 105 of the CRR (early termination, concentration risk, operational risks and future administrative costs) are out of scope for the computation of the liquidity and model risk shocks. These other adjustments should be reported as a memo item in the CSV_MR_RESERVE template.
- 307. Regarding AVA, banks that apply a simplified approach and therefore determine the AVAs using section 2 of the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2016/101 on prudent valuation, do not need to stress the AVA and can assume it stays flat during the stress scenario. These banks should still compute the impact coming from the liquidity shock on the accounting reserves. In addition, they should also compute the impact of the model uncertainty shock on fair value adjustments related to model risk. Banks shall first compute the impact of a market liquidity shock (using the market liquidity shock reported in the market risk scenario) affecting the bid-ask spread of all items in their portfolio (fair value levels L1, L2, L3). For L2 and L3 instruments, an additional bid-ask spread shock accounting for model uncertainty shall be applied (using the model uncertainty shock reported in the market risk scenario). The model uncertainty shock shall be applied in an additive way with the market liquidity shock.
- 308. Level 2 instruments that are cleared at an exchange or a CCP (either cleared directly with a CCP or cleared with a CCP through a Clearing Member) at the reference date of the stress test exercise (end of 2024) shall be treated as level 1 instruments. Therefore, for those instruments only the liquidity shock shall apply. If requested by the competent authority, banks should report in the explanatory note the detailed decomposition⁴⁷ of their level 2 portfolio into cleared (i.e. level 2 instruments treated as level 1) and non-cleared instruments for both the starting point reserve and the stressed reserve.
- 309. Banks may apply the shocks (only liquidity for L1 instruments and both liquidity and model uncertainty for L2 and L3) at instrument or at portfolio level. However, banks are expected to adhere as closely as possible to the methodology employed internally for the calculation of accounting and prudential reserves. Consequently, any divergence from that methodology must be adequately justified and accompanied by the implementation of additional controls on the input used (e.g. sensitivities), aggregation and calculation methodologies.

⁴⁷ The information should cover the type and the financial characteristic of the items such as maturity, currency, coupon type, parameter indexation and optionality.



310. The impact coming from the liquidity and model uncertainty shock shall be reported in template CSV_MR_RESERVE. The impact coming from AVA reserves and accounting reserves shall also be reported in the respective columns of the CSV_MR_RESERVE template.

Box 15: Application of the liquidity and model uncertainty shock

Banks can apply the shocks (only liquidity for L1 instruments and both liquidity and model uncertainty for L2 and L3) at instrument or at portfolio level. In the latter case, a sensitivity approach should be followed to determine the stressed bid-ask spread. Once the stressed price bid-ask spread for an instrument or at portfolio level has been derived, the impact on the accounting and prudential reserves is given by the product between the exposure amount and the stressed bid-ask spread. For instance, the exposure amount to be considered for bonds is the *nominal value*, for exchange traded derivatives, IR and FX swaps is the *notional value* of the instrument while for equities the *fair value* should be used. Some guidance on how to compute the impact on reserves depending on the availability of the bid-ask spread are reported below:

Available bid-ask spread:

I. <u>Instrument level application:</u> In this case the bid-ask spread of the price of the instrument can be directly observed on the market (to be divided by 2). The second step would be to compute a stressed bid-ask spread by applying the liquidity and model uncertainty shock in an additive way. The final impact on reserves is then obtained by applying the stressed price bid - ask to the exposure amount as shown in *Example 1*.

Example 1. For instance, if the shocks in the market risk scenario are 230% (liquidity) and 180% (model uncertainty), and considering that the price is expressed as a percentage of the notional, the stressed price bid-ask spread for an L1 and an L2 interest rate instrument would be:

L1 instrument

```
StressSpread_{Bid-ask} = [(Price_{bid} - Price_{ask})/2] * 230\% = (100\% - 99.90\%)/2 * 230\% = 0.11\%
```

 $StressSpreadBid-ask = [(Pricebid-Price\ ask)/2] * 230\% = (100 - 99.90)/2 * 230\% = 0.11\%$

L2 instrument:

```
StressSpread_{Bid-ask} = [(Price_{bid} - Price_{ask})/2] * (230\% + 180\%) = (100\% - 99.80\%)/2 * (230\% + 180\%) = 0.41\%
```

Assuming a notional amount of 10,000 \in , the final impact on reserves for both instruments would be: $Impact\ on\ reserves = (StressSpread_{Bid-ask}) * Exp_{amount}$

```
L1→ 0.11\% * 10.000 € = 11€ and L2 → 0.41\% * 10.000 € = 41€
```

The impact on reserves will then be allocated to the accounting reserves and prudential reserves (i.e. AVAs) in proportion to the starting point of such reserves. The stressed



accounting and prudential reserves to be reported in the CSV_MR_RESERVE will be the sum of the starting point reserves and the impact on reserves computed above.

II. <u>Portfolio level approach</u>: In this case, the bid-ask spread should be derived by multiplying half of the bid-ask of the risk factor with the sensitivities of the risk factor at the starting point. The stressed bid-ask spread is then obtained by multiplying the bid-ask spread times the shocks given by the market risk scenario.

Example 2. For a portfolio of L2 instruments exposed to interest rate risk with a sensitivity equal to 5, the bid-ask spread would be given by:

```
(Spread_{Bid-ask}) = [RiskFact_{bid} - RiskFact_{ask}]/2 * Sensitivity_{RiskFact} =
(1.05\% - 1.02\%)/2*5 = 0.075\%
(StressSpread_{Bid-ask}) = (Spread_{Bid-ask}) * Shock = 0.075\% * (230\% + 180\%) = 0.075\% * 410\% = 0.31\%
```

The computation of the stressed reserve and the impact on reserves should follow the same approach described in Example 1.

Unavailable price bid-ask spread:

For instruments for which no quoted price is available, or that are "marked to model", the input risk factors bid-ask spread, as for the case of the portfolio level approach, should be followed.

No quoted bid-ask spread available:

If no quoted bid-ask spread can be obtained and neither a bid-ask spread of its input risk factors the following guidelines should be followed:

- 1. For instruments marked at mid-price and with observable input risk factors, in case sufficient data are not available to construct a plausible range of bid-ask spreads, banks should simulate exit prices (bid and ask) repricing the instrument by applying to each sensitivity the risk factor bid (ask) obtained from tradable market quotes (exchange, dealer, broker). For risk factors, used to assess bid-ask spread, and for which only consensus service data are available (e.g. correlations, OTM volatilities, etc.), banks have to apply a conservative quote equal to the 75th percentile of the distribution of the consensus for the month of December (the side of the distribution depends on whether the risk factor position is long or short and the instrument is to buy or to sell). Banks applying the portfolio level approach should calculate the increase in risk factors bid-ask spread from tradable market quotes. For input risk factors where only consensus service data are available (e.g. correlations, OTM volatilities etc.) banks should apply a conservative bid-ask spread equal to the difference between the 25th and the 75th percentiles of the distribution of the consensus for the month of December to the net exposure sensitivity computed under stressed market parameters.
- 2. For instruments marked at mid-price and with unobservable input risk factors, the bank shall use an expert-based approach using all qualitative and quantitative information available to achieve a level of certainty in the prudent value that is equivalent to that targeted in a stressed



scenario where a range of plausible values is available. Banks shall report in the explanatory note the exposures for which this last approach is applied, and the assumptions or the framework used to determine the bid-ask spread.

- 3. If a portfolio is marked directly to an exit price (bid or ask price), institutions shall assess a mid-value to apply the methodology.
- 4. For portfolios marked to "mid-market" and for which a separate fair value reserve for bid-ask spread is held, the stress is equal to the valuation impact coming from an increase of the price bid-ask spread at the reference date (31 December 2024) by the amount prescribed in the scenario for each bid-ask spread of the contributions/quotes used to calculate the fair value. The distribution of the bid/ask price should be assumed to widen proportionally, so given its fair value policy, the bank can recalculate its fair value and AVA adjustments.

3.6. Projections of client revenues for items held with a trading intent and NTI impact.

3.6.1. Client revenues for CA and CA-adv banks

- 311. If banks can report quarterly client revenues of items held with a trading intent from 2020 to 2024, as defined in paragraph 231, they should project client revenues under the adverse scenario for the years 2025-2027 taking into account how the adverse market risk scenario would impact this income. If historical data for the client revenues cannot be reported for the years 2020-2024, all projections in the CSV_MR_PROJ template are set to zero. On the contrary, client revenues are computed according to Box 16. Banks shall outline the approach taken to project client revenues in the explanatory note.
- 312. For each year, the projections of client revenues of items held with a trading intent are capped under the adverse scenario at 80% of the average historical client revenues of items held with a trading intent over the past three years.

3.6.2. Client revenues for TE banks

313. For each year, the projections of client revenues of items held with a trading intent are set to 0 for TE banks by default. However, if a TE bank can prove, by providing evidence to their CA through the explanatory note, that they have generated client revenues from 2022 to 2024, then the projections of client revenues of items held with a trading are set to 80% of the average historical client revenues of items held with a trading intent over the past three years. This is explained in Box 16.



Box 16: Description of the computation of client revenues under the adverse scenario

For 2025, 2026 and 2027, Client revenues are computed according to the following approach:

- For *CA* and *CA-adv* banks:
 - Quarterly client revenues from the 2020 Q1 to 2024 Q4 should be reported.
 - Banks should project client revenues in each year of the adverse scenario according to paragraph 311.
 - Then client revenues in each year of the adverse scenario are computed as:

```
Client revenues _{i} = \min(Proj\_Client\_Revenues_{i}, 0.8 * Average(Client_{Rev_{2022-2024}}))
```

Where:

- Proj_Client_Revenues_i are the client revenues of items held with a trading intent projected by banks in year i according to paragraph 231.
- $Average(Client_{Rev_{2022-2024}})$ is the simple average of client revenues between the annual historical client revenues in 2022, 2023 and 2024.
- For TE banks:
 - o If TE banks generated client revenues from 2022 Q1 to 2024 Q4 (under the conditions of paragraph 313), then client revenues of items held with a trading intent in year *i* are computed as follows:

```
Client revenues i = 0.8 * Average(Client_{Rev_{2022-2024}})
```

Otherwise, client revenues for TE banks in 2025, 2026 and 2027 are assumed to be equal to zero.

3.6.3. Baseline NTI

314. The baseline NTI for each year is defined as the least of the following: the average of the 2023-2024 NTI (floored at 0), the average of the 2022-2024 NTI, and the average of the 2020-2024 NTI (see Box 17. It will be calculated on the market risk template for the projection of client revenues (CSV_MR_PROJ).



Box 17: Definition of the baseline NTI

 $NTI_{2025,2026,2027 (baseline)} = Min{Average(NTI)}_{2020-2024}, Average(NTI)_{2022-2024}, Max(0,Average(NTI))_{2023-2024}}$

Where:

- Average(NTI)₂₀₂₃₋₂₀₂₄ is the simple average NTI over 2023-2024.
- Average(NTI)₂₀₂₂₋₂₀₂₄ is the simple average NTI over 2022-2024.
- Average (NTI)₂₀₂₀₋₂₀₂₄ is the simple average NTI over 2020-2024.
- 315. In line with this definition, regardless of the approach used in the market risk stress test, all banks should report their NTI for the years 2020-2024.

3.6.4. Adverse NTI

316. For the year 2025, the NTI under the adverse scenario is the sum of: i) the losses under the full revaluation of all items held with TI&RH ii)changes in liquidity, model uncertainty and valuation reserves, iii) client revenues computed on items held with a trading intent and economic hedges excluding hedges of items held with a trading intent iv) gains and losses from revaluation of items in hedge accounting v) gains and losses from revaluation of items mandatorily or designated at fair value. For the years 2026 and 2027, the NTI under the adverse scenario is equal to the client revenues as computed in Box 16. The resulting NTI calculation is shown in Box 18.

Box 18: NTI under the adverse scenario

 $NTI_{2025 \, (adverse)}$ = Client revenues₂₀₂₅ + Liquidity reserve impact₂₀₂₅ from HfT / mandatory or optional at FVTPL + CVA reserve impact₂₀₂₅ + FuVA reserve impact₂₀₂₅ + Revaluation_{TI&RH}. ₂₀₂₅ + Revaluation of items mandatorily or designated at fair value₂₀₂₅ + Revaluation of hedge accounting₂₀₂₅ + Revaluation of economic hedges excluding hedges of items held with a trading intent₂₀₂₅

NTI_{2026,2027 (adverse)} = Client Revenues as defined in Box 16.

Where:

- NTI_{2025,2026,2027} (adverse) are final NTI values reported in the P&L sheet.
- Client Revenues₂₀₂₅ are client revenues computed according to Box 16 for all types of banks.



- Liquidity reserve impact₂₀₂₅ from HfT / mandatory or optional at FVTPL is the impact from liquidity and model uncertainty reserves of HfT and mandatory or optional at FVTPL items.
- Revaluation_{TI&RH} is the market risk loss due to the full revaluation of all items TI&RH as reported in the template CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL and floored as described in Box 14.
- Revaluation of items mandatorily or designated at fair value₂₀₂₅ is the market risk gain/loss
 due to the full revaluation of all items mandatorily or designated at fair value as reported in
 the template CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL.
- Revaluation of hedge accounting₂₀₂₅ is the market risk gain/loss due to the full revaluation
 of all items in hedge accounting as reported in the template CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL.
- Revaluation of economic hedges excluding hedges of items held with a trading intent₂₀₂₅ is the market risk gain/loss due to the full revaluation of economic hedges excluding hedges of items held with a trading intent as reported in the template CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL.

3.7. Counterparty credit risk losses

- 317. For CCR stress test losses in the adverse scenario (as detailed in this section), all banks are required to stress exposures based on the market risk scenarios and risk factor shocks described in section 3.4.4. This does not affect regulatory CCR exposure as reported in the credit risk templates for the calculation of the CCR exposure amount, for which the credit risk methodology set out in section 2 applies.
- 318. In addition to the P&L associated with changes in CVAs, counterparty credit losses may arise if counterparties default in the stress. This is calculated in the CCR template (CSV_MR_CCR). To gauge the possible impact of this source of P&L, competent authorities will require banks to calculate and report CCR exposure as at the reference date, stressed exposure and appropriate stressed LGD for their top 10 largest counterparties, as described below.
- 319. In considering counterparty defaults in conjunction with market risk stresses, market risk factor shocks shall be applied to the exposure, whether uncollateralised or collateralised and whether positive or equal to zero at the reference date. In cases of collateralised exposures, banks are also required to stress the collateral in line with the market risk shocks, including any FX market risk shocks for cash collateral and assuming (in line with the general assumption of no portfolio rebalancing) that no additional collateral is received or posted beyond what is held as of 31 December 2024. Exposures shall be stressed based on the market risk scenarios as defined in section 3.4.4.
- 320. Stressed CCR exposure used to calculate CCR stress test losses shall be reported net of stressed collateral. When determining the exposure net of stressed collateral all exposures that are defined as CCR exposures according to paragraph 233 shall be considered.



- 321. An external PD with a 3-year horizon should be considered in the CCR methodology. If no external PD exists, then the internal PDs should be considered and calculated using their own models. External PDs should be determined based on the second-lowest long-term unsecured ratings and banks' internal mappings, with the restriction that they are within the interval given for the corresponding credit quality step of the relevant rating-ECAI⁴⁸ pair (provided in Table 1 of Annex 1). Both internal and external PDs are point-in-time risk parameters and should reflect the probability of the counterparty defaulting within the 3 years of the stress test horizon. The stressed LGD to be used should reflect each counterparty's default in the first year, in line with a LGD that would be used for the default of the counterparty as in the adverse scenario of the credit risk methodology, with perfect foresight over the 3-year stress horizon and beyond.
- 322. Banks are required to assume the default of the three most vulnerable of their 10 largest counterparties. The 10 largest counterparties need to be distinct (i.e. LEIs need to be unique amongst them). Guarantees and credit risk mitigations eligible under the CRR⁴⁹ should be considered when determining the 10 largest counterparties and in determining the appropriate stressed LGDs. Banks may also be asked by their competent authorities to provide evidence, in the explanatory note, that requirements according to Articles 205 to 217 of the CRR are met. The procedure for identifying the three most vulnerable counterparties is based on a ranking of the probability of default of the counterparties as described in Box 19.
- 323. Central governments (including EU regional governments and local authorities pursuant to Article 115 of the CRR⁵⁰), central banks, CCPs and other market infrastructures, counterparties explicitly guaranteed by the central government and intra-group exposures shall not be included in the set of counterparties and names used to identify the largest exposure. Banks shall report counterparties at the maximum level of consolidation.
- 324. The overall CCR loss will be calculated as the default exposure of the counterparty identified in paragraph 322, multiplied by the appropriate stressed LGD and minus the accounting CVA impact on P&L (before the application of the market price stress). Here, the appropriate stressed LGD should be consistent with the banking book risk parameter estimates carried out by the bank, while also considering any idiosyncratic factors relating to this counterparty with reference to the scenario in question. This loss will be added to the total losses resulting from the market risk scenario. The stressed LGD should consider any idiosyncrasies which would increase the LGD of the counterparty over the one used in the relevant credit risk segment and geography.

⁴⁸ ITS on the mapping of the credit assessments to risk weights of External Credit Assessment Institution (ECAIs) (Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799).

⁴⁹ See Part Three, Title II, Chapter 4 of the CRR.

The list of eligible EU regional governments and local authorities that may be treated as central governments for the calculation of capital requirements pursuant Article 115 of the CRR is maintained by the EBA: https://eba.europa.eu/sites/default/documents/files/documents/10180/585167/e2128d3c-c67f-40fb-80ce-857df060f7b2/List%20of%20EU%20regional%20governments%20and%20local%20authorities%20treated%20as%20exposures%20to%20central%20governments%20%28Article%20115%20CRR%29.xlsx



- 325. The default of the three most vulnerable counterparties covers the effect that the whole CCR exposure assigned to this counterparty has on the P&L if the counterparty defaults. In addition to the CCR effect, banks are asked to calculate additional losses from the jump-to-default (JtD) of the direct credit exposure to debt and equity instruments (additional to the CRR exposure) to this counterparty in the FVPL and FVOCI portfolios. Here jump-to-default is the net additional loss resulting from an issuer's instantaneous default. Net profit resulting from an issuer's instantaneous default should not be considered in the jump-to-default estimations.
- 326. Only indirect exposures to the issuer (i.e. credit derivatives) that are either part of a hedge accounting relationship or that are recognised as credit mitigation effects (according to the Articles 213 and 216 of the CRR), shall be considered for the computation of the jump to default. Off-balance sheet exposures should be included in the jump-to-default calculation. The P&L impact based on the jump-to-default calculation of the direct credit exposure is calculated as the product of the stressed LGD and the JtD exposure. For exposures to the three most vulnerable counterparties in accounting portfolios held at amortised cost no stress is required for the CCR loss calculation.
- 327. The algorithm for identifying the 10 largest counterparties and the 2 most vulnerable ones is summarised in Box 19.
- 328. The resulting losses will be captured as impairments in the P&L. The projection of counterparty defaults should be carried out independently from the projection of credit risk losses as defined in section 2.4 i.e. no adjustments should be made for credit risk exposure or credit risk parameters for the projection of credit risk losses as defined in section 2, based on assumed counterparty defaults.

Box 19: Algorithm for identifying and defaulting CCR exposures

- Exclude exposures not within the scope of the largest counterparty default (i.e. central governments, central banks, CCPs and other market infrastructures, counterparties explicitly guaranteed by the central government and intra-group exposures).
- Calculate stressed CCR exposure by applying stress factors defined in the market risk scenario to all positions subject to CCR as defined in paragraph 319, under the adverse market risk scenario.
- Calculate value of stressed collateral by applying stress factors defined in the market risk scenario to all collateral positions.
- Rank counterparties by stressed CCR exposure net of stressed collateral, guarantees and credit risk mitigation eligible under the CRR. The exposure has to take into account the change in the mark-to-market exposure to the counterparties, as well as the revaluation of the collateral.



- Consider only the 10 largest counterparties in terms of stressed CCR exposure net of stressed collateral and eligible credit risk mitigation for the adverse scenario.
- Identify the three most vulnerable counterparties of the 10 largest counterparties according to the following procedure:
- Calculate an external PD for each counterparty. This PD shall be the probability of default implied by the second lowest external rating available, constrained by the upper bound and lower bound on the Long-run benchmark PD values on Table 1 of Annex 1 of ITS on the mapping of the credit assessments to risk weights of External Credit Assessment Institution (ECAIs) (Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799). Where the implied external PD is higher than the upper bound for a given credit quality step, the external PD shall be capped at the value of the upper bound of the credit quality step. Where the implied external PD is lower than the lower bound for a given credit quality step, the external PD shall be floored at the value of the lower bound of the credit quality step.

Credit Quality Step	Lower bound	Upper bound
1	0,00 %	0,16 %
2	0,17 %	0,54 %
3	0,55 %	2,39 %
4	2,40 %	10,99 %
5	11,00 %	26,49 %
6	26,50 %	100,00

- The ratings to be considered shall include, when available, at least the following sources:
 - DBRS Ratings
 - Fitch Ratings
 - Moodys Investors Service
 - S&P Global Ratings
- If external rating does not exist and consequently external PD cannot be estimated, calculate an internal PD for each counterparty, this PD shall be the probability of default implied by the internal rating of the counterparty.
- Assign a PD to each of the 10 largest counterparties using the external PD. If external PD cannot be estimated, then assign the internal PD.
- The 3 counterparties with the highest assigned PDs are selected as the three most vulnerable counterparties. If the same PD is assigned to more than one counterparty, these counterparties with the same PD shall be ranked in order of resulting losses from the default of this counterparty, from high to low.



- Calculate the impact of the default of CCR exposures for each of the 3 most vulnerable counterparties. This is equal to the stressed CCR exposure net of stressed collateral and eligible credit risk mitigation multiplied by the respective stressed LGD, netting the CVA impact on the P&L before application of the stress. The impact is floored to zero.
- Calculate jump to default (JtD) loss for the 3 most vulnerable counterparties as the sum of JtD credit exposures in FVPL and FVOCI accounting categories multiplied by the respective stressed LGD.
- Calculate the final impact of default by summing up the impact of CCR stress losses and the impact of the JtD losses for the three most vulnerable counterparties.

3.8. Impact on REA

3.8.1. Starting points

- 329. The starting points for market REA are the values reported as of 31 December 2024. All banks, including the ones under the simplified standardised approach, should report starting point values for market risk REA and CVA.
- 330. Starting point figures computed with the regulation in force as of 31 December 2024, should be reported by all banks in ColNum 1, in the respective rows of the CSV_MR_REA template. If a bank has chosen to apply, from 1 January 2025, the CRR3 scope for market risk positions (i.e. applies the FRTB boundary framework), then the affected MR REA figures should be restated accordingly and should be reported in Column 2 of the CSV_MR_REA template. If this is not the case, banks should still populate Column 2 with the actual value (CRR2 scope) where required.
- 331. For CVA REA, the starting points should be reported according to both the regulation in force as of 31 December 2024 (CRR2) and the regulation in force as of 1 January 2025 (CRR3). In this latter case MR REA should be restated and reported as explained in the section 2.3.7 of the Template Guidance.

3.8.2. Projections of MR REA

- 332. For this exercise, banks that do not have a VaR model approved by the competent authority in place are assumed to maintain market risk regulatory requirements constant at their starting value for both the baseline and adverse scenarios.
- 333. In the baseline scenario all market risk and CVA REA components are assumed to be equal to the starting point. Banks are required to project their capital charges in the adverse scenario only for CVA-SA (according to CRR3) and IRC (according to CRR2). The other MR REA components



will be projected based on prescribed formulas which are a function of the starting points as explained in the next paragraphs.

- 334. Under the baseline scenario, VaR and SVaR are assumed to remain constant at the level reported for the reference date 31 December 2024. Under the adverse scenario, the VaR will be replaced by the SVaR as of 31 December 2024. The sVaR is assumed to stay constant in both the baseline and the adverse scenario (as shown in Table 14).
- 335. In the adverse scenario, the sum of projected market risk and CVA capital requirements is floored with the total REA starting point.

Table 14: VaR assumptions for the calculation of the REA

Starting point	Baseline	Adverse
VaR	VaR	SVaR
SVaR	SVaR	SVaR

- 336. Banks modelling IRC must project the impact in the adverse scenario based on stressed parameters in accordance with section 2. Banks should use the credit spread shocks given in the market risk scenario as input to the IRC under the adverse scenario, assumed to be instantaneous and constant over the years ahead. Regarding those shocks not available in the market risk scenario (e.g. macroeconomic variables like GDP or Unemployment), the macro adverse scenario should be used as a reference, in line with the guidance provided in Box 14. No shocks are assumed under the baseline scenario. Overall, the relative increase in the IRC is floored at the relative increase of REA in the IRB portfolio in the adverse scenario.
- 337. For correlation trading portfolios, the APR will be assumed to be constant in the baseline scenario. In the adverse scenario, the following scaling is assumed, to derive the stressed APR capital charge:
 - a. 8% floor⁵¹ is not binding: 1.5 times the APR capital charge.
 - b. 8% floor is binding: 2 times the floor.
- 338. The capital charges for correlation trading positions under the STA are assumed to remain constant at the level of 31 December 2024 under both the baseline scenario and the adverse scenario.
- 339. The impact on REA shall be reported using the market REA templates (CSV_ MR_REA). If the CA requires banks to also compute REA for risk not in model engine (RNIME), then this requirement should be reported in the CSV_MR_REA by adding it to the starting points of the

 $^{^{51}}$ See Article 364(3) of the CRR.



related market risk REA component. In addition, banks should also report it separately as a memo item in the CSV_MR_REA template.

- 340. REA for the CCR capital requirements is calculated using the approach described in section 2.
- 341. Finally, for securitisation positions held with a trading intent, the REA shall be reported in the CSV_MR_REA template only for what concerns the general risk part. The REA of other securitization positions shall be treated in accordance with the securitisation methodology described in section2 as part of the credit risk methodology.

CVA

- 342. CVA REA in the baseline scenario is assumed to be equal to the starting point CVA REA for BA-CVA, SA-CVA and simplified method CVA. Furthermore, for all banks, the capital charge for exposures under BA-CVA and simplified method CVA in the adverse scenario is assumed to be equal to the starting point value.
- 343. CA and CA-adv banks with exposures under SA-CVA, should calculate stressed CVA capital charge in the adverse scenario and report them in the CSV_MR_REA template. To determine CVA capital charge in the adverse scenario under the SA-CVA, banks should apply the market risk scenarios to all relevant CVA risk factors (i.e., interest rates, credit spreads, foreign exchange rates, and equity prices). Then, for each risk factor, banks should compute the sensitivities under stress. To calculate the regulatory CVA capital requirement, banks should aggregate the sensitivities using the aggregation formula as specified in CRR3, in accordance with the standard regulatory methodology employed for the calculation of SA-CVA capital charges. This should include consideration of diversification and hedging effects as per regulatory guidelines.
- 344. The change in the SA-CVA capital charge under the adverse scenarios is floored at the relative increase of REA in the IRB portfolio in the adverse scenario.

Output floor

345. For banks under IMA, which also report the ASA REA in accordance with Article 430b CRR, the ASA REA as of 31 December 2024 is considered for the computation of the standardised total risk exposure amount (S-TREA), which contributes to the output floor. Banks under the STA approach or not reporting ASA REA, should consider the STA REA for the computation of the S-TREA. The S-TREA for market risk will be only computed for Q4 2024 and it is assumed to stay constant for all years of the baseline and adverse scenario. The reporting of the REA figures for the output floor is explained in section 2.3.6 of the Template Guidance.



4. NII

4.1. Overview

- 346. The NII framework covers projections of reference rates and margins under both the baseline scenario and the adverse scenario. The split between reference rate and margin components of banks' assets and liabilities is introduced to distinguish two risks affecting banks' NII under stress:
 - The risk related to a change in the general 'risk-free' yield curves.
 - The risk related to a change in the 'premium' that the market requires or the bank sets for different types of instruments and counterparties, reflecting the impact of credit and other market risks (e.g. liquidity).
- 347. The projections are calculated in accordance with Box 20.

Box 20: Calculation of projections of NII

- Assumptions cannot lead (at group level) to an increase in the bank's NII, compared with the 2024 value, under the adverse scenario (paragraph 404).
- Under the adverse scenario, assumptions cannot lead (at group level) to an increase in the bank's NII compared with the 2024 value before considering the impact of the increase of provisions for non-performing exposures on interest income (paragraph 405).
- The income on non-performing exposures is calculated net of provisions, and under the adverse scenario subject to a cap on the applicable EIR at aggregate level (paragraph 407).
- Under the baseline scenario the margin component of the EIR of their repriced liabilities reflects a proportion of the changes in the sovereign bond spread of the country of location of activity (paragraph 422).
- Under the adverse scenario, the margin paid on interest-bearing liabilities increases equal to a proportion of the increase in the sovereign spread of the country of location of activity and the same proportion applied to the increase of an idiosyncratic component, derived from the impact on banks' wholesale funding rate of a rating downgrade (paragraph 422).



- Under both the baseline and the adverse scenario, the margin component of the EIR on repriced assets is projected as a proportion of the increase in the sovereign spreads of the country of exposure (paragraph 425).
- Under both the baseline and adverse scenario, sight deposits reprice immediately in line with the methodological prescriptions (paragraphs 393 and 397).
- The reference rate of new originated or repriced instruments should be consistent with the macroeconomic scenarios for risk-free yield curves (paragraph 351).
- Net interest income from held for trading and their related hedges, excluding economic hedges is set equal to the average during 2022-2024 (paragraph 430)

4.2. Scope

- 348. Interest -earning or interest-paying positions across all accounting categories, including not only instruments subject to amortised cost measurement but also those subject to fair value measurement (such as FVOCI positions, FVPL positions except for held-for-trading, but including Economic Hedges⁵²and hedge-accounting instruments), are in the scope of this section. Held-for-trading positions except Economic Hedges are excluded from the scope of the NII methodology of Sections 4.1 to 4.4 and are treated according to the methodology described in Section 4.5. For avoidance of doubt, derivatives that are classified held for trading but are held with the purpose of hedging non-held for trading instruments are in scope of this section.
- 349. Contractual agreements not in line with the static-balance sheet assumption (e.g. become only effective in the stress test horizon but are not on-balance as of end of the year of the starting period such as loan commitments or financial guarantees) are out of scope of the NII methodology. However, derivative hedging instruments (e.g. swaptions, FRAs) used to hedge on-balance assets/liabilities and which go into the money during scenario horizon, are in scope (see paragraph 390).
- 350. Fees and commissions that are recognised as NII in the accounting framework are also within the scope of this section. Fees and commissions that can be directly linked to loans should be stressed through the loan's EIR. All other fee and commission income is out of the scope of the NII methodology.

-

⁵² As defined in FINREP Annex V Part 2 Par. 137.



4.3. High-level assumptions and definitions

4.3.1. Definitions

- 351. Reference rate is defined as the general underlying 'risk-free' rate relevant for the given instrument, as used by banks in the management of their interest rate risk in the banking book. That rate shall not include instrument-specific or entity-specific credit risk spreads or liquidity risk spreads. Acceptable starting point rates are swap rates against 6-month money market rates (if available), while for tenors up to 1-year money market rates should be used.⁵³ The reference rate shall reflect the contractual payment profile of the respective instrument unless otherwise specified in the Methodological Note. Specific treatments for the reporting of the sight deposits, embedded derivatives are envisaged in the Methodological Note (paragraphs 393, 397, 414, 415).
- 352. Margin is defined as the 'premium' earned/paid by banks over the instrument's/portfolio's reference rate.
- 353. Margin of the new business (end 2024) refers to the margin (notional-weighted) of the instruments that were originated in 2024 and which were on the balance sheet at the end of the year. The margin of the new business is used for the projections of the margin components.
- The EIR for a given instrument, time interval and component (margin or reference rate) is 354. the rate that equals the ratio of interest income/expenses to the volume. For banks reporting according to IFRS 9, this coincides with the EIR as defined in that Standard. At portfolio level, the EIR is the interest income/expense earned over the year divided by the notional of the average volume of the year.
- 355. Volume stands for the notional amount of an instrument, i.e. its gross carrying amount in the case of instruments at amortised cost and the notional amount for fair value instruments (including FVOCI). In particular, projected volume should abstract from projected fair value changes under both the baseline scenario and the adverse scenario.
- 356. Average 2024 volume is the sum of the time-weighted notional over instruments that were on the balance sheet at any point in time during the year 2024. The time-weighted notional of an instrument is defined as the notional of the instrument times the fraction of the year the instrument was on the balance sheet.⁵⁴ If an instrument is reclassified from performing to nonperforming (and vice-versa), the time-weighting scheme shall be applied accordingly. 55 It is

 $^{^{\}rm 53}$ Banks should source this information from available market data provider.

⁵⁴ E.g. in case of two instruments with both notional 100 and instrument 1 being on balance sheet 6 months and instrument 2 being on balance sheet for 9 months in 2024, the reported average volume would be 100*(6/12)+100*(9/12)=125. The average EIR is given as the NII generated by these instruments in 2024 divided by the average volume.

⁵⁵ If an instrument with a notional of 100, that at the beginning of 2024, is performing, and then it migrates after three months to non-performing and it is still in bank's balance sheet at the end of 2024, it shall be accounted for 100*(3/12) in the PE and for 100*(9/12) within NPE.



expected that the product between the average volume and the average EIR corresponds to the interest income/expenses over the starting point year at asset/liability type level.

- 357. **End 2024 volume** is equal to the stock being on the balance sheet at the end of the year, while the EIR is the notional-weighted end of the year EIR of the instruments being on the balance sheet at the end year.
- 358. **Maturity date** is defined as the contractual date on which the margin or the reference rate component of the asset/liability is replaced or repriced:
 - a. For fixed-rate instruments, it is assumed that the maturity dates of the reference rate and the margin are the same, and equal to the contractual maturity of the instrument.
 - b. For floating rate instruments, it is assumed that the margin is repriced at the contractual maturity of the instrument, while the reference rate component is repriced whenever the index rate of the floating rate instrument resets.⁵⁶
- 359. **Maturing schedule** is the year when contractually an instrument matures/reprices. It includes performing exposures only at the starting point of the exercise. The reduction of performing exposures due to migration to non-performing exposures shall not be accounted for in the maturing schedule.
- 360. **Original maturity** is defined as the total time between the asset's/liability's time of origination and the maturity date. In cases of debt securities, the time of origination should be understood as the acquisition date by the bank and the original maturity should be based on the residual maturity at the acquisition date of the debt security. On a portfolio level, the original maturity is the notional weighted average over all instruments.
- 361. Average point of maturing (APM) is the methodologically predefined average fraction of a year at which the maturing positions mature/reprice. Average point of maturing values are provided in the template (CSV NII CALC, CSV NII CALC FUNDING MATCH).
- 362. **Sovereign spread** is the difference between the 10-years yield-to-maturity of a given sovereign's debt security and the 10-years swap-rate for the currency based on the respective currency reported.
- 363. **Sight deposits** are deposits legally redeemable immediately at demand without significant delay,⁵⁷ restriction or penalty. Unless their remuneration is referenced to an interest rate index as per paragraph 379, sight deposits shall be classified as fixed rate instruments.

.

 $^{^{56}}$ In this context, the index rate of the instrument should be used as the reference interest rate for floating rate products.

⁵⁷ More precisely, by close of business on the day following that on which the demand was made. In the case of deposits which fulfil the definition of sight deposits according to this paragraph, but which are not reported as overnight deposits in FINREP, these deposits shall be classified as sight deposits for the purpose of the NII projections. In this case, banks are required to provide supporting evidence for the classification as sight deposits in the Explanatory Note.



- 364. **Regulated sight deposits** are sight deposits whose EIR is defined by an external authority (e.g. national government) through a publicly prescribed regulated formula and not by bank/customer negotiations or unilaterally by banks. The list of regulated sight deposits that banks can report is provided in paragraph 396.
- 365. **Legal floors** are floors on the EIR specified by law or determined by a Supreme Court decision. Bank/customer negotiations or unilateral (contractual) options are explicitly excluded from this definition. Legal floors are only recognised for sight deposits. The list of permissible legal floor deposits that banks can report is provided in paragraph 395.
- 366. **Term deposits** are deposits which are not sight deposits, i.e. deposits with a notification period for withdrawal.
- 367. Average last date of repricing is the average fraction of the starting point year at which the positions of a portfolio are repriced during that year. The average last date of repricing shall be calculated for the portfolio considering the repricing date of each instrument within the portfolio. Banks shall identify, for each instrument in the portfolio, based on the last date of repricing, the value from the SWAP curve that corresponds to the instrument's currency and the weighted average original contractual maturity of the portfolio (AOM).⁵⁸ A weighted average SWAP value shall be calculated at portfolio level, using the end-year volumes of the instruments comprising the portfolio. The average last date of repricing for the portfolio shall be calculated as the fraction of the year that corresponds to the observed SWAP value with tenor equal to the portfolio AOM, that is the closest to the resulting bank-calculated weighted average SWAP value. The average last date of repricing shall be reported with 2 decimals, corresponding thus to a monthly frequency. The closest SWAP value is the one with the smallest difference, in absolute terms, to the resulting bank-calculated weighted average SWAP value. Where monthly data is available for the SWAP curve, the last value of the month will be reported.59

4.3.2. Static balance sheet assumption

368. The projections of NII are based on the assumption of a static balance sheet. Assets and liabilities (in the banking book) that are replaced within the time horizon of the exercise should be replaced with similar financial instruments in terms of type, currency, credit quality at the

⁵⁸ For fixed rate portfolios, banks shall consider the average original contractual maturity of the portfolio. For floating rate portfolios, the portfolio average repricing frequency of the underlying rate index shall be considered.

⁵⁹ Please see the example provided in the Template Guidance for a detailed example on the average last date of repricing calculation and the choice of the corresponding SWAP rate. When performing the intermediate calculations (at instrument level), banks may use the exact last date of repricing. If the tenor of the corresponding SWAP rate is not available, the logic prescribed by paragraph 375 of thein EU-wide stress test methodological note will be applied. For the SWAP rate of the Other/Other geography/currency pair, banks shall always use the curve corresponding to the USD. The same applies for cases were the bank reports as currency "Rest of the world". In case there are multiple closest SWAP values, the bank will select the one that corresponds to the last date of repricing that is the most representative for the portfolio. The acceptable starting point rates defined in paragraph 351 will be applied both for the instrument-level SWAP rates used for calculating the average last date of repricing and for the portfolio level SWAP rates corresponding to the resulting average last date of repricing.



time of repricing and original time to reprice (both reference interest rate and margin) of the instrument. Therefore, the original maturity of each re-payment should be set equal to the original maturity of the loan. For instance, if the first re-payment on a 10-year loan happens in year 1, this re-payment should be replaced with a loan with original maturity of 10 years and a volume equal to the re-payment. No difference in total volumes between baseline and adverse scenario is expected.

4.3.3. Treatment of maturing assets and liabilities

- 369. As specified above, banks are required to assume that the residual maturity of their assets and liability equals the contractual date on which the margin or the reference rate component of the asset/liability is repriced/replaced. No additional behavioural assumptions shall be taken into account (prepayment features must not be taken into account when determining the maturity schedule). Against this background:
 - Banks are requested to assume that all sight deposits reprice immediately, i.e. no internal
 assumption regarding the maturity schedule should be in place.
 - In the case of term deposits, the actual term at origination shall be used as original maturity.
 - Debt liabilities that are callable by the bank's counterparty prior to their overall maturity are expected to be exercised on the first possible call date.
 - Concerning loans, each repayment shall be treated as an individual maturing product and shall be reported in the maturity schedule on its contractual repayment date and then repriced with similar financial instruments in terms of type, credit quality at the time of repricing and original time to reprice (both reference rate and margin), in line with the static balance sheet assumption.
- 370. Banks shall report the starting point data, the new reference rate according to the scenario, the new margin subject to the pass-through constraints and comply with the intertemporal consistency constraint for EIR existing and maturing. The replacement of maturing positions related to both the reference rate and the margin is based on the methodologically prescribed average point of maturing. The overall impact will be directly calculated in the template.

4.3.4. Treatment of non-performing exposures

371. For the sake of simplicity, banks are required to assume that the volume of non-performing exposures is proportionally distributed between fixed rate and floating rate positions. Non-performing events are assumed to take place at the beginning of each time interval.



- 372. In order to achieve consistency with the banks' projections of non-performing exposures reported in the credit risk template, the following rules apply when reporting both columns of non-performing exposures and the corresponding volumes of provisions in the CSV_NII_CALC and CSV_NII_CALC_FUNDING_MATCH templates:
 - Banks shall report the volume of non-performing exposures at the cut-off date in the NII template consistent with the data reported in FINREP.
 - The ratio of total NPE flows per country reported in the credit risk template CSV_CR_SCEN in 2025, 2026 and 2027 for a given asset class compared with the total exposures (performing and non-performing) for the same country and asset class at the starting point (NPE growth rate) are implemented as the increase in non-performing exposures compared with the total volume at the starting point (end-2024) per country in the CSV_NII_CALC template for the corresponding NII asset type. Table 15 and Table 16 provide the mapping used only for linking the growth rates of NPE and related provisions between the CSV_CR_SCEN and CSV_CR_NII_CALC templates. The same applies to the flow of provisions compared with the total exposures at the starting point, calculated also by country and asset class breakdown (provisions growth rate).
 - Growth rates of NPE and related provisions for exposures that cannot be directly matched
 at a country-level between credit risk and NII templates are as follows: regarding countries
 which are not explicitly reported under CSV_CR_SCEN but under CSV_NII_CALC applicable
 growth rates are based on the CR category 'Other'; notwithstanding this, for countries
 which are reported under CSV_CR_SCEN while not appearing in CSV_NII_CALC the
 respective NPE growth rate are incorporated in the NII category 'Other/Other'.
 - Derivatives are excluded from the mapping as NPE, and provisions should be allocated to the respective counterpart via the CSV_CR_SCEN sheet.
- 373. The NPE growth rate and the provisions growth rate per country for a given asset class are applied in the CSV_NII_CALC template to each asset type and country for all the currencies, i.e. for all country/currency pairs, and both for fixed and floating rate instruments.

Table 15: Mapping of the IRB credit risk asset class to the NII asset type

Credit risk — Asset class	NII — Asset type
Central banks	Assets — Loans and advances — Central banks
	Assets — Debt securities – Central banks
Central governments	Assets — Loans and advances — General governments
	Assets — Debt securities – General governments
	Assets — Loans and advances — Credit institutions
Institutions	and other financial corporations



NII — Asset type
Assets — Loans and advances — Non-financial
corporations
Assets — Loans and advances — Non-financial
corporations
Assets — Loans and advances — Non-financial
corporations
Assets — Loans and advances — Non-financial
corporations
Assets — Loans and advances — Non-financial
corporations
Assets — Loans and advances — Non-financial
corporations
Assets — Loans and advances — Non-financial
corporations
Assets — Loans and advances — Non-financial
corporations
Assets — Loans and advances — Non-financial
corporations
Assets — Loans and advances — Non-financial
corporations
Assets — Loans and advances — Households —
Residential mortgage loans
Assets — Loans and advances — Households —
Credit for consumption and Other
Assets — Loans and advances — Non-financial
7.55et5 Ebuils and davances Non imaneial
corporations
corporations
corporations Assets — Loans and advances — Households —
corporations Assets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit for consumption and Other
corporations Assets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit for consumption and Other Assets — Other assets
corporations Assets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit for consumption and Other Assets — Other assets Assets — Other assets
corporations Assets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit for consumption and Other Assets — Other assets Assets — Other assets Assets — Loans and advances — General governments
corporations Assets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit for consumption and Other Assets — Other assets Assets — Other assets Assets — Loans and advances — General governments Assets — Debt securities — General governments
corporations Assets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit for consumption and Other Assets — Other assets Assets — Other assets Assets — Loans and advances — General governments Assets — Debt securities — General governments Assets — Loans and advances — General
corporations Assets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit for consumption and Other Assets — Other assets Assets — Other assets Assets — Loans and advances — General governments Assets — Debt securities — General governments Assets — Loans and advances — General governments Assets — Loans and advances — General governments
corporations Assets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit for consumption and Other Assets — Other assets Assets — Other assets Assets — Loans and advances — General governments Assets — Debt securities — General governments Assets — Loans and advances — General governments Assets — Debt securities — General governments Assets — Debt securities — General governments
corporations Assets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit for consumption and Other Assets — Other assets Assets — Other assets Assets — Loans and advances — General governments Assets — Debt securities — General governments Assets — Loans and advances — General governments Assets — Debt securities — General governments Assets — Debt securities — General governments Assets — Debt securities — General governments Assets — Loans and advances — Non-financial
corporations Assets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit for consumption and Other Assets — Other assets Assets — Other assets Assets — Loans and advances — General governments Assets — Debt securities — General governments Assets — Loans and advances — General governments Assets — Debt securities — General governments Assets — Debt securities — General governments

Table 16: Mapping of the STA credit risk asset class to the NII asset type

Credit risk — Asset class	NII — Asset type
Central banks	Assets — Loans and advances — Central banks
	Assets — Debt securities – Central banks
Central governments	Assets — Loans and advances — General governments



ssets — Debt securities — General governments ssets — Loans and advances — General governments ssets — Debt securities — General governments ssets — Loans and advances — General governments ssets — Debt securities — General governments ssets — Debt securities — General governments ssets — Loans and advances — Credit institutions and sher financial corporations ssets — Loans and advances — General governments ssets — Debt securities — General governments ssets — Loans and advances — Credit institutions and sher financial corporations ssets — Loans and advances — Non-financial sorporations ssets — Loans and advances — Non-financial sorporations ssets — Loans and advances — Non-financial sorporations ssets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit sets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit sets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit sets — Loans and advances — Households — ssets — Loans and advances — Households — sets — Loans and advances — Non-financial
ssets — Debt securities — General governments ssets — Loans and advances — General governments ssets — Debt securities — General governments ssets — Loans and advances — Credit institutions and sher financial corporations ssets — Loans and advances — General governments ssets — Debt securities — General governments ssets — Debt securities — General governments ssets — Loans and advances — Credit institutions and sher financial corporations ssets — Loans and advances — Non-financial surporations ssets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit star consumption and Other ssets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit ssets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit ssets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit ssets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit ssets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit ssets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit ssets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit ssets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit ssets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit ssets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit ssets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit ssets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit ssets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit ssets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit
ssets — Loans and advances — General governments sets — Debt securities — General governments sets — Loans and advances — Credit institutions and ther financial corporations sets — Loans and advances — General governments sets — Debt securities — General governments sets — Debt securities — General governments sets — Loans and advances — Credit institutions and ther financial corporations sets — Loans and advances — Non-financial orporations sets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit or consumption and Other sets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit or consumption and Other
ssets — Debt securities — General governments sets — Loans and advances — Credit institutions and ther financial corporations sets — Loans and advances — General governments sets — Debt securities — General governments sets — Loans and advances — Credit institutions and ther financial corporations sets — Loans and advances — Non-financial orporations sets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit or consumption and Other sets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit or consumption and Other
ssets — Debt securities — General governments sets — Loans and advances — Credit institutions and ther financial corporations sets — Loans and advances — General governments sets — Debt securities — General governments sets — Loans and advances — Credit institutions and ther financial corporations sets — Loans and advances — Non-financial orporations sets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit or consumption and Other sets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit or consumption and Other
seets — Loans and advances — Credit institutions and ther financial corporations seets — Loans and advances — General governments seets — Debt securities — General governments seets — Loans and advances — Credit institutions and ther financial corporations seets — Loans and advances — Non-financial proporations seets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit proporations seets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit proporations seets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit proporations and Other
cher financial corporations seets — Loans and advances — General governments seets — Debt securities — General governments seets — Loans and advances — Credit institutions and cher financial corporations seets — Loans and advances — Non-financial orporations seets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit or consumption and Other seets — Loans and advances — Households — esidential mortgage loans
ssets — Debt securities – General governments sets — Loans and advances — Credit institutions and ther financial corporations sets — Loans and advances — Non-financial orporations sets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit or consumption and Other sets — Loans and advances — Households — esidential mortgage loans
ssets — Loans and advances — Credit institutions and ther financial corporations sets — Loans and advances — Non-financial orporations sets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit or consumption and Other sets — Loans and advances — Households — esidential mortgage loans
cher financial corporations cosets — Loans and advances — Non-financial corporations cosets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit corporations cosets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit consumption and Other consumption and advances — Households — cosets — Loans and advances — Households —
ssets — Loans and advances — Non-financial orporations ssets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit or consumption and Other ssets — Loans and advances — Households — esidential mortgage loans
orporations seets — Loans and advances — Non-financial orporations seets — Loans and advances — Non-financial orporations seets — Loans and advances — Non-financial orporations seets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit or consumption and Other seets — Loans and advances — Households — esidential mortgage loans
ssets — Loans and advances — Non-financial orporations ssets — Loans and advances — Non-financial orporations ssets — Loans and advances — Non-financial orporations ssets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit or consumption and Other ssets — Loans and advances — Households — esidential mortgage loans
orporations seets — Loans and advances — Non-financial orporations seets — Loans and advances — Non-financial orporations seets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit or consumption and Other seets — Loans and advances — Households — esidential mortgage loans
ssets — Loans and advances — Non-financial orporations seets — Loans and advances — Non-financial orporations seets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit or consumption and Other seets — Loans and advances — Households — esidential mortgage loans
orporations sests — Loans and advances — Non-financial orporations sests — Loans and advances — Households — Credit or consumption and Other sests — Loans and advances — Households — esidential mortgage loans
ssets — Loans and advances — Non-financial orporations ssets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit or consumption and Other ssets — Loans and advances — Households — esidential mortgage loans
orporations ssets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit or consumption and Other ssets — Loans and advances — Households — esidential mortgage loans
ssets — Loans and advances — Households — Credit or consumption and Other ssets — Loans and advances — Households — esidential mortgage loans
ssets — Loans and advances — Households — esidential mortgage loans
esidential mortgage loans
ssets — Loans and advances — Non-financial
prporations
ssets — Loans and advances — Non-financial orporations
ssets — Loans and advances — Non-financial orporations
ssets — Other assets
ssets — Debt securities — Credit institutions and other nancial corporations
ssets — Debt securities — Non-financial corporations
ssets — Loans and advances — Credit institutions and ther financial corporations ssets — Loans and advances — Non-financial proporations
ssets — Other assets
ssets — Other assets
t



4.3.5. Interest rate and currency shocks

- 374. Where required, banks shall use linear interpolation to add tenors to the provided interest rate curves in the macroeconomic scenario. In line with paragraph 275, for tenors that are shorter or longer than the range of tenors available in the scenario, the shocks to the shortest and longest tenor available respectively shall be used.
- 375. Interest rates are stressed based on the swap rate curves provided in the macroeconomic scenario. If a currency's swap rate curve is not provided in the macroeconomic scenario, the swap rate curve for "rest of the world" is used, unless specified differently. The "rest of the world" swap rate curve is used for the projections of the Other/Other country-currency pair. Linear interpolation techniques are used to derive specific tenors not reported in the macroeconomic scenario.
- 376. Effects caused by a variation of exchange rates are automatically captured via a corrective factor in CSV_NII_CALC and CSV_NII_CALC_FUNDING_MATCH templates. For currencies where no stress is provided, the "rest of the world" currency shock shall be used, if not otherwise specified in the scenario.

4.3.6. Reporting requirements

a. General requirements

- 377. Starting point (2024) and projections based on the approach described in this section shall be reported on the NII template (CSV_NII_CALC and CSV_NII_CALC_FUNDING MATCH). The country/currency breakdown, the presentation currency, as well as the assumption for the 'Other' currency shall be reported under the input template (Input).
- 378. Banks are required to report volumes and to fill the projections for the interest rates earned (or paid) of all their assets and liabilities in the scope of the NII methodology split into the margin and reference rate components with the exception of non-performing exposures, for which it is required not to split the EIR between margin and reference. The projections will be filled as follows:
 - a. For the portfolios that fall under the scope of the NII centralised approach, the projections will be filled in the CSV_NII_CALC and CSV_NII_CALC_FUNDING MATCH templates based on the output of the NII calculations performed according to the formulas of Annex VIII.
 - b. For Derivatives portfolios which shall be reported in the CSV_NII_CALC template, banks shall fill the reference rate projections based on the output of the NII centralised calculations and they should only project the margin component.



- 379. The classification of an instrument as fixed and floating shall be done considering only the interest rate component of the instrument. An instrument shall be only classified as floating rate if its remuneration is referenced to an interest rate index, and otherwise as fixed rate. For instruments with an initially fixed rate that subsequently become linked to an index, the following shall apply:
 - a. If the fixed period covers the whole projection period of the stress test, the instrument is to be classified as fixed.
 - b. If the fixed pricing period ends within the projection period and the link to the index rate already starts within the projection period, the instrument is to be classified as floating for the purpose of the stress test.

The classification of an instrument as fixed and floating should be used consistently for the starting point and the projections horizon.

- 380. At the starting point, the reference rate shall generally reflect the risk-free rate at the last date of repricing. In particular, banks shall take into account the specific currency and original maturity of the instrument or, in case of floating rate instruments, the repricing frequency of the underlying interest rate index to determine the reference rate according to paragraph 351. The original contractual maturity shall be used to determine the applicable swap rate. The reference rate of new business starting point (2024), for each NII portfolio, shall be reported in accordance with paragraphs 351 and 367.⁶⁰
- 381. At the starting point, the margin shall be generally equal to the difference between the effective interest rate of the instrument and the reference rate as described in paragraph 351 and 380. For floating instruments, the margin might be the contractual spread applicable over the index rate, but in case of the index rate cannot be considered a risk-free rate, it has to be transformed by removing all additional spread components for the purpose of serving as reference rate. Hence, the applicable (transformed) risk-free index rate might differ from the contractual index rate. Any difference, between the applicable index rate and the contractual index rate at the starting point, shall be considered in the margin component.

b. Derivatives and embedded derivatives

- 382. For the purpose of this section, interest-rate derivatives hedging interest rate risk in the banking book are in scope, i.e. contracts related to interest-bearing financial instruments whose cash flows are determined by referencing interest rates or another interest-rate contract, such as an option on a future contract to purchase a treasury bill. The interest-rate derivatives shall be split into the following categories:
 - i) Fair Value Hedges Hedging Instruments (FINREP template 11.1 row 010 and 480);

⁶⁰ Any difference between the bank internal starting point and the scenario starting point will be booked in margin new business and will enter via this variable into the NII projection.



- ii) Cash Flow Hedges Hedging Instruments (FINREP template 11.1 row 240 and 490);
- iii) Economic hedges (FINREP template 10 row 020);
- iv) Cross Currency IR Swaps if used for hedge accounting or economic hedges (relevant positions from FINREP template 11.1 row 110 and 340, and template 10 row 140);
- v) Derivatives-other shall include embedded derivatives which need to be disentangled from the non-derivative host contract in accordance with paragraph 388 of this note.
- 383. Banks are required to report interest income and expenses for hedge accounting portfolios on a gross level, i.e. separate for the hedged item, the hedging instrument paying leg and the hedging instrument receiving leg. For all interest-rate derivatives, the receiving leg should be reported as an asset and the paying leg as a liability. Further, for all interest-rate derivatives, the reported interest income/expense shall distinguish between hedging instruments that are used for hedging asset positions and instruments used to hedge liability positions. If banks are reporting derivatives in their supervisory reporting in a different way, they should in their stress test submissions restate the historical data and report their projections in a way that is consistent with the provisions in this paragraph. Furthermore, negative interest rates do not affect the reporting of receiving and paying legs (e.g. a receiving leg has to be reported as an asset with a negative EIR in case the value is negative).
- 384. In case a bank hedges a net interest rate risk position, and the hedge is recognised as hedge accounting, the bank shall report the net interest rate risk position in the appropriate asset/ liability category. For the sake of clarity, a net interest rate risk position is defined as the difference between the interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities. The data related to volume will be computed pro-rata, i.e. taking into account the overall asset (or liability) sensitive position.
- 385. Economic hedges shall be reported among hedged assets (please refer to column "Hedged Type" of CSV_NII_CALC sheet) if the derivative instrument carries a fair value due to a net receiving position at the end of 2024; they shall be reported among hedged liabilities if the derivative instrument carries a negative fair value.
- 386. Cross currency IR swaps that involve the swapping of principal and interest in different currencies should be considered under the scope of the NII treatment. Cross currency IR swaps should be reported in both currency legs of the transaction in the respective country/currency pair. If interest earnings from these instruments are recognised as trading income, the relevant cash flows should be removed from NTI and covered under Section 4.5.
- 387. Regarding the allocation, cross currency interest rate swap should be reported according to FINREP, separately if used for hedge accounting or economic hedges following paragraph 382iv) of this note). In case the cross currency IR swap is part of a portfolio hedge of interest rate risk, it shall be reported as hedging instrument under 'Fair Value Hedges' (as per paragraph 382i)) or 'Cash Flow Hedges' (as per paragraph 382ii)), respectively. If the cross currency IR swap



is used for hedge accounting or economic hedges and does not form part of a portfolio hedge relationship as per paragraph 382, only the hedging instrument should be reported under 'Cross currency IR swaps – hedge accounting/economic hedges' item. Hedging derivatives that cannot be split into paying and receiving legs should be reported under the respective hedging category.

- 388. In the case of assets and liabilities that include embedded derivatives,⁶¹ banks are expected to disentangle the embedded derivatives from the host contract before the application of the interest rate scenarios, report the results in the corresponding parts of the NII template, and apply the relevant parts of the Methodological Note to each financial instrument. The embedded optionality should be reported in the same country and currency pair as the onbalance loan from which optionality was stripped from.
- 389. The effect on the interest income (expenses) of that instrument, which can be solely attributed to the embedded derivatives, should be reported separately from the underlying contract as part of the category within 'Assets (Liabilities) Derivatives Other', while the effect of the host contract, i.e. without the embedded derivatives, should be included in the respective non-derivative portfolio. All embedded derivatives must be phased-out, i.e. upon maturity the contribution has to be set to 0 via the EIR. Banks shall assume in case of floating instruments a pro-rata approach for the phase-out. This implies multiplying the applicable scenario EIRs by the share of non-matured instruments up to respective scenario period divided by the total volume at the cut-off date.
- 390. Forward rate agreements (FRA), swaptions and other contracts with embedded derivatives (e.g. caps/floors) shall be reported in the relevant derivatives category only if they will be in the money, i.e. they will become active during the stress test horizon in any of the baseline or the adverse scenario. In this case, initially, the nominal volume should be reported as existing volume on both sides of the balance sheet, where applicable, and the EIR should be set to zero to ensure that static balance sheet assumption is not breached when the contract becomes active. 62 Out-of-the-money FRA, swaptions and other contracts with embedded derivatives are out of scope and should not be initially reported. It is also assumed that any optionality will be exercised by the counterparty at the earliest strike date when the instrument moves in the money.

c. Sight deposits

- 391. The split of the rates between the reference and margin components should be made in accordance with paragraphs 351 and 352.
- 392. For sight deposits, the reference rate to be applied in the scenario horizon is the 1M swap rate or another index rate if it is explicitly prescribed (see paragraph 363).

-

 $^{^{61}}$ Contractual caps and floors are to be treated as embedded derivatives.

 $^{^{62}}$ The average last date of repricing should be set at 0.5 for these instruments.



393. For household (HH) and non-financial corporations (NFC) fixed and floating rate sight deposits, the reference rate should be reported as follows:

$$Reference \ rate_{i,t} = \begin{cases} max\{0, legal\ floor, risk\ free\ rate_{t0} + 0.5 * \Delta risk\ free\ rate_{t-t0}\} & if\ i = HH\\ max\{legal\ floor, risk\ free\ rate_{t0} + 0.75 * \Delta risk\ free\ rate_{t-t0}\} & if\ i = NFC \end{cases}$$

- 394. The pass-through on the reference rate of sight deposits starts from the point where the risk-free rate is above the legal floor or above zero, where the floor at zero is applicable.
- 395. Banks are required to provide the starting point volumes and the applicable legal floor relevant for the calculations in accordance with paragraph 393 in the dedicated cells of the CSV_NII_SUM template for the following list of sight deposits. Banks are also required to provide to their CA legal/regulatory evidence about the application of the legal floor. In case the floor is contract specific, this floor reflects an embedded derivative in the contract and is therefore not recognised in accordance with paragraph 415. Banks will report in CSV_NII_SUM only the regulated sight deposits denominated in one of the country-currency pairs reported in CSV_NII_CALC. All other cases will be reported in the Other-Other category of the CSV_NII_CALC template.
 - Austria-EUR-Households-Saving deposits from households
 - Austria EUR-Non-financial corporations- Saving deposits from non-financial corporations
 - Belgium-EUR-Regulated saving deposits from households
 - Belgium-EUR-Regulated saving deposits from non-financial corporations
 - Lithuania-EUR-Sight deposits that result from the Bank Deposit Agreement
 - Portugal-EUR-Sight deposits from households
 - Portugal-EUR-Sight deposits from non-financial corporations
 - Hungary-HUF-Sight deposits from households
 - Hungary-EUR-Sight deposits from households
 - Hungary-USD- Sight deposits from households
 - Hungary-Other currency- Sight deposits from households
- 396. In the case of regulated sight deposits, the outcome of the regulatory formula becomes the floor of the reference rate. Banks are required to provide the starting point volumes and the projections of new business reference rate according to the regulatory formula in the dedicated cells of the CSV_NII_SUM template for the following list of sight deposits. Banks are required to provide to their CA legal/regulatory evidence about the prescribed application of the regulatory



formula. In any case, regulated sight deposits will be subject to a shock of the margin, subject to a pass-through constraint, to preserve the economic rationality of a stress scenario. Banks will report in CSV_NII_SUM only the regulated sight deposits denominated in one of the country-currency pairs reported in CSV_NII_CALC. All other cases will be reported in the Other-Other category of the CSV_NII_CALC template.

- France EUR Households Livret A
- France EUR Households Livret bleus (LB)
- France EUR Households Livrets de développement durable et solidaire (LDDS)
- France EUR Households Livret Epargne Populaire (LEP)
- France EUR Households Compte Epargne Logement Hors prime d'État (CELHP)
- 397. For all sight deposits other than households and non-financial corporations, the reference rate should be reported as follows. The impact of the legal floor should be reflected as an embedded derivatives and reported within the margin component projections of the Derivatives-Other category.
 - Reference rate_t = max {legal floor, risk free rate_{t0} + Δ risk free rate_{t-t0}}

d. Other requirements

- 398. Debt securities that do not generate interest flows, e.g. hybrid debt instruments that are AT1 eligible instruments, should be excluded from the NII Methodological Note while section 6.4.3 is applicable.
- 399. At the cut-off date, EIRs may include the effect of legal floors for instruments different from sight deposits or instruments that do not fulfil paragraph 365 as an embedded derivative within the margin projections of the Derivatives-Other item. In this case, banks shall apply the provisions laid out in paragraphs 351, 380 and 381 in order to split the EIR into reference rate component and margin component⁶³ and paragraph 408 for the projections.

e. Template Breakdown

400. For the country/currency breakdown in the templates, banks shall report the country of the 'location' of the activity for all liabilities, and the country of 'residence of the counterparty' for all assets, including exposures towards sovereigns.

⁶³ For example, a bank with a floating mortgage which, as of December 2024, has a reference rate of Euribor 3M equal to -40 bps and a margin equal to +30 bps and, due to the application of an existing legal floor, an EIR equal to 0. At the 2024 starting point the bank shall report a reference rate equal to -40bps and a margin equal to +40 bps (as sum of the margin and the correction for the existing legal floor).



- 401. 'Location' and 'country of residence of the counterparty' are defined according to FINREP: 'location' means the jurisdiction of incorporation of the legal entity which has recognised the corresponding liability; for branches, it means the jurisdiction of its residence. ⁶⁴ 'Country of residence of the counterparty' is defined as the residence of the immediate counterparty following FINREP, which means, if there is more than one obligor, the obligor that was the more relevant, or determinant, for the institution to grant the exposure.
- 402. For derivatives reported under hedge accounting or economic hedge, the country breakdown should be in line with the hedged items. For derivatives other than those reported under hedge accounting or economic hedge, the country breakdown should refer to the location of activity for the paying and receiving leg. For cross currency IR swaps, the provisions in paragraph 386 apply.
- 403. The number of country/currency pairs reported will be subject to the materiality thresholds specified in Box 21. First, banks will be requested to limit their reporting to the most significant country/currency pairs. Second, banks whose activities are heavily focused on their domestic market and currency will not be requested to provide this additional information. Intra-group transactions (in line with paragraph 13) shall not be included in the reporting by country/currency. If a country/currency pair does not reach the materiality threshold, the exposure has to be reported in 'Other/Other'. Exposures towards international organisations are to be reported under 'Other/Other'.

Box 21: Application of the materiality threshold on the currency/country breakdown requested

Banks are required to follow the following algorithm to determine the materiality of the country/currency breakdown:

- For each couple of country/currency, banks are required to compute the larger of the notional amount of total assets and total liabilities, excluding (only for the purpose of ranking the country/currency couple) the notional amount of derivatives. This will define the volume associated with each country/currency couple.
- Banks shall rank the country/currency couple according to their volume.
- Banks are requested to report the country/currency breakdown, either:
 - Up to a 90% coverage of the sum of all country/currency volumes; or
 - Up to 50 country/currency couples.

Domestic banks — i.e. banks whose non-domestic exposures are less than 10% of the sum of domestic and non-domestic country exposures, and whose foreign currency exposures are less

-

⁶⁴ See EBA ITS on supervisory reporting Annex V, Reporting on Financial Information.



than 10% of the sum of domestic and foreign currency exposures — are not requested to report any country/currency breakdown with the only exception of the home country with the relevant currency. Domestic banks shall additionally report non-domestic numbers that have not been reported under the first country/currency block under 'Other/Other'.

The template will automatically calculate the Sum/Sum aggregate data.

4.4. Impact on P&L

4.4.1. High-level constraints

- 404. Assumptions cannot lead (at group level) to an increase in the bank's NII, compared with the 2024 value, under the adverse scenario.
- 405. Under the adverse scenario, assumptions cannot lead (at group level) to an increase in the bank's NII compared with the 2024 value before considering the impact of the increase of provisions for non-performing exposures on interest income, following the formula in Box 22 below. This is equivalent to specifying that the interest earned on performing assets is capped at the starting point. This constraint aims to avoid the possibility that banks compensate for the decrease in interest income linked to the growth of non-performing exposures with an increase in interest income from performing exposures.

Box 22: Cap on NII under the adverse scenario

$$NII_{t,adv} \leq NII_{t0} - NII_{t0} \cdot \frac{\left(Vol_{t,adv}^{Prov} - Vol_{t0}^{Prov} \right)}{Vol_{t0}^{PE} + \left(Vol_{t0}^{NPE} - Vol_{t0}^{Prov} \right)}$$

Where,

- $NII_{t,adv}$ stands for the total net interest income projected by banks for the time interval t under the adverse scenario.
- NII_{t0} stands for the total net interest income projected by banks at the starting point (i.e. reporting for 2024).
- $Vol_{t,adv}^{Prov} Vol_{t0}^{Prov}$ stands for the increase of total provisions on non-performing exposures reported by banks for the time interval t compared with the starting point under the adverse scenario.
- Vol_{t0}^{PE} stands for the volume of performing exposures at the starting point.



- $Vol_{t0}^{NPE} Vol_{t0}^{Prov}$ stands for the volume of non-performing exposures net of provisions at the starting point.
- 406. Under both the baseline scenario and the adverse scenario, projections cover the interest accrued on performing exposures (including S1 and S2 exposures) in line with banks' standing accounting practice and the applicable EIR, and in accordance with this Methodological Note. The interest revenue on performing exposures is calculated on the gross carrying amount.
- 407. The income on non-performing exposures is calculated on a net basis, i.e. on the value of the exposure net of provisions. Under the adverse scenario, the applicable effective EIR is subject to a cap on an aggregate level determined by the average EIR on net non-performing exposures at the end of 2024.

4.4.2. Projection of the components of the EIR

- 408. For each time interval of the projections (existing, maturing, new business), there should be separate projections for the margin and reference rate components of the EIR. The assumptions given in the following paragraphs are considered to project banks' interest expense and interest income:
 - For fixed-rate products, the margin and reference rate are assumed to remain constant until
 the contractual maturity. In the year when the instrument matures, the fixed-rate products
 should be replaced considering a residual maturity equal to the provided average point of
 maturity. Fixed-rate instruments are assumed to be replaced with a fixed-rate instrument
 of the same type, original maturity and currency. In detail:
 - The reference rate of the new instrument is calculated for a tenor equivalent to the average original maturity of the maturing portfolio. The new reference rate is given by the value provided in the macroeconomic scenario for the swap rate in the baseline/adverse scenario for the year in which the instrument replaces. In case no swap rate for a given average original maturity is provided in the macroeconomic scenario, linear interpolation of the swap rate is used. The original contractual maturity shall be used to determine the applicable swap rate.
 - The margin component shall be based on the margin new business as in paragraph 352 and will be projected in accordance with Box 23 and Box 24;
 - For floating rate products:
 - The reference rate component is repriced according to the provided average point of maturity. For the projections, the swap curve provided in the macroeconomic scenario shall be used. The reference rate for household sight deposits should be reported according to paragraph 392;



- The margin is replaced in the year when it matures considering a residual maturity equal to the provided average point of maturity (see paragraph 361).
- 409. In the case of portfolios where no instruments were originated in 2024, but their total volume at the cut-off date is greater than zero, banks have to report such cases in the Explanatory Note, i.e. portfolios with zero volumes on new business but with non-zero total volumes at cut-off date. Banks are requested to report in the template a hypothetical margin on new business for the end of 2024 (the reference rate shall be the appropriate swap rate, see paragraph 351), along with zero volumes on new business at the end of 2024. Regarding the determination of the abovementioned hypothetical margin component, conservative assumptions have to be made, relying on margins rates paid/charged in comparable portfolios. Banks have to justify and document their choice. In exceptional cases of portfolios with average volume in 2024 greater than zero but total volume at the end of 2024 equal to zero, the margin and the reference rate at the end of 2024 as well as for the projections should be reported equal to zero. Finally, in the case of portfolios where both average volumes in 2024 and total volumes at the end of 2024 are both equal to zero, all cells in the respective rows should be left empty.
- 410. In order to ensure the intertemporal consistency of EIRs on existing (ex), maturing (mat) and new (new) volumes for performing exposures only at portfolio level, the following relationship holds for the margin and the reference rate components, respectively:⁶⁵

For t=2025:

$$EIR_{t}^{ex} = \frac{EIR_{t-1}^{EoY,total} \times Vol_{t-1}^{EoY,total} - EIR_{t}^{mat} \times (Vol_{t}^{mat} + Vol_{t}^{new})}{Vol_{t}^{ex}}$$

For t=2026,2027:

$$EIR_{t}^{ex} = \frac{EIR_{t-1}^{ex} \times Vol_{t-1}^{ex} + EIR_{t-1}^{new} \times (Vol_{t-1}^{mat} + Vol_{t-1}^{new}) - EIR_{t}^{mat} \times (Vol_{t}^{mat} + Vol_{t}^{new})}{Vol_{t}^{ex}}$$

411. In order to avoid numerical instabilities for small volumes of Vol_t^{ex} , the formula outlined above can be solved alternatively, and in a mathematically equivalent way, for EIR_t^{mat} in order to obtain robust results in those cases where $Vol_t^{ex} \leq Vol_t^{mat} + Vol_t^{new}$:

For t=2025:

 $EIR_{t}^{mat} = \frac{EIR_{t-1}^{EoY,total} \times Vol_{t-1}^{EoY,total} - EIR_{t}^{ex} \times Vol_{t}^{ex}}{Vol_{t}^{mat} + Vol_{t}^{new}}$

⁶⁵ The formula represents the expected evolution of the EIR. For further details related to the evolution of the EIR in each year of the stress test horizon, banks shall refer to "Annex VIII: Consistent reporting of NII variables on portfolio level". The formula does not apply for derivatives categories that include non-linear derivatives components. As per paragraph 417, the explanatory note should clearly indicate for which derivatives category the intertemporal consistency formula cannot be applied because of non-linearities.



For t=2026, 2027:

$$EIR_t^{mat} = \frac{EIR_{t-1}^{ex} \times Vol_{t-1}^{ex} + EIR_{t-1}^{new} \times (Vol_{t-1}^{mat} + Vol_{t-1}^{new}) - EIR_t^{ex} \times Vol_t^{ex}}{Vol_t^{mat} + Vol_t^{new}}$$

This means that if the repriced volume (maturing + new) is larger than the existing volume, the projected EIR_t^{ex} is used to solve the intertemporal consistency equation for EIR_t^{mat} .

- 412. These formulas do not affect projections of the reference rate and margin components of the EIR for new volumes. Instead, the formulas arise naturally from the methodological provision that the EIR of an instrument shall not change unless it reprices. The same methodological provision applies for the NII consistency equations shown in Annex VIII.
- 413. In order to account for migration effects at portfolio level, the EIR on non-performing exposures shall correspond in each period to the weighted average between the EIR on non-performing exposures at the end of the previous period and the EIR on the default flow of the respective period. The EIR on the default flow of each period shall correspond to the EIR on the performing exposures during that period:

$$= \frac{EIR_{t}^{NPE}}{2} \times \frac{\left(Vol_{t-1}^{NPE} - Vol_{t-1}^{Prov}\right) + EIR_{t}^{PE} \times \left(\left(Vol_{t}^{NPE} - Vol_{t}^{Prov}\right) - \left(Vol_{t-1}^{NPE} - Vol_{t-1}^{Prov}\right)\right)}{Vol_{t}^{NPE} - Vol_{t}^{Prov}}$$

 EIR_t^{PE} should be calculated as the weighted average across existing, maturing and new business for performing exposures.

- 414. Interest-rate derivatives used either for hedge accounting or for economic hedges shall be replaced with an EIR in line with the scenario, after they mature, in order to keep the risk profile regarding interest rate risk as of the starting point of the exercise.⁶⁶
- 415. Similarly, for embedded derivatives, with the exception of contractual caps/floors, only the host contract shall be replaced with the same instrument upon maturity, while the embedded derivatives shall not produce any interest income or expenses in the following years.
- 416. For interest rate swaps, the split of the EIR into reference rate and margin for the fixed and floating leg shall be defined similarly as for fixed and floating-rate products, respectively. As follows, the reference rate of the floating leg shall be the index rate of the swap, while the reference rate of the fixed leg shall be the fixed rate of the swap itself. Non-linear derivatives components shall be generally reported as part of the margin. The margin could be negative depending on the characteristics of a given swap. When isolating the non-linearity from the reference rate business and reporting it as part of the margin new business, banks shall ensure that the reported margin new business shall correct the cash-flow from the reference rate, so

-

⁶⁶ For Forward rate agreements (FRA) and other forward interest rate derivatives, if applicable, no additional forward period shall be assumed upon maturity. When repricing it shall be assumed that forward rates correspond to the swap rates/spot rates provided in the scenario.



that the final EIR (sum of reference and margin component) yields the payoff of the instrument in line with paragraph 354. The isolated non-linearity should be reported in the same country-currency pair as the on-balance business from which the optionality was stripped from.

- 417. The impact on NII of both non-linear derivatives components and embedded derivatives should be reported in the explanatory note. Banks should also provide information on the calculations underpinning the projections of margins and the reporting of the non-linear components. The explanatory note should also list cases where those non-linearities induce violations of intertemporal consistency and the NII consistency equations shown in Annex VIII.
- 418. For assets for which banks have the option to adjust the margin at their discretion prior to the maturity of the instrument, it is assumed that banks do not exercise this option.
- 419. The change in the margin of repriced instruments will be equal prescribed projections paths for interest-bearing liabilities and interesting-earning assets. These projections paths do not apply to the margin of the instrument prior to their contractual maturity. These paths, however, apply to instruments independent of their accounting treatment and the corresponding risk category they have to be reported.
- 420. While there is no explicit forecast of monetary policy in the stress test scenarios, the projected changes in short-term market rates are factored into the costs of central bank funding. More specifically, banks are required to compute the spread between the central bank rates and the relevant short-term rates at the cut-off. The spread shall apply to the projected path of expected reference market interest rates over the stress test time horizon as provided by the scenario. In line with the static balance sheet assumption, central bank funding instruments are rolled over into similar central bank instruments.
- 421. Deposits at central banks shall be reported within the fixed instruments.
- a. Path of the margin component for liability positions
- 422. Under the baseline scenario, projections reflect a proportion of the changes in the sovereign bond spread of the country of location of the activity in the margin component of the EIR of their repriced liabilities. Under the adverse scenario, the margin paid on interest-bearing liabilities increase equal to the higher of a proportion of the changes in the sovereign spread of the country of location of the activity and the same proportion applied to the increase of an idiosyncratic component, derived from the impact on banks' wholesale funding rate of a rating downgrade as described in Box 23. The impact is applied immediately at the beginning of the time horizon.



Box 23: Development of the margin paid on new liabilities

The margin on banks' new liabilities at time t is calculated as:

 $Margin_t^{new\ liab} = Margin_{t0}^{new\ liab} + \gamma \times max(\Delta\ sov\ spread_t, \Delta\ idiosyncratic\ component).$

Where:

- Margin_t^{new liab} stands for the margin component on the liabilities which are repriced during time interval t.
- Margin^{new liab} stands for the notional-weighted margin of new business at the end of t0, i.e. the year preceding the stress test horizon.
- lacktriangle $\Delta \ sov \ spread_t$ is the change in the relevant sovereign spread i.e. difference between the yield-to-maturity of the 10-year sovereign's debt security and the 10-year swap rate for the same currency, between t and t0. The sovereign spread is calculated by performing the following steps:
 - Identify the applicable Long-term-Rate for the reported country from the macro-economic scenario;
 - o Identify the applicable 10Y swap rate related to the reported currency from the macroeconomic scenario; For the pair Other/Other, the rest of the world SWAP rate is used.
 - Calculate the difference between the Long-term rate and the associated 10Y swap rate for a given year to obtain the sovereign spread.
- γ is a factor specific to the different types of liabilities, which reflects the heterogeneity in the relationship between the sovereign spreads and the funding rates across different types of liabilities as summarised in the table below:

	Central banks: deposits	Credit institutions and other financial corporations: deposits	General governments and non- financial corporations: sight deposits	Households: sight deposits	Governments, non-financial corporations and households: term deposits	Repos and certificates of deposits	Asset-backed securities and covered bonds	Other debt securities issued	Other liabilities
γ	0	1	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.75	1	0.5

• Δ idiosyncratic component stands for the impact on the idiosyncratic component. Under the baseline scenario, the Δ idiosyncratic component will be 0; under the adverse scenario, it will represent the expected change in the margin of senior unsecured debt, issued in the bank's country of origin or main country of funding, denominated in local currency with 5 years' residual maturity, in the event of an instantaneous external credit assessment institution (ECAI) credit rating downgrade (taking the rating as of end 2024 as the starting point). Under the adverse scenario, Δ idiosyncratic component is calculated as a single number per bank, used for



all liabilities in all countries/currencies and assumed constant over the scenario. The idiosyncratic component takes, under the adverse scenario, the values listed below:

Credit rating (Standard & Poor's classifica 31 December 2024	ation) Shock to the idiosyncratic component (bps)
AAA	25
AA+	30
AA	35
AA-	40
A+	45
Α	50
A-	60
BBB+	70
BBB	80
BBB-	95
BB+	110
ВВ	125
BB-	145
B+/B/B-	175
CCC+/CCC/CCC-/CC+/CC/CC-	225

The rating to be used shall correspond to the legal entity that is subject to the 2025 EU-wide stress test, as indicated in the Input template. In case of a financial conglomerate, the rating of the banking group should be used.

If the applicable rating is issued by a nominated ECAI other than Standard & Poor's, the bank shall map it to one of the ratings envisaged in the idiosyncratic component table. In this mapping, the following constraint shall apply: both ratings shall share the same credit quality step according to Annex III of the Joint final draft Implementing Technical Standards on the mapping of ECAIs' credit assessment under Article 136(1) and (3) of the CRR.

In cases where the bank has more than one rating from nominated ECAIs, the following criteria will apply:

- i) Long-term credit ratings will prevail over short-term credit ratings.
- ii) If more than one long-term rating exists, the bank (issuer) rating will prevail over the issue rating.
- iii) If more than one issue rating exists, senior ratings will prevail over subordinated ratings.
- iv) If two senior rating exists, the most conservative rating will prevail.
- v) If more than two senior rating exists, the two ratings generating the two less severe impacts shall be referred to and out of the two preselected, the one with the higher impact will be chosen.
- vi) If more than one subordinated rating exists, the least conservative rating will prevail. The credit ratings in scope and the selection process shall be reported in the Explanatory Note.



In the exceptional case of a bank with only two credit ratings available from nominated ECAIs with a significant gap between them of 3 or more notches, and when the outlook of the worse rating is positive and the outlook of the better rating is either positive or stable, the bank and the competent authority may discuss during the quality assurance process the rationality of this gap. The competent authority may conclude that this gap is not justified and may approve a deviation from the general rule, allowing the institution to apply the impact corresponding to the credit rating resulting from the median of the two ratings, rounded to the worse of two adjacent ratings. These deviations should be communicated to the EBA together with the justification behind it.

If there is no rating available as of end-2024, banks are allowed to provide a rating available in 2025. In case there is no rating available in 2025 either, banks should provide a rating which corresponds to the calibrated delta idiosyncratic component taking into consideration the bank's bond and CDS spreads (e.g. 60 bps -> A- Rating).

In the exceptional cases where no rating nor relevant CDS and bond spreads are available, banks should estimate their rating taking into account all available information including at minimum: the last available ratings of peer institutions, the rating of the country of incorporation and the rating of the parent institution (if applicable). Banks shall provide a detailed explanation of the logic applied for estimating their rating in the Explanatory Note.

Example

The shock to the idiosyncratic component for a bank with a credit rating of AA- as of end 2024 will be +40 bps over the entire stress test period under the adverse scenario. Similarly, the shock to the idiosyncratic component for a bank with a credit rating of BB- as of end 2024 will be 145 bps under the adverse scenario.

- 423. The paths for the repricing of the margin of interest-bearing liabilities applies to all interest expense positions, except for derivatives instruments. The paths are applicable at country/currency level for each liability type and separately for fixed and floating rate portfolios.
- 424. For the liabilities of this paragraph for which funding matches are recognised, banks are required to provide the starting point volumes and maturity schedules in the relevant rows of the CSV_NII_CALC_FUNDING MATCH template and the projections of the new business margin in the dedicated cells of the CSV_NII_SUM template. The below exceptional cases of legally mandated restrictions to pass-through mechanisms due to funding matches should be identified, when relevant, before submission of the data and explained in accompanying documents. Banks will report in CSV_NII_SUM and CSV_NII_CALC_FUNDING_MATCH only the funding matched denominated in one of the country-currency pairs reported in CSV_NII_CALC. All other cases will be reported in the Other-Other category of the CSV_NII_CALC template and should not be inserted in the tables of the CSV_NII_SUM template.



- Liquidity upstreaming: Austria-EUR-Liabilities Deposits (excl. repo) Credit Institutions and other financial corporations - sight.
- Promotional loans: Germany-EUR-Liabilities Deposits (excl. repo) Credit Institutions and other financial corporations – term.
- Mortgage lending funded by covered bonds –Denmark-DKK- Debt securities issued -Asset-backed securities and Covered bonds.
- Other exemptions, identified before submission and explained in accompanying documents.

b. Path of the margin component for asset positions

425. Under both the baseline scenario and the adverse scenario, projections of the margin component of the EIR on repriced assets shall be the sum of the margin starting value and a proportion of the change in the sovereign bond spread in the country of exposure, as explained in Box 24.

Box 24: Development of the margin earned on new assets

The Margin EIR component on banks' new repriced assets at time t is calculated as:

 $Margin_t^{new\ assets} = Margin_{t0}^{new\ assets} + \lambda \times max(\Delta\ sov\ spread_t, 0).$

Where:

- Margin^{new assets} stands for the margin component on the assets which are repriced during time interval t.
- Margin^{new assets} stands for the notional-weighted margin of new business at the end of t0,
 i.e. the year preceding the stress test horizon.
- $\Delta sov spread_t$ is the change in the relevant sovereign spread as per Box 23.
- λ is a factor specific to the different types of assets under consideration, which reflects the heterogeneity in the relationship between the sovereign spreads and the lending rates across different types of assets as summarised in the table below:

	Central banks	General governments	Credit institutions and other financial corporations	Non-financial corporations	Households - residential mortgage loans	Households - other	Other assets
λ	0	1	0.5	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.5



- 426. For the asset portfolios of this paragraph for which funding matches are recognised, banks are required to provide the starting point volumes and maturity schedules in the relevant rows of the CSV_NII_CALC_FUNDING MATCH template and the projections of the new business margin in the dedicated cells of the CSV_NII_SUM template. The below exceptional cases of legally prescribed funding matches between the assets and liabilities sides may be identified before submission of the data and explained in accompanying documents. Banks will report in CSV_NII_SUM and CSV_NII_CALC_FUNDING_MATCH only the funding matched denominated in one of the country-currency pairs reported in CSV_NII_CALC. All other cases will be reported in the Other-Other category of the CSV_NII_CALC template and should not be inserted in the tables of the CSV_NII_SUM template.
 - Liquidity upstreaming: Austria EUR Assets Loans and advances Credit Institutions and other financial corporations.
 - Promotional lending: Germany-EUR- Assets Loans and advances Credit Institutions and other financial corporations.
 - Mortgage lending funded by covered bonds in Denmark: Denmark-DKK -Assets -Loans and advances - Households - Residential mortgage loans and Denmark-DKK-Loans and advances - Non-financial corporations.
 - Regulated deposits placed with the Caisse des depots et consignations: France-EUR-Assets - Loans and advances - Credit Institutions and/or other financial corporations.
 - Other exemptions, identified before submission and explained in accompanying documents.
- 427. These paths for the repricing of the margin of interest-earning assets apply to all interest income earning positions except for derivative instruments. The paths are applicable at country/currency level for each asset type and separately for fixed and floating rate portfolios.

4.5. Treatment for held-for-trading positions

- 428. In scope of this section are interest bearing instruments within the accounting held for trading portfolio (trading financial instruments under GAAP) net of economic hedges. ⁶⁷ Therefore, the accrued interest from held-for trading instruments, both recorded under the 'clean' and 'dirty' price approach is in scope of this section.
- 429. Historical values of NII from instruments for which accrued interest is reported under FINREP F02.00 Row 0020/ Row 0100(net of economic hedges FINREP 16.01 row 15) should be reported within the dedicated cells of the CSV_NII_SUM template. Net interest income on assets and liabilities in FVPL which are held for trading excluding economic hedges, that banks report

_

 $^{^{67}}$ Economic Hedges as defined in Annex V Part 2 Paragraph 137 are in scope of sections 4.1-4.4 of this note.



in NTI in the course of their periodic financial reporting should be reported in CSV_MR_PROJ sheet in line with paragraph 229. The historical data shall be reported including the effect of the related economic hedges. Banks should report for both items the NII corresponding to economic hedges in the CSV_NII_SUM sheet as 'of-which' item.

430. The NII of instruments in scope of this section is subject to a prescribed projection path that is implemented within the NII_SUM template. The projections of each year of the projection horizon, for both baseline and adverse, will be equal to the average of accrued interest from these instruments over the last three historical observation periods. The resulting NII does not enter the calculation of the aggregate NII cap calculations, but it is added to the NII after the application of the NII cap.



5. Conduct and other operational risks

5.1. Overview

- 431. Banks are required to project the P&L impact of losses arising from conduct risk and other operational risks, using, when relevant, their internal models and, in the case of conduct risk, available qualitative information.
- 432. Banks are also required to project capital requirements for operational risk within the time horizon of the exercise.
- 433. Banks' projections are subject to the constraints summarised in Box 25.

Box 25: Summary of the constraints on banks' projections of conduct risk and other operational risks

- Projections of losses that may arise from new non-material conduct risk events are subject to a minimum floor, computed in the baseline scenario as the average of the historical conduct risk losses reported by the bank during the 2020-2024 period — i.e. excluding past losses of historical material conduct risk events reported during this period. This floor is more conservative under the adverse scenario and requires the banks to apply a stress multiplier to the average (paragraph 469).
- Projections of losses connected to material conduct risk events are subject to a floor in the
 quality assurance process, i.e. banks that submit projections that are lower than the floor
 are required to justify their projections to their competent authority (paragraph 470).
- Projections of losses due to other operational risks are subject to a minimum floor, computed under the baseline scenario as the average of other historical operational risk losses reported by the bank during the 2020-2024 period times a multiplier. This floor is more conservative in the adverse scenario and requires banks to apply a stress multiplier to the average (paragraph 474).
- Total capital requirements for operational risk in each year of the projection horizon shall stay constant and equal to the total restated capital requirements according to the CRR3 in the starting point (paragraph 478).



5.2. Scope

434. The scope of the operational risk stress is defined to cover the impact on the P&L of potential future losses arising from conduct risk and other operational risks. This also covers the effect of the stress on operational risk capital requirements.

5.3. High-level assumptions

5.3.1. Definitions

- 435. **Conduct risk** is defined as the current or prospective risk of losses to a bank arising from an inappropriate supply of financial services, including cases of wilful or negligent misconduct. In the COREP template for operational risk (C 17.01), operational risk losses are classified by event type. For the purpose of reporting historical data and projections in the stress test templates, the assumption is that conduct risk losses will correspond to losses related to event type 4 ('clients, products and business practices') and event type 1 ('internal fraud'). Deviations from this rule (i.e. non-conduct events which are classified as event type 1 or 4 and conduct events which are not classified as event type 1 or 4) are allowed in exceptional cases subject to the approval of the competent authorities. In any case, banks are required to justify the exclusion from conduct risk of any event classified as type 1 or 4 and the inclusion in conduct risk of any events that match the definition provided without being classified as event type 1 or 4, supplying evidence to the competent authority that justifies this reclassification. Conduct risk shall also include violation of national and international rules and regulations (tax rules⁶⁸, internal fraud or internal theft, anti-money laundering rules, anti-terrorism rules and economic sanctions.
- 436. Other operational risk follows the definition of 'operational risk' as in the CRR (i.e. the risk of losses resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events (including war related losses), and includes legal risk), but excluding all conduct-related losses. For the purpose of reporting historical data and projections in the stress test templates, banks will consider as other operational risk all event types that are not defined as conduct risk events above.

⁶⁸ Banks are required to report any tax fines associated with client business as a conduct event. For instance, fines paid by banks that facilitated tax evasion – such as penalties imposed by the US Department of Justice under the 'Swiss Bank Program' – should be classified as a conduct event. Banks should also include any tax to be paid (including interest and fines) arising from such cases, including e.g. taxes for which the bank had an obligation to withhold. Cum-cum and cum-ex events fall under conduct risk and shall be reported as conduct risk events regardless of the issue of the ultimate financial beneficiary and/or the party guilty of misconduct regarding dividend stripping transactions still being under question as of the cut-off date. Hence, this also applies when the bank considers third parties to be accountable for the misconduct (e.g. when the bank acted in its role as a custodian for its clients), including cases for which the bank has received a third party liability notice regarding transactions which a bank's client is supposed to be involved in.



- 437. A historical material conduct risk event is defined as any misconduct issue that has triggered aggregate gross losses during the period 2020-2024 greater than 10bps of the bank's end-2024 absolute level of transitional CET1 capital at a consolidated level.
- 438. **A new conduct risk event** is defined as a misconduct issue that, as of the start of the exercise (31 December 2024), is unknown to the bank or is already known but has not had material P&L impact (i.e. below 10bps of the end-2024 absolute transitional CET1 capital of the bank at a consolidated level) during the 2020-2024 period. In this context, new conduct risk events, known and unknown, are material if the bank projects the event to trigger gross losses greater than 10bps of the end-2024 absolute transitional CET1 capital of the institution at a consolidated level during the 3 years of the exercise in the baseline or the adverse scenario.
- 439. **Number of loss events** is defined as the number of operational risk events accounted for the first time in the P&L statement within the reporting period (2020-2024 for actual data and 2025-2027 for projections). In the case of loss adjustments within the reporting period, no additional numbers of loss events should be reported.
- 440. **Recovery** is defined as an independent occurrence related to the original operational risk loss that is separate in time, in which funds or inflows of economic benefits are received from second or third parties, such as insurers or other parties.
- 441. **Gross loss** is defined as a loss stemming from an operational risk event or event type before recoveries of any type.
- 442. **Rapidly recovered loss event** is defined as an operational risk event that leads to losses that are partly or fully recovered within 5 working days. In a rapidly recovered loss event, only the part of the loss that is not fully recovered (i.e. the loss net of the partial rapid recovery) should be considered and reported as gross loss. In exceptional cases where a historical event (i.e. misdirected payments) produces artificially and significantly distorted results through the application of the window for rapidly recovered loss events and the operational risk floor, then a limited extension of the 5-day window may be allowed. This extension is solely for the purposes of computing the floors specified in Box 26 and Box 28. It is subject to the decision of the competent authority and requires the bank to provide compelling evidence of the distortion.⁶⁹
- 443. **Date of accounting** is defined as the date when an operational risk gross loss or reserve/provision was accounted for the first time in the P&L statement.
- 444. **Total loss recovery** is defined as the sum of the recoveries accounted for within the reporting period, relevant to loss events included into the 'total amount of gross losses'.

_

⁶⁹ According to EBA Q&A 2020_5261 institutions should not report in COREP C17.01 a gross operational risk loss for cash outs mispayments not being recovered within 5 days, if the institution has not yet recognised the loss in the P&L statements in the current reporting reference period. For the reporting periods before the EBA Q&A 2020_5261, the removal of the cash out mispayments in the stress test is done subject to the approval of the supervisory authorities, if these are deemed to be distorting results.



445. The **relevant indicator (RI)** is defined as in Article 316 of the CRR.

5.3.2. Reporting requirements

- 446. All banks are required to report historical data on incurred gross losses on conduct risk and other operational risks on a yearly basis 2020 to 2024 in the general operational risk template (CSV_OR_GEN) at a consolidated level, irrespective of the operational risk approach applied. Banks applying the fall-back solution (see section 5.4.3) are still expected to report all available and eligible historical losses incurred during the historical horizon.
- 447. Banks are required to report, in each year of the reporting period, the total amount of gross losses resulting from the sum of the following elements:
 - a. The gross loss amounts corresponding to operational risk events accounted for the first time in the P&L during that specific year, within the reporting period (2020-2024), irrespective of when they have occurred;
 - b. The net loss adjustments arising from, for example, additional settlements, increases of provisions and releases of provisions accounted for during that year.
- 448. Banks will report historical data on incurred gross losses for conduct risk and other operational risks in CSV_OR_GEN. Banks are required to group all payments relating to the same material conduct risk event for the purpose of populating both CSV_OR_GEN and CSV_OR_CON (thus ensuring that material conduct risk events comprising a large number of small items are appropriately captured).
- 449. In the case of events with a lifespan of several years, the initial impact and/or the net loss adjustments should be reported in the pertinent years of accounting. The sum of the initial impact and/or net loss adjustments accounted for during the reporting period (2020-2024) will determine the total size of the event for the purpose of classifying it as material or not material. In general, the historical and starting point losses should be reported in line with the latest COREP instructions.⁷⁰
- 450. In the case of a rapidly recovered loss event, only the part of the loss that is not fully recovered (i.e. the loss net of the partial rapid recovery) should be considered and reported as gross loss.
- 451. In the case of a common operational risk event or multiple events linked to an initial operational risk event generating several events or losses, the related losses should be grouped and entered into the template as a single loss. In the case of events or multiple events with a lifespan of several years the related losses should be grouped and aggregated year by year and entered into the template as a single loss for the respective year. The bank should report one

-

 $^{^{70}~\}text{htt} \underline{\text{ps://www.eb}} \underline{\text{a.europa.eu/risk-analysis-and-data/reporting-frameworks/reporting-framework-3.2}}$



event, if there is a common operational risk event, and/or the number of the several events linked to the root event, if there are multiple events.

- 452. In accordance with Article 322(3)(b) of the CRR, operational risk losses that are related to market risk shall be included in the operational risk templates, while operational risk losses that are related to credit risk shall be excluded.
- 453. When reporting the gross losses, banks will include the following items, in accordance with letters (a), (b), (c) and (f) of Article 22(1) of the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/959⁷¹:
 - Direct charges, including impairments and settlement charges, to the P&L and write-downs due to the operational risk event;
 - Costs incurred as a consequence of the operational risk event, including external expenses
 with a direct link to the operational risk event (such as legal expenses and fees paid to
 advisors, attorneys or suppliers) and costs of repair or replacement to restore the position
 prevailing before the operational risk event;
 - Provisions or reserves accounted for in the P&L statement against probable operational risk losses;
 - Timing losses.⁷²
- 454. When determining the scope of the gross losses to be reported, banks should also consider the provisions included in Articles 23(1) of the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/959.
- 455. Banks are also requested to provide, in the CSV_OR_GEN template, data on the number of loss events, on total loss recovery and on the relevant indicator.
- 456. The quality assurance by supervisors of banks' projections is of special relevance in the case of conduct risk, given the high variability of the potential outcomes of the issues when settled, especially the material ones. Banks should support their projections for material conduct risk events with all available evidence, both quantitative and qualitative. Banks may also be asked by their competent authorities to provide evidence regarding issues that are widespread in the industry and have resulted in losses for other institutions, which could be of relevance for them based on their business activities. When quality assuring banks' projections, competent authorities will take into account not only their supervisory knowledge of the particular bank, but also a comparison to the sector and the impact of similar issues in the bank's peer group.

⁷² For the definition of timing losses please refer to Article 2 (14) and 22 (1)(f) of the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/959.

⁷¹ Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/959 of 14 March 2018 supplementing Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council with regard to regulatory technical standards of the specification of the assessment methodology under which competent authorities permit institutions to use Advanced Measurement Approaches for operational risk.



5.4. Impact on P&L

5.4.1. Conduct risk treatment

- 457. Banks will stress their conduct risk losses by applying either a qualitative or a quantitative approach in accordance with the instructions below. In both cases, a minimum floor for new non-material conduct risk losses will apply.
- 458. Under both approaches, the P&L impact of banks' conduct risk estimates will be included in 'gains or losses arising from operational risk' in the P&L template (CSV_P&L), taking into account the applicable floor.
- 459. Institutions will apply the qualitative approach when they report any historical material conduct risk event during the period 2020-2024. Institutions reporting no historical material conduct risk event during 2020-2024 will also apply the qualitative approach if new material events, known or unknown, are expected, or if the relevant competent authority deems it necessary based on their knowledge of the bank and on their supervisory judgment (if they deem that the institution may face any new material conduct risk event in the future).
- 460. Projections of losses related to material conduct risk events shall take into account all available information as of 3 June 2025. This cut-off date does not affect information requests by competent authorities as part of the regular quality assurance. Furthermore, changes may occur during subsequent data submissions if new information or data on them are available. In particular, banks may not withhold information or data they owned (or could have derived from) before the cut-off date. If material conduct risk events, which could not have been anticipated by banks, occur between the cut-off date and the publication, the absence of loss projections for these events will be noted in the published results, in case they are confirmed and verified in time for publication. All remaining institutions will apply the quantitative approach.
- a. Qualitative approach to estimating future conduct risk losses
- 461. Banks applying the qualitative approach are required to:
 - Report historical data on incurred gross losses on conduct risk in the general template (CSV_OR_GEN) as indicated in paragraphs 446 to 448. In the same template they shall report projections of losses for non-material events during the time horizon of the exercise.
 - Identify and report (separately) historical material conduct risk events in the conduct risk template (CSV_OR_CON), including an estimate of all potential losses that may still arise from them, in excess of accounting provisions and losses already booked by December 2024, during the time horizon of the exercise. This is applicable for both the baseline scenario and the adverse scenario.



- Include, in the conduct risk template (CSV_OR_CON), a projection of potential losses that may arise from new material conduct risk events during the time horizon of the exercise, under both the baseline scenario and the adverse scenario. Banks are required to project losses for both known (see paragraph 438) and unknown new material conduct risk events. Banks are required to ensure that projections of losses for conduct risk events reflect all information pertaining to these events that is available to the bank until the cut-off date (see paragraph 460).
- The process for treating new material conduct risk events that are not known to the bank shall consider the following steps:
 - Identification of types of conduct risk events that could arise in areas vulnerable to material conduct risk losses, taking into account a qualitative analysis of areas of conduct risk to which the bank is exposed.
 - 2. Assessment of the probability of conduct risk events which are unknown to the bank in relation to the types of conduct risk identified in step 1.
 - 3. Assessment of the magnitude of future losses due to events that are unknown to the bank in relation to the types of conduct risk identified in step 1.
- When assessing the impact of new material conduct risk events in the baseline and adverse
 scenarios banks are expected to apply techniques and data sources available to the bank,
 such as historical datasets of conduct losses and statistical models, to ensure that low
 probability high impact events are correctly captured. The treatment of new material
 conduct risk events shall be explained and will be subject to scrutiny by supervisors, in
 particular, zero losses projections in the adverse scenario for unknown material conduct
 losses should be properly justified.
- 462. Banks are required to report individually in the CSV_OR_CON the 25 largest historical material conduct risk events in terms of aggregate projected losses, and also the 25 largest new material conduct risk events (whether known or unknown) in terms of aggregate projected losses. The rest of material conduct risk events not included among the 25 historical largest and/or the 25 new largest (if any) shall be reported jointly in a different single row for historical events and in another single row for new events.
- 463. Banks' estimates of future conduct costs linked to historical material conduct risk events or new conduct risk events reported in the conduct risk template (CSV_OR_CON) shall be determined, irrespective of whether a provision has been recognised, by evaluating a range of settlement outcomes for each issue and assigning probabilities to these outcomes. Adverse outcomes should be attributed higher probabilities under the adverse scenario than under the baseline scenario, so that banks should have a high level of confidence that, under the adverse scenario, the losses would not exceed the loss estimate for material conduct risk events. These estimates are expected to exceed provisions, except for events where there is a high degree of



certainty regarding the eventual cost. Material loss events should be reported regardless of the probability level.

- 464. When projecting conduct risk losses linked to historical material conduct risk events and new conduct risk events, banks are required to consider the time dimension and report the projected loss in the year when the settlement of the misconduct issue will most likely occur. If there is uncertainty on when the issue will be settled, then banks should distribute the projected loss equally over the 3 years of the exercise.
- 465. Table 17 below provides an illustration on the approach to follow in order to project conduct risk losses in the adverse scenario.

Table 17: Projection of conduct risk losses under the qualitative approach and in the adverse scenario — Illustration

Existing treatment of the misconduct issue	Possible approach to projecting future conduct risk losses			
An accounting provision has been raised. There is a high degree of certainty over the eventual cost.	The estimate will equal the existing provisions.			
An accounting provision has been raised. There is a high degree of uncertainty over the eventual settlement cost. While the IAS 37 provision strikes a balance between potential upside and downside, the likelihood of adverse outcomes exceeding existing provisions is greater than remote.	The estimate should exceed the existing provision. Banks are expected to provide an estimate, even if they are unable to reliably quantify the full range of potential outcomes, by exercising expert judgement. In the adverse scenario, banks should have a high level of confidence that the loss would not exceed the loss estimate for material conduct risk events. Adverse outcomes should be attributed higher probabilities under the adverse scenario than under the baseline scenario.			
An accounting provision has not been raised. While a settlement cost is not probable, there is sufficient evidence to determine a range of settlement outcomes, and the possibility of a significant settlement cost is greater than remote.	An estimate should be determined by evaluating a range of settlement outcomes and assigning probabilities to these outcomes. In the adverse scenario, banks should have a high level of confidence that the loss would not exceed the loss estimate for material conduct risk events. Adverse outcomes should be attributed higher probabilities under the adverse scenario than under the baseline scenario.			
An accounting provision has not been raised. While a possible obligation has been identified, current evidence is insufficient to be able to reliably quantify any potential liability, or range of liabilities, that may exist. The possibility of a significant settlement cost is greater than remote.	An estimate should be determined by exercising expert judgement. In the adverse scenario, banks should have a high level of confidence that the loss would not exceed the loss estimate for material conduct risk events. Adverse outcomes should be attributed higher probabilities under the adverse scenario than under the baseline scenario.			



466. Banks are required to provide supervisors with any information — both quantitative and qualitative — they have used in forming this assessment. This information shall include the extent of their business in relevant areas. Banks are required to provide supervisors with a summary of how they allocated each misconduct risk to the categories in Table 17 above.

b. Quantitative approach to estimating future conduct risk losses

467. Banks applying the quantitative approach (in line with paragraph 460) are required to, directly in the general template (CSV_OR_GEN), project the P&L impact of non-material conduct risk losses over the 3-year time horizon using banks' own methods. Projections of zero losses for material conduct events, known and unknown, in the adverse scenario should be properly justified. Banks applying the quantitative approach shall not populate the material conduct risk template (CSV_OR_CON).

c. Floor for conduct risk loss projections

- 468. Projections of conduct risk losses linked to new non-material conduct risk events shall not fall below a binding floor over the 3-year stress test time horizon under both the baseline scenario and the adverse scenario. The floor is applicable to the total losses from new non-material conduct risk events for the 3 years, but not year by year. If the floor applies, the amount of losses under the floor will be projected equally along the 3 years of the time horizon.
- 469. In the baseline scenario, the 3-year floor for potential losses linked to new non-material conduct risk events will be computed as 3 times the average of the historical losses reported by the banks during the 5 years prior to the beginning of the exercise (the 2020-2024 period) for non-material conduct risk events only (i.e. excluding past losses of historical material conduct risk events reported during this period). In the adverse scenario, the floor will be more conservative and banks will be required to apply a stress multiplier to the average. This calculation is detailed in Box 26. In both scenarios, the floor is zero or above.

Box 26: Floor for conduct risk losses for non-material conduct events

Conduct risk floor for non – material conduct events_{(b or adv),3 years} = $Max[3 * \Omega_{(b \text{ or adv})} \frac{1}{5} \sum_{y=2020}^{2024} \text{(historical conduct losses for non – material events)}_y; 0].$

Where:

- In the baseline scenario, the stress multiplier is $\Omega_{(CR, b)} = 0.9$.
- In the adverse scenario, the stress multiplier is $\Omega_{\text{(CR, adv)}} = 1.4$.
- 470. Projections of conduct losses connected to material conduct risk events are subject to a floor in the quality assurance process, i.e. banks that submit projections which are lower than the floor are required to justify their projections to their competent authority. In order to justify



their projections banks could apply the following criteria: back-testing of material conduct risk losses in the adverse scenario during the previous EBA stress tests exercises, projection of losses due to unknown material conduct risk events, ratio of new material conduct risk cases in relation to the historical material conduct risk cases, improvements of their internal controls. If the supervisor assesses that the bank is unable to provide a reasonable justification their component authority may request that the bank applies the floor. The floor applies only for the projections under the adverse scenario and is computed as 3 times the average of the historical losses reported by the banks during the 5 years prior to the beginning of the exercise (inclusive of the years 2020-2024) for material conduct risk events multiplied by a stress factor as shown in Box 27. The floor is calculated in the template CSV_OR_GEN.

Box 27: Floor for conduct risk losses for material conduct events in the quality assurance process

Conduct risk floor for material conduct events_{(adv),3 years} = 3 * $\Omega_{\text{(adv)}} \frac{1}{5} \sum_{y=2020}^{2024} \text{(historical conduct losses for material events)}_y$.

Where:

- In the adverse scenario, the stress multiplier is $\Omega_{(CR, adv)} = 1.5$.
- 471. In all circumstances, banks will be expected to identify their material risks and potential conduct risk losses and these will be subject to challenger models from supervisors for example, based on statistical models which look beyond simple averages to identify the specific nature of conduct risk, or by using uncertainty-adjusted means to project potential material conduct risk losses and to challenge banks' own projections. Supervisors will consider the criteria set out in paragraph 470 jointly with their own supervisory experience based on the assessment of the bank's internal governance.

5.4.2. Treatment of other operational risks

472. Banks are required to enter the P&L impact of other operational risk losses over the 3-year time horizon directly in the general template (CSV_OR_GEN) using the banks' own methods. If using a loss distribution approach, banks' projections should be made considering at least the 50th percentile of the historical yearly aggregate amount of losses under the baseline scenario, and should reach at least the 90th percentile of the historical yearly aggregate amount of losses under the adverse scenario. Percentiles refer to the aggregate loss distribution, based on the bank's internal data on the frequency and severity of losses. Therefore, the aggregate loss distribution should be only one distribution over all buckets. Consequently, as set in the templates, banks should just populate aggregate values cells.



- 473. The projection of losses for other operational risks shall be reported in 'gains or losses arising from operational risk' in the P&L template (CSV_P&L), taking into account the applicable floor.
- 474. Projected losses for 3 years under the adverse and the baseline scenarios must be at least equal to the bank-specific floor computed as shown in Box 28.

Box 28: Floor for the projection of other operational risk losses

OOR floor_{(b or adv),3 years} =
$$3 * \Omega_{(b \text{ or adv})} \frac{1}{5} \sum_{y=2020}^{2024} (\text{OOR losses})_y$$
.

Where:

- OOR means 'other operational risk'.
- In the baseline scenario, the loss factor is $\Omega_{(OOR,b)} = 1$.
- In the adverse scenario, the loss factor is $\Omega_{(OOR,adv)} = 1.3$.

5.4.3. Fall-back solution

475. If a bank is unable to report relevant historical losses for conduct risk and other operational risks or if relevant historical losses are provided only for material events and the projected losses for the material events are not deemed appropriate by the competent authorities, overall operational risk loss projections (aggregate for the 3 years of the exercise) will be calculated as a function of the relevant indicator, as shown in Box 29. In cases where this method applies, the amount of losses will be projected equally along the 3 years of the time horizon.

Box 29: Fall-back solution for conduct risk and other operational risk losses

$$L_{(b \text{ or adv})} = \Omega_{(b \text{ or adv})} * RI_{2024}.$$

Where:

- RI is the relevant indicator.
- L is the total loss projected for the 3 years of the time horizon, meaning that, in each of the 3 years, the loss will be L/3.
- In the baseline scenario, the scaling factor is $\Omega_{(b)} = 0.06$.
- In the adverse scenario, the scaling factor is $\Omega_{(adv)} = 0.15$.



5.5. Impact on capital requirements

- 476. Banks shall report their capital requirements for operational risk (which includes both conduct risk and other operational risks) for the starting point (31 December 2024) based on the approach that they are using, either the AMA or basic and standard approaches.
- 477. Banks are required to restate total capital requirements for operational risk at the starting point according to the CRR3, including providing transitional capital requirements, if they apply the Alternative Standardised Approach according to CRR3 Art. 314 (3 and 4).
- 478. Total capital requirements for operational risk in each year of the projection horizon in both scenarios shall stay constant and equal to the total restated capital requirements according to the CRR3 in the starting point (31 December 2024), or to the total transitional capital requirements in the starting point if a bank applies the Alternative Standardised Approach according to CRR3 Art. 314 (3 and 4).



6. Non-interest income, expenses and capital

6.1. Overview

- 479. Banks are required to use their own methodologies to project their non-interest income and expenses items that are not covered by credit risk, market risk, operational risk or net fees and commissions income, under both the baseline scenario and the adverse scenario.
- 480. These projections are subject to the constraints summarised in Box 30. The macroeconomic shocks and market risk methodologies should be applied for stressing real estate assets and defined benefit pension plans, respectively.

Box 30: Summary of the constraints on banks' projections of non-interest income, expenses and capital

- For dividend income and share of the profit of investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates accounted for using the equity method, net income from each item cannot exceed the 2024 level in the baseline scenario. In the adverse scenario, a minimum reduction of net income from each item compared with the 2024 reported value is prescribed for the projections (paragraph 500). In case the net income from any of these items is negative or zero, the projections are capped at the 2024 value.
- NFCI is projected using prescribed growth rate parameters. The prescribed growth rate parameters are applied by currency after considering FX variations to the starting point.
- Other remaining administrative expenses, remaining other operating expenses, cash contributions to resolution funds and deposit guarantee schemes (except for contributions to the building-up of national DGSs, cf. paragraph 502), depreciation and other provisions or reversal of provisions cannot fall below⁷³ the value observed in 2024 unless an adjustment of this floor for one-offs is permitted (paragraph 502). Only recognised one-off exceptions exceeding the threshold of 5 bps impact on CET1 ratio will be permitted (paragraph 509). Other remaining administrative expenses, including entries in CSV_ORAE, and the respective one-offs (if any) have to be adjusted for FX effects.
- For dividends paid, under the baseline and adverse scenarios, banks are required to apply a pay-out ratio based on their publicly declared projected dividend policies. If no dividend policy is available or documented, the bank shall apply the following rule: the pay-out ratio in the baseline should be the larger between 30% and the median of the observed pay-out ratios in profitable years over the last 5 years. In the adverse scenario, the same pay-out

⁷³ It is noted that the quantities referred to in this point are reported with a negative sign. Therefore, this constraints statement refers to the absolute amount of these P&L contributions.



ratio as in the baseline scenario has to be assumed, unless the bank can provide evidence that it can deviate from this rule and the deviation is approved by the relevant competent authority. In both cases, a zero dividend is accepted if the bank is loss-making (paragraph 520).

- Practices such as loss-transfer agreements and transfer pricing with entities outside the scope of consolidation, as defined in section 1.3.2, should not be taken into account for the projections.
- If the projected CET1 ratio for a given year of the stress test horizon falls below the MDA trigger point in line with Article 141 of the CRD, banks are required to project reductions of distributions for the same year following some simplifying assumptions for the purpose of the stress test (paragraph 521).
- For GSIIs, if the projected leverage ratio for a given year of the stress test horizon falls below the leverage ratio MDA trigger point as per 141b and 141c of the CRD, banks are required to project reductions of distributions for the same year following some simplifying assumptions for the purpose of the stress test (paragraph 522).
- A common tax rate of 30% has to be applied. The stock of existing DTAs and DTLs as of 31 December 2024 will not be recalculated according to the simplified tax rate. Banks can use and create both DTAs that depend on future profitability and do not arise from temporary differences and DTAs that depend on future profitability and arise from temporary differences (for OCI only) during the stress test, subject to some simplifying assumptions. The creation of DTAs that do not rely on future profitability is not allowed. DTLs shall be kept constant during the stress test horizon (section 6.4.4).
- Other operating income is capped at the 2024 value. The income related to operating leasing
 is subject to a minimum reduction of 10% with respect to the 2024 value in the adverse
 scenario (paragraph 539).
- No impact is assumed for FX effects (except for 'NFCI' and 'Other remaining administrative expenses'), realised gains or losses on derecognition of financial assets and liabilities not measured at fair value through P&L, gains or losses on derecognition of non-financial assets, impairments on goodwill and negative goodwill (paragraphs 489, 536, 538, 541 and 543).

6.2. Scope

- 481. The projections of non-interest income and expenses exclude any P&L positions and capital impacts covered in the approaches for credit risk, market risk, operational risk or NII.
- 482. The following FINREP P&L items are part of non-interest income and expenses:
 - · Expenses on share capital repayable on demand;
 - Dividend income;
 - NFCI;



- Gains (losses) on derecognition of financial assets and liabilities not measured at fair value through profit and loss, net;
- Exchange differences, net;
- Gains or losses on derecognition of non-financial assets, net;
- Other operating income;
- Other operating expenses;
- Administrative expenses;
- Cash contributions to resolution funds and deposit guarantee schemes;
- Depreciation;
- Modification gains or losses, net;
- Other provisions or reversal of provisions;
- Other impairment on financial assets not measured at fair value through profit or loss;
- Impairment or (-) reversal of impairment on non-financial assets;
- Negative goodwill recognised in profit or loss;
- Share of the profit or loss of investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates accounted for using the equity method;
- Other income and expenses from continuing operations (impairments of investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates, profit or loss from non-current assets and disposal groups classified as held for sale not qualifying as discontinued operations);
- Profit or loss after tax from discontinued operations.
- 483. In addition to the P&L items listed above, this section captures the impact of taxes, defined benefit pension schemes, leasing income and dividends paid on capital as well as assumptions made regarding the calculation of capital ratios.



6.3. High-level assumptions and definitions

6.3.1. Definitions

484. All items follow IFRS definitions. Banks should align with FINREP reporting.⁷⁴ If national accounting frameworks are used, banks are required to map their accounting framework to the IFRS framework. Banks are requested to provide a mapping table in an accompanying document.

6.3.2. Approach

- 485. Banks will have to use their own methodologies in projecting non-interest income and expense paths for the baseline and adverse scenarios, except for the projections of NFCI. For NFCI banks must apply the prescribed growth rate parameters.
- 486. The assumptions taken as basis for the use of the internal models/methodologies shall be coherent with the macroeconomic scenario (which includes e.g. the assumptions on GDP growth, FX variation (where applicable) or inflation during the projection years)⁷⁵ and with the general assumptions of the methodology (i.e. static balance sheet, same business mix throughout the time horizon) and the constraints listed in this section. Banks are required to provide additional information on the approach followed when projecting the P&L items included in this section (which includes, but it is not limited to, items under section 6.4.1 and 6.4.2) in the explanatory note.
- 487. Banks are expected to apply models that are regularly used in internal risk management and stress testing, and the competent authority would need to be satisfied with using them for the purpose of the EU-wide stress test. For this reason, when models are deemed not suitable for projections, banks might be asked to revise internal figures, or the methodology may foresee an alternative treatment (such as for items treated in section 6.4.1).
- 488. The projections should incorporate both exogenous factors and bank-specific characteristics. They should also take into account the specific developments of the originating country. Given potential differences in the business cycles of these countries, the respective income and expense streams accrued by the bank in question will be affected.
- 489. With the exception of 'Other remaining administrative expenses' and 'NFCI', for which the prescriptions laid down in the respective sections are to be followed, no further FX effects

141

⁷⁴ In a few specific cases, deviations from the scope reported in FINREP are allowed for the starting point. For instance, 'interest expenses for atypical silent capital contributions' on capital instruments can be reclassified as dividends paid, while according to the FINREP references such payments are classified as operating expenses, in case the legally binding contract on 'atypical silent capital contributions' fulfils the conditions of paragraph 518 of the methodological note regarding publicly projected dividend policies. Besides, a structural break between the starting point and the projections is permitted as described in paragraph 502 of the methodological note.

⁷⁵ As an example, banks should take into account all relevant variables to project their administrative expenses, including, when relevant, the inflation assumptions of the macroeconomic scenario, and their contractual obligations with respect to inflation.



should be accounted for regarding the above listed P&L items. The additional channels via which FX rate changes affect the P&L are an indirect credit risk from foreign currency lending that is related to the depreciation of local currencies (see section 2), the corrective factor for interest income and market risk effects (due to revaluation effects of trading and other fair value portfolios including REA projections, see section 3).

6.3.3. Reporting requirements

- 490. Banks are required to provide 5 years of historical data for dividend payments together with their projections.
- 491. Gains (losses) arising from operational risk need to be reported as a separate item. To avoid any double counting, other P&L items therefore have to be adjusted to exclude these gains (losses) whenever relevant.
- 492. All historical and projected profit or loss values shall be reported on template CSV_P&L. Banks are required to report injections to retained earnings in CSV_CAP on the same calendar year in which profits are generated. Any additional impact to capital shall be reported on the capital template (CSV_CAP).
- 493. The items covered in sections 6.4.1 and 6.4.2 and paragraph 521 follow specific approaches that require the use of separate templates, namely CSV_NFCI_DIV, CSV_ONEOFF and CSV_MDA. Furthermore, banks shall report the decomposition of 'Other remaining administrative expenses' and 'NFCI' by currency in the input sheet.
- 494. In line with the guidance descripted in paragraphs 15-20, banks are required to describe in the accompanying documents how historical and starting point P&L items are affected by e.g. mergers and acquisitions, and how specific projected P&L values have been determined.

6.4. Impact on P&L and capital

6.4.1. NFCI, dividend income, and profit of investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates accounted for using the equity method

- 495. NFCI is projected at the aggregated level by making use of prescribed growth rate parameters. Banks are required to insert in the 'Input' sheet of the EU-wide stress test templates the prescribed parameters communicated to them for the projections of NFCI.
- 496. The prescribed NFCI growth rate parameters are subject to constraints applied on their cumulative path over the three-years horizon in the following way:
 - a. In the baseline scenario, the cumulative prescribed NFCI growth rate over the three-years scenario horizon is subject to a minimum and a maximum reduction (cap/floor).



The cap is set at 0% (the cumulative NFCI growth rate over the three years cannot be positive). The constraints, if binding, are applied each year of the baseline scenario horizon and are incorporated in the prescribed baseline scenario path of the growth rate parameters.

- b. In the adverse scenario, the cumulative prescribed NFCI growth rate over the threeyears scenario horizon is subject to a minimum and a maximum reduction (cap/floor). The constraints, if binding, are applied each year of the adverse scenario horizon and are incorporated in the prescribed adverse scenario path of the growth rate parameters. These parameters are applied to the NFCI starting point after considering FX variations.
- 497. In the adverse scenario, cumulative NFCI is projected with the prescribed growth rate parameters as described in paragraphs 495 and 496 and after considering FX variations to the starting point. Then, two cases apply:
 - i. If following the application of FX variations to the starting point, cumulative NFCI is negative, then the projection of NFCI for each year is the minimum between the FXadjusted starting point for this year and the starting point. In this case, the prescribed growth rates are not applied.
 - ii. If following the application of FX variations to the starting point, the cumulative NFCI is not negative, then the NFCI projections each year will reflect the prescribed growth rate parameters and the FX effects to the starting point.
- 498. Banks are required to project dividend income and share of the profit of investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates accounted for using the equity method (i.e. outside the scope of consolidation) by making use of their own methodologies and assumptions on the development of volumes, margins, fees etc.
- 499. Under the baseline scenario, for dividend income, and profit of investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates accounted for using the equity method, the projection of total net income for each year cannot exceed its reported value for 2024.76
- 500. Under the adverse scenario, banks are required to follow one of the approaches subject to different constraints to project dividend income and the share of the profit of investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates accounted for using the equity method (see Box 31):

information on the allocation of gains or losses associated to the transaction within the stress test template CSV_P&L and

a complete reconciliation with the respective values reported in FINREP as of 31 December 2024.

 $^{^{76}}$ An exception to that floor is allowed in the case of disposals of investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates that have occurred in 2024 that are neither classified as held for sale nor as discontinued operations. In such case, the starting point reported in template 'CSV_NFCI_DIV' (Row 'Share of the profit or (-) loss of investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates accounted for using the equity method', Col Num 1) shall exclude this event while the starting value in template 'CSV_P&L' (Row 'Share of the profit or (-) loss of investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates accounted for using the equity method', Col Num 5) should correspond to the actual value, i.e. the historical value. Furthermore, the bank should provide in the explanatory note a detailed description of the event, analytical



- a. For banks that model the projections, the cumulative projection of the 3 years of the scenario for each item is subject to a minimum reduction as defined in Box 31 compared with three times the 2024 reported value. If this minimum reduction is binding for dividend income and the share of the profit of investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates accounted for using the equity method, the reduced amount of net income will be projected equally across the 3 years of the horizon.
- b. Banks that choose not to model the projections themselves are required to apply a more severe reduction of the total net income reported for 2024. This simplified approach does not apply to banks reporting significant non-recurring income values in the 2024 starting point for any of the items in the scope of this paragraph. In such case, banks are required to model their projections and are subject to the minimum reductions as defined in (i).
- c. For banks reporting 0 net income on aggregate for 2024 for dividend income and/or 0 or negative net income on aggregate for 2024 for the share of the profit of investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates accounted for using the equity method, (i) and (ii) do not apply. In this case, the cumulative projections will be capped at three times the 2024 value when the bank projects the income items alternative treatment to option (i) and each yearly projection will be equal to the 2024 value when the bank does not project alternative treatment to option (ii).
- 501. Banks making use of internal models should follow paragraphs 486 and 487, which include the requirement to include a description of the model used, along with the mapping applied, in the accompanying explanatory note.

Box 31: Constraints for the calculation of dividend income and the share of the profit of investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates accounted for using the equity method

For each item i, the following constraint regarding the cumulative amount (if positive) applies for banks that model the projections in the adverse scenario:

$$\sum_{t=2025}^{2027} NetIncome_{i,t} = min \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{t=2025}^{2027} NetIncome (own models)_{i,t}; \\ (1-\gamma)*3*NetIncome_{i,2024} \end{bmatrix}$$

Where:

- i refers to i) dividend income or ii) the share of the profit of investments in subsidiaries,
 joint ventures and associates accounted for using the equity method
- γ is equal to 25%

Banks that chose not to model the projections themselves for any of the items referred to in this box are required to apply an overall more severe reduction, so that:



 $NetIncome_{i,t} = (1 - \delta)NetIncome_{i,2024}$

Where:

 δ is equal to 50%

6.4.2. Administrative expenses, other main cost items and one-off adjustments

- 502. Remaining other operating expenses, cash contributions to resolution funds and deposit guarantee schemes 77, depreciation and other provisions or reversal of provisions shall be projected through the use of bank-internal models, but cannot fall below the absolute value observed in 2024⁷⁸. The same applies to other remaining administrative expenses but for this item the floor is adjusted in every year of the stress test horizon to take into account FX effects.
- 503. Adjustments of these constraints are permitted only for extraordinary costs affecting the items listed in paragraph 502 during the year 2024 and as defined in this section. Possible deviations from the constraints for administrative expenses and other operating expenses related to the MDA restrictions of Article 141 of the CRD are instead set out in section 6.4.3. Likewise, deviations from the constraints for other operating expenses are also possible for banks applying hyperinflation accounting following IAS 29.79

 $^{^{77}}$ As an exemption to this principle, the share of contributions to the deposit guarantee schemes (DGS) expensed in 2024 that aim to reach the DGS fund's target level (i.e. those that do not correspond to banks' risk profile increase or covered deposits grow), may be adjusted accordingly from the floor over the horizon (i.e. no one-off as per paragraph 512 needs to be requested), to the extent that this target level is reached somewhere in the projection window. Please provide in the explanatory note a quantitative description of the evolution of contributions to the DGS between 2024 and 2027 and supporting evidence by the corresponding DGS of the end of its building-up period.

 $^{^{78}}$ However, to avoid double-counting, regarding "Other provisions or reversal of provisions", the bank should not project any provisions stemming from off-balance exposures, which are projected under row "Impairment or reversal of impairment on financial assets not measured at fair value through profit or loss" of CSV_P&L. This means that, while the starting value will still reflect FINREP reporting, banks should consider the floor in row "Other provisions or reversal of provisions" of CSV P&L as if no provisions stemming from off-balance exposures were reported under this line in 2024. In other words, the projection of row "Other provisions or reversal of provisions" of CSV_P&L cannot fall below the absolute value observed in 2024 excluding provisions stemming from off-balance exposures that are reported in row "Other provisions or reversal of provisions" of CSV_P&L in 2024 but projected in row "Impairment or reversal of impairment on financial assets not measured at fair value through profit or loss" of CSV_P&L. The bank should provide in the explanatory note detailed analytical information of the off-balance sheet exposure amount per off-balance sheet category, reported in the starting point (i.e. YE2024) under "Other provisions or reversal of provisions", but not reported in the projection years in the same line of CSV_P&L.

 $^{^{79}}$ Banks applying hyperinflation accounting following IAS 29 as of 31/12/2024 should also include this effect symmetrically in the P&L and OCI of their scenario projections. Banks applying IAS 29 are expected to report the Gain or Loss on Net Monetary Position for the projection years in RowNum 23 of CSV_P&L "Remaining other operating expenses" (Loss) or RowNum 18 of CSV_P&L "Other operating income" (Gain) and RowNum 10 of CSV_CAP "Other OCI contributions" taking into account the evolution on the projected HICP in the macro financial stress test scenario, which consequently could entail deviations from the floor in case of a decreasing evolution. Furthermore, banks are expected to include detailed information in the Explanatory Notes and Supporting Documentation on the respective accounting treatment and relevant evidence for the application of IAS 29 as of 31/12/2024.



- 504. One-off adjustments shall be based on available uncontroversial evidence of the non-recurrence of the event as well as a reasonable estimate of the recurring part of the cost (based on, and linked to, the historical data of the bank).
- 505. All one-off adjustments are subject to a thorough quality assurance. As a necessary condition, banks are required to submit a list of those one-off events for consideration to the respective competent authority and by the deadlines set by the competent authorities and the EBA. This list of one-off events shall distinguish between one-off events having a positive P&L impact and those having a negative impact and will be limited to five P&L items in total. The same event may affect more than one eligible P&L item (see paragraph 509).
- 506. Failure to submit the list within the mandated deadlines will lead to automatic disallowance of all one-offs, whereas submission alone constitutes no claim to the eventual approval of the one-off. If items are rejected from the list, banks are not allowed to resubmit further applications.
- 507. One-off events shall be submitted using a dedicated template (CSV_ONEOFF). The pre-tax projected adjustments to the P&L items in scope in each year of the baseline and adverse scenarios shall be equal to the pre-tax amount of the one-off cost reported for 2024, and shall not be adjusted for FX effects in CSV_ONEOFF. Banks will have the possibility to modify these amounts to the extent that they result in more conservative adjustments. The total impact of the one-offs on CET1 ratio will then be calculated as the sum of the pre-tax projected adjustments over the 3 years of each scenario, divided by the end-2024 total REA. The sum of the pre-tax projected adjustments to the P&L items shall be allocated equally across the 3 years of the projection in each scenario in the CSV_ONEOFF template. This sum can only consider the most conservative values between the pre-tax amount of the one-off cost reported for 2024 and the average pre-tax projected adjustments to the P&L items in scope in each year.
- 508. In the CSV_P&L template, one-off adjustments to other remaining administrative expenses shall be adjusted for FX effects when reported in the memorandum item in the adverse scenario. In the baseline scenario, the memorandum item for off adjustments to other remaining administrative expenses is not FX adjusted and linked to the CSV_ONEOFF template.
- 509. Only recognised one-off exceptions as defined in this section and exceeding the threshold of 5 bps impact will be permitted. In case of one-off events with impact on more than one eligible P&L items, the sum of the impacts on the different P&L items for the same event shall exceed the 5 bps threshold. In such cases, the institution should report the P&L impacts in separate lines of the CSV_ONEOFF template, one for each eligible P&L item affected. The limit of five maximum P&L items in total and for all the one-offs holds.
- 510. The resulting adjustments will be recognised in the template CSV_P&L, by singling out the one-off impact for each P&L item in the scope of this section, which will be reported net of the one-off adjustment and in case of other remaining administrative expenses after FX effects.



- 511. One-off adjustments due to the extraordinary cost produced by the following events shall be permissible for assessment by the competent authority:
 - Divestments of business units under the following conditions:
 - o The affected business unit was fully divested during the course of 2024;
 - Further follow-up expenses for these divestments are considered in the projection;
 and
 - No future benefits materialising in the projection years because of the divestment can be included, which includes all future costs related to the unit that was separated.
 - Business unit restructuring, including measures that are part of a restructuring plan approved by the European Commission, leading to non-recurrent integration costs, subject to the following conditions:
 - The restructuring (but not the full restructuring plan in the case of a restructuring plan approved by the European Commission) shall have been completed in 2024;
 - Permissible restructuring costs are post-merger integration costs (subject to the merger having been completed by 31 December 2024) and set-up costs for a bad bank, wholly taken in 2024; and
 - In exceptional cases where the restructuring was completed in 2024 and still future restructuring costs are incurred/expected for 2025-2027, the future restructuring costs need to be incorporated in the forecast, i.e. the projections in CSV_P&L need to be adjusted to take the future costs into account.
 - Employee restructuring/lay-offs and the associated severance costs, subject to the following conditions:
 - Severance costs shall have been paid in full or provisioned against by the end of 2024;
 - Any expected (i.e. known at the starting point of the stress test exercise, for instance approved by the Board of Directors) future restructuring payments and severance costs still need to be considered in the projection. Only those future restructuring/severance costs strictly related to the event for which the one-off has been requested shall be considered (i.e., other events shall be disregarded even if they were known); and



- No future benefits materialising in the projection years because of the exit can be included, which includes all future costs related to the FTEs that were separated during and after 2024.
- Extraordinary (i.e. non-recurrent) ex post payment commitments to deposit guarantee schemes (DGS), institutional protection schemes (IPS) officially recognised as DGS in accordance with Directive 2014/49/EU (DGSD) and resolution funds (RF), subject to the following conditions:
 - In the case of DGS and IPS recognised as DGS, extraordinary ex-post payment commitments shall meet the criteria set out in Article 10(8) of the DGSD;
 - In the case of RF, extraordinary ex-post payment commitments meeting the criteria of Article 104 of the BRRD are triggered by an exceptional event and should be appropriately documented, e.g. by means of a legislative decree.
- Extraordinary (i.e. non-recurrent) ex-post cash contributions to resolution funds (RF), deposit guarantee schemes (DGS) and institutional protection schemes (IPS) officially recognised as DGS in accordance with Directive 2014/49/EU (DGSD), subject to the following conditions:
 - In the case of DGS and IPS recognised as DGS, extraordinary ex-post cash contributions shall meet the criteria set out in Article 10(8) of the DGSD;
 - In the case of RF, extraordinary ex-post cash contributions meeting the criteria of Article 104 of the BRRD are triggered by an exceptional event and should be appropriately documented, e.g. by means of a legislative decree.
- Temporary levies⁸⁰ under the following conditions:
 - The expenses exceeding the minimum threshold are the consequence of a legal requirement that will not be in force during the 3 years to be projected;
 - Considering this partial validity (i.e. only applies to one or two of the years of projection), the expenses that must be considered in the projections will only be the ones determined by the current legislation (or the best estimate thereof) in that particular year;
 - As an exemption to the principle stated in paragraph 504, the recurrence analysis would be excluded from this criterion, provided that there is no announced statement or clear indication of time extension by the cut-off date to submit one-off adjustments applications to the EBA, since this would mean anticipating the legislative power of the corresponding authorities in each country.

0

 $^{^{80}}$ Levies that are accounted for in accordance with IFRIC 21.



- 512. Other instances than those listed in paragraph 511 may be considered by the competent authority in exceptional cases. The following exceptions are explicitly not considered:
 - Income and expenses for which a methodology has already been prescribed in this note. This includes, in particular but is not limited to conduct and litigation costs, which shall be treated in accordance with the methodology prescribed in section 5;
 - All actions that are not fully implemented by 31 December 2024. This includes, in particular

 but is not limited to mergers and run-off of businesses, which are expected but not executed until year-end 2024. It also includes measures defined in restructuring plans or any contingency plans for stress situations if they are not fully implemented by 31 December 2024;
 - Changes in variable compensation;
 - Exceptional fees on professional services engagements, unless incurred as part of a one-off event specified in paragraph 511,81
 - Changes in real estate / occupancy costs due to, for example, a move.
- 513. In projecting the P&L items described in this section, banks are required to include the phase-in of ex-ante contributions to the Single Resolution Fund, as established in EU Regulation 2015/81.
- 514. All exceptional adjustments can be considered only if the corresponding adjustment of any income is taken into account, and is consistent with the remaining methodology as presented in this note (e.g. in setting any caps on income projections based on 2024 levels).
- 515. For provisions not related to conduct or other operational risk no reversals are allowed (i.e. the projection is capped at zero).

6.4.3. Dividends paid and distribution restrictions under Article 141 of the CRD

516. The pay-out ratio described in this section is defined to include all voluntary reductions in the capital base. All voluntary reductions in the capital base distributed to owners of the consolidating entity, which are not already included - in accordance with their accounting policy - in other rows of either the CSV_P&L or the CSV_CAP, should be included in the "amount of dividends paid (before consideration of MDA restrictions)" for the respective year in template CSV_P&L. Such reductions shall be reported in the same year that the profit is made (e.g. reductions in the CET1 capital for the year 2024 will reflect dividends paid and the share buy-backs conducted in 2024 from profits made in the same year).

-

⁸¹ E.g. for consultants or lawyers during a business restructuring or transaction advise during the sale of a NPL portfolio.



- 517. Banks are required to report 5 years of historical dividend pay-outs by referring to the ratio between: (i) dividends, other than those paid in a form that does not reduce CET1 capital (e.g. scrip-dividends), distributed to owners of the entity; and (ii) profit after tax attributable to owners of the entity. If, for a given year, the ratio between (i) and (ii) is negative or above 100%, the pay-out ratio shall be deemed to be 100%. If for a given year, (ii) is zero, the pay-out ratio shall be set to 0% if (i) is zero and 100% if (i) is above zero.
- 518. Under the baseline and adverse scenarios, banks are required to apply a pay-out ratio (or an absolute pay-out per share) based on their publicly declared projected dividend policies. ⁸² This includes legally binding contracts, such as loss transfer agreements vis-à-vis subsidiaries and profit transfer agreements vis-à-vis holdings and policies concerning preferred shares, as well as restrictions imposed by law. References to publicly declared dividend policies (e.g. from annual reports, listing brochures, business plans) shall be provided in the explanatory notes. Internal policies which are not made public cannot be considered as publicly declared projected dividend policies. Public policies defined in terms of a target nominal amount of distributions can be considered, but the nominal amount has to be converted into a pay-out ratio for the purpose of the stress test.
- 519. Practices such as loss-transfer agreements and transfer pricing with entities outside the scope of consolidation, as defined in section 1.3.2, should not be taken into account for the projections.
- 520. If no dividend policy is available or documented, the bank is required to apply the following rules:
 - Under the baseline scenario, the bank shall apply a pay-out ratio equal to the maximum of 30% and the median of the observed pay-out ratios in profitable years, where a dividend has been paid⁸³, over the last 5 years. If the bank is loss-making, a zero dividend is accepted.
 - Under the adverse scenario, if the bank is loss-making, a zero dividend is accepted. If the bank is profit-making, the bank is required to pay a dividend applying the same pay-out ratio as reported in the baseline scenario for the respective year, unless it can provide evidence that it can deviate from this rule and the deviation is approved by the relevant competent authority. Reduction of the pay-out ratio conditional to the breach of management capital buffers (e.g. breach of the P2G level) cannot be considered as admissible deviations, even if they are publicly declared. Reductions stemming from (risk-based or leverage ratio) MDA-related restrictions cannot be considered as admissible deviations with respect to the dividend pay-outs to be applied, either, as these types of reductions will be accounted for separately in the CSV_MDA and CSV_LR_MDA templates (cf. paragraphs 521-526). Restrictions imposed by the supervisor can be considered as

⁸² Here "publicly declared" means that the document is freely accessible by any member of the general public. The general stress test cut-off date applies for such declarations, i.e. 31 December 2024.

⁸³ Thus, if in the last five years a bank had one or more profit-making years where no dividend was paid, then these years should not be considered for the calculation of the median pay-out ratio.



admissible deviations. In such a case, the projections will be subject to a thorough quality assurance analysis and will be challenged by the competent authorities, taking into consideration the eventual declaration of dividend policies in the annual reports. This rule shall be applied to share buybacks as well.

- 521. All banks are required to report in CSV_MDA the amount of CET1 capital after distributions, to be checked against the risk-based Maximum Distributable Amount (MDA) trigger. They are also required to report projected distribution amounts before consideration of any potential restrictions. If the projected CET1 ratio for a given year of the stress test horizon falls below the risk-based MDA trigger point as per Article 141(3) of the CRD, banks are required to project reductions of distributions for the same year in line with the following simplifying assumptions for the purpose of the stress test:
 - The detailed reduction amounts shall be inserted exclusively in the CSV_MDA template together with a reference to the concerned line item in which the distribution is reported. Banks are therefore requested to report un-adjusted distributions in CSV_P&L.
 - No reduction of distributions beyond the minimum amount needed to meet the risk-based MDA requirement of Article 141(3) of the CRD shall be assumed, i.e. in years of the scenario where the risk-based MDA trigger would be breached, banks are required to assume that they distribute exactly the risk-based MDA. In years of the scenario where both the risk-based MDA trigger and the leverage ratio MDA trigger (see paragraph 522) would be breached, banks are required to assume that they distribute exactly the lower of the risk-based MDA and the LR MDA.
 - The risk-based MDA shall always be set to 0 in loss making years when the risk-based MDA trigger is breached, unless the presence of pre-tax distributions would offset the loss made.
- 522. After filling-in the CSV_MDA template according to paragraph 521, banks that are identified as global systemically important institutions (GSIIs) are required to report in CSV_LR_MDA the amount of Tier 1 capital after distributions, to be checked against the leverage ratio Maximum Distributable Amount (MDA) trigger. If the projected leverage ratio for a given year of the stress test horizon falls below the leverage ratio MDA trigger point as per 141b and 141c of the CRD, banks are required to project reductions of distributions for the same year in line with the following simplifying assumptions for the purpose of the stress test:
 - The detailed reduction amounts shall be inserted exclusively in the CSV_LR_MDA template
 together with a reference to the concerned line item in which the distribution is reported.
 Banks are therefore requested to report un-adjusted distributions in CSV_P&L.
 - No reduction of distributions beyond the minimum amount needed to meet the leverage ratio MDA requirement of 141b and 141c of the CRD shall be assumed, i.e. in years of the scenario where the leverage ratio MDA trigger would be breached, banks are required to assume that they distribute exactly the leverage ratio MDA. In years of the scenario where



both the risk-based MDA trigger (see paragraph 521) and the leverage ratio MDA trigger would be breached, banks are required to assume that they distribute exactly the lower of the risk-based MDA and the leverage ratio MDA.

- The leverage ratio MDA shall always be set to 0 in loss making years when the leverage ratio MDA trigger is breached, unless the presence of pre-tax distributions would offset the loss made.
- 523. The distribution reductions shall be documented and justified in the explanatory note. The documentation will also contain an assessment of to what extent the projected restrictions are possible given potential legal and reputational constraints. This assessment shall refer to the following documents and policies of the bank, which competent authorities may request for quality assurance of the stress test:
 - Dividend policies;
 - Remuneration policies that document the banks' entitlement to cut the considered variable remuneration or discretionary pension benefits subject to Article 141(8)(d)(iv) of the CRD;
 - Documentation of the relevant AT1 instruments.
- 524. Given that the stress test is run at the highest level of consolidation, the bank's treatment of distribution restrictions under Article 141 of the CRD shall not take into account any induced effects of a potential MDA breach on a sub-consolidated level from other exercises.
- 525. For the banks reporting distribution reductions, the impact of the MDA adjustments will be publicly disclosed on TRA_P&L.
- 526. Competent authorities may request further details with reference to the distribution restrictions if they deem the accompanying documentation insufficient to validate the above assumptions.

6.4.4. Tax treatment

- 527. Banks are required to apply a common simplified tax rate of 30%. Current taxes in the stress test are calculated by applying the simplified tax rate to the taxable profit in each year, while the tax expenses/income are calculated as the sum of current taxes and changes in DTAs. The stock of existing DTAs and DTLs as of 31 December 2024 will not be recalculated according to the simplified tax rate.
- 528. The taxable profit is calculated on the basis of the profit or loss before tax from continuing operations minus those contributions from the P&L template that are reported after income tax in the P&L template, floored at zero and net of any loss carryforward used in the relevant period. Items that are reported after the taxes paid by the entity in FINREP (such as "Share of the profit



or (-) loss of investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates accounted for using the equity method" and "Dividend income") shall be included in the taxable profit if the tax rate before reporting was smaller than 30%, by making an adjustment to make the applied implied tax rate equal to the 30% as requested in the methodology. If the applicable tax rate before reporting is above 30%, institutions can decide between limiting the tax rate to 30% or keeping the applicable tax rate above 30%.

- 529. Banks are required to report the taxable profit in the respective line of the CSV_P&L template. For simplicity, banks should disregard the fact that some of the items included in the P&L may be neither tax-deductible nor taxable under national law.
- 530. DTAs that do not rely on future profitability (Articles 39 of the CRR) shall be held constant at their starting value for the purpose of the stress test. Other DTAs shall be calculated for the time horizon of the stress test exercise according to the current regulation (Articles 38 and 48 of the CRR) and the instructions given in this section.
- 531. Banks may project the creation and use of DTAs that rely on future profitability and do not arise from temporary differences (and associated loss carryforwards) under the conditions below. This shall be done in accordance with applicable tax legislation and paying due regard to their own accounting position and the prospects for recovering loss carryforwards under future profitability in line with their accounting procedures:
 - Existing DTAs that rely on future profitability and do not arise from temporary differences as of 31 December 2024 will not be recalculated according to the simplified tax rate, as it in the case for all types of DTAs in the stress test (see paragraph 527).
 - These DTAs may be created during loss-making years in accordance with applicable tax legislation and paragraph 530 and applying the common tax rate of 30% for the creation of new DTAs.
 - The use of loss carryforwards in a given profitable year shall be applied by giving priority to DTAs created during the stress test over DTAs existing as of 31 December 2024.
 - On profit making years, banks can use loss carryforwards to offset their taxable amount if
 the competent tax authority allows it, regardless whether a DTA is created. In such cases,
 banks are required to provide undisputable evidence of the background of their approach.
 The loss carryforwards, as well as the DTAs, to be used for the determination of the taxable
 amount should be calculated and used according to the relevant and applicable tax
 legislation for each legal entity/country.
 - Banks should, however, consider whether to disregard in full the creation and use of DTAs
 that rely on future profitability and do not arise from temporary differences, in line with
 their accounting procedures. In this case, a tax rate of 30% should be applied in profitmaking years and a tax rate of 0% in loss-making years.



- Banks should provide an explanation of their approach when calculating tax expenses for the stress test in their explanatory note, including a reconciliation of the effective tax rate with the 30% common tax rate for each year of the stress test horizon.
- 532. Unrealised gains and losses contributing to OCI under the stress test scenarios are also subject to the simplified tax rate of 30%. The creation and use of the associated DTAs that rely on future profitability and arise from temporary differences may be calculated in the following way:
 - Projected OCI gains and losses shall be reported pre-tax in the market risk calculations and will be subject to the simplified tax rate assumption on CSV_CAP.
 - DTAs that rely on future profitability and arise from temporary differences shall be projected during the time horizon of the stress test exercise applying the change in Accumulated OCI (reported net of tax charge calculated with the 30% common tax rate, as per COREP C 01.00 table, r180, c010) to the starting amount of DTAs reduced by the associated DTLs (see paragraph 533).
 - Banks are required to also provide full transparency on the deferred tax arising from temporary differences in their explanatory notes, detailing how the figures reported in the template were determined.
- 533. DTAs (net of DTLs, if allowed) that rely on future profitability and arise from temporary differences are deducted according to Articles 38 and 48 of the CRR. DTAs that rely on future profitability but do not arise from temporary differences will be fully deducted. When deducting the amount of DTAs that rely on future profitability, banks shall observe Article 38 of the CRR on the conditions for netting with the amount of DTLs and on the allocation of the DTLs according to the proportion of associated DTAs that rely on future profitability. The total amount of DTLs shall be held constant at the starting point of the exercise. The creation of DTAs that can be converted into tax credits under the conditions of Article 39 of the CRR are not allowed for the projected period.
- 534. Banks are required to also take into account any accelerated phase-in schedule as established by national legislations and the applicable competent authority. The resulting effects shall be included in the banks' projections and reported in template CSV_CAP.

6.4.5. Other P&L impact

535. **Expenses on share capital repayable on demand**: Expenses should be projected in line with the contractual requirements for banks. In the baseline scenario, they cannot fall below the 2024 value. In the adverse scenario, expenses can be lower than in the baseline only if the bank can provide evidence that this reduction is in line with publicly declared pay-out policies.



- 536. Gains (losses) on derecognition of financial assets and liabilities not measured at fair value through profit and loss, net: No realised gains or losses are expected from the sale of financial assets and liabilities not measured at fair value through profit and loss, i.e. the P&L impact should be set to zero.
- 537. **Exchange differences**: In line with paragraph 489, no impact will be assumed in the baseline and adverse scenarios, i.e. the P&L item should be set to zero.
- 538. **Gains or losses on derecognition of non-financial assets, net**: No impact will be assumed in the baseline and adverse scenarios, i.e. the P&L item should be set to zero.
- 539. Other operating income: Projected other operating income shall not be higher than the 2024 value. Banks should also consider reducing their annual forecasts of other operating income in a prudent way below the 2024 value where the 2024 results contain significant non-recurring contributions. Income related to operating leasing included in other operating income shall be singled out from CSV_P&L. This income shall be capped at the 2024 value for the baseline scenario, while in the adverse scenario banks are required to apply a minimum reduction of 10% with respect to the 2024 value.⁸⁴
- 540. **Modification gains or losses**: The P&L impact of modification gains or losses should be set to zero.
- 541. Other impairment on financial assets: Impairments on participations shall be computed in line with the results of the (IFRS) test of impairment and will be consistent with the scenarios. This requirement extends to participations in other banks included in the sample of the EU-wide stress test. No impact should be assumed for the impact on impairments on goodwill on financial assets, i.e. the P&L contribution should be set to zero for the projections in both the baseline and the adverse scenario.
- 542. **Impairment on non-financial assets**: Impairments on non-financial assets shall be included not under depreciation but under 'Impairment or reversal of impairment on non-financial assets'.⁸⁵ Banks are required to project impairments on non-financial assets in line with the economic scenario of the stress test:
 - Impairments on residential and commercial real estate will be computed by the application of the shocks from the macroeconomic scenarios on the market value of real estate owned by the bank. Real estate for own use shall be stressed by applying the commercial real estate shocks given in the macroeconomic scenarios.

⁸⁵ In case real estate assets are assessed at fair value, the related impairments should be included in line with FINREP, e.g. under 'Remaining other operating expenses'.

155

⁸⁴ Possible deviations from these constraints could arise for banks applying hyperinflation accounting following IAS 29 in case they report gains on Net Monetary Position for the projection years.



- Similarly to paragraph 40, banks are required to also stress other non-financial assets (e.g. realised physical collaterals such as ships, residual values of leased out assets) on their balance sheets under the stress test scenarios.
- According to the relevant accounting standard if the right-of-use lease asset is subject to impairment the bank will need to consider the macroeconomic scenarios when projecting any possible impairments. According to paragraph 485 of the Methodological Note banks will have to use their own methodologies in projecting non-interest income and expense paths for the baseline and adverse scenarios.
- Impairments on non-financial assets should be projected at the level of individual assets and avoid offsetting effects between the impairments on individual assets.
- No impact should be assumed for impairments on goodwill on non-financial assets, i.e. the P&L contribution should be set to zero.
- No reversal of provisions shall be assumed under the scenarios of the stress test.
- 543. **Negative goodwill** recognised in profit or loss: No impact should be assumed for the baseline or adverse scenarios, i.e. the P&L item should be set to zero.
- 544. **Profit or loss from non-current assets and disposal groups** classified as held for sale not qualifying as discontinued operations: In accordance with the static balance sheet assumption, non-current assets and disposal groups classified as held for sale shall remain on the balance sheet in the exercise and shall be stressed by the application of the relevant shocks given in the macroeconomic and market risk scenarios. The impact will be reported in line with the accounting treatment of the banks in the P&L account or as OCI.
- 545. **Profit or loss from discontinued operation**s over the stress test time horizon shall be zero for those operations already disposed by the 31 December 2024. Discontinued operations which have not been disposed before that date shall be treated according to paragraph 36.
- 546. **Deductions of intangible assets (including goodwill) from CET1 capital**: Banks are required to deduct their intangible assets consistently with their amortisation process and period:
 - Goodwill is not subject to depreciation (cf. paragraphs 541, 542 and 543) and must therefore be deducted from CET1 capital within 'Intangible assets' over the threeyear stress test horizon in line with the starting point.
 - Software assets, which are subject to depreciation over the three-year stress test
 horizon in line with the accounting depreciation schedule and the methodological
 provisions provided in this chapter, are to be deducted from CET1 capital within
 'Intangible assets' over the three-year stress test horizon only for the (positive)
 difference between accumulated prudential and accumulated accounting



amortisation (see also Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2020/2176). Banks shall also follow the provisions laid down in the RTS on the prudential treatment of software assets under Article 36(4) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 and include the 100% risk weight for the portion of the carrying amount of each software asset not deducted.

- Other intangible assets (except for goodwill or software assets), which are subject to
 depreciation in line with the accounting (equal to regulatory) depreciation schedule
 and the methodological provisions provided in this chapter, must be deducted from
 CET1 capital within 'Intangible assets' over the three-year stress test horizon only to
 the amount of the net carrying value.
- 547. Details on the scheduling and the structure of prudential and accounting amortisation of intangible assets shall be provided in the explanatory note.
- Fish: Banks are required to report expenses and provisions for conduct risk and other operational risk: Banks are required to report expenses and provisions for conduct risk and other operational risk for historical data in line with their accounting practice. For both expenses and provisions or reversals, "other operational risk losses" follows the definition in paragraph 436. Projected losses shall be reported on the P&L template (CSV_P&L) under 'gains or losses arising from operational risk'. In order to avoid double-counting of projected losses, banks are required to separate these projections from the relevant P&L item according to their accounting practice, while historical data shall be reported on the P&L template in line with paragraph 491. For example, while historical data might be reported in the P&L template under 'impairment of non-financial assets', 'administrative expenses' or 'provisions or reversal of provisions', in line with the relevant accounting practice, projections of conduct and other operational risk losses will only be included under 'gains or losses arising from operational risk', consistently with figures reported on the general operational risk template (CSV_OR_GEN template).
- 549. **AT1 and Tier 2 coupons**: These items shall be reported in CSV_P&L according to supervisory reporting requirements and their contractual obligations. Background on the reporting shall be given in the explanatory note.

6.4.6. Impact on capital

550. Banks are required to follow section 1.3.5 for the definitions of capital instrument to be reported in CSV_CAP. The impact of the EU-wide stress test will be reported in terms of CET1 ratio, but information on the impact of the stress test on each type of capital ratios will be disclosed.

 $^{^{86}}$ For instance, assuming that a software asset is recognized for 30 m EUR, its (regulatory) prudential amortisation is three years, and its accounting amortization is five years, a deduction from CET1 capital must be made in every year as follows: -4 m EUR (= -(10-6) m EUR = -((30/3) m EUR - (30/5) m EUR)) in the first year, -8 m EUR (= -(10-6) m EUR * 2) in the second year, etc.



- 551. The amount of each capital instrument is expected to stay constant at the end-2024 level, in line with the static balance sheet assumption, which applies on a solo, sub-consolidated and consolidated basis.⁸⁷ Capital instruments are not expected to increase also in case they are issued in favour of internal stakeholders (e.g. as part of a variable compensation scheme). However, minority interests or other qualifying own fund instruments according to Article 81 and 82 of the CRR may affect the capital position of a bank in case of changes in the amount calculated according to articles from 84 to 88 of the CRR.
- 552. Capital ratios are reported on a transitional basis and on a fully loaded basis. For the purpose of showing fully loaded capital ratios, an approximate calculation of fully loaded capital ratios is implemented in the capital template (CSV_CAP).⁸⁸
- 553. Banks making use of IFRS 9 transitional arrangements are required to report the adjustments due to this transition in accordance with Article 473a of the CRR and the relative factors for 2024. Decisions on the IFRS9 transitional arrangements that have been taken and/or approved after 31/12/2024 (including the type of the transitional arrangement) shall not be taken into account in the stress test projections (in line with paragraph 31). The first-time implementation impact of IFRS 9 being subject to transitional arrangements is reported in the first column of the template CSV_CAP.
- 554. Memorandum items in the capital templates include information on other types of capital ratios and requirements, as well as more granular information on other types of impact on capital, including DTAs (which follow the treatment of section 6.4.4) and defined benefit pension schemes.
- 555. The leverage ratio will be reported following Article 429 of the CRR on a transitional and a fully loaded basis for every year of the exercise. Banks should assume that the exposure for the computation of the leverage ratio remains constant.
- 556. Banks shall report their respective Pillar 2 requirement (P2R) brought into force and applicable as of 31 December 2024 and the restated amounts as of 31 December 2024 under CRR3. This requirement will be kept constant in the stress test horizon (2025, 2026 and 2027) for both the baseline and adverse scenario. In the case where a P2R decision was taken and communicated to the bank in the course of 2024 but it is first applicable as of 1 January 2025, this new P2R should be reported under the year 2025 and kept constant for the remaining years of the stress test horizon (2026 and 2027) for both the baseline and adverse scenario.
- 557. Defined benefit pension schemes: In accordance with the static balance sheet assumption, banks shall disregard the cash flows into and out of the scheme (regardless of whether or not these are contractually agreed), disregard changes to the liability profile (such as any additional

158

⁸⁷ As an exemption to this principle, banks applying hyperinflation accounting following IAS 29 as of 31/12/2024 should also include this effect symmetrically in the P&L and OCI of their scenario projections.

⁸⁸ This approximation is solely based on the effect of the transitional provisions, which may also affect the AT1 and the T2 shortfall. It does not take into account potential implications from the dynamic computation of the threshold for deductions or other minor effects.



accrual or the maturing of the scheme) and disregard any asset rebalancing or planned changes to the asset allocation. This allows the market risk stresses related to the macroeconomic scenarios to be applied to the assets and liabilities on 31 December 2024 as if they were an instantaneous shock. As specified in paragraph 213, this needs to be applied only for the adverse macroeconomic scenario (including the market risk factors). The actuarial gain/loss shall then be apportioned to the first year, as described in the market risk methodology. The projected impact on OCI and pension assets shall be reported by all banks as a memorandum item on the market risk summary template (CSV_MR_SUM) and shall be included in the stress test projections in the following way:

- No impact is assumed under the baseline scenario.
- For the adverse scenario, the projected OCI impact before tax shall be reported on the
 market risk summary template (CSV_MR_SUM). A positive value corresponds to a net gain
 arising from defined benefit pension assets and liabilities, while a negative value
 corresponds to a loss. Banks are required to describe in the explanatory note the approach
 followed to obtain the gain/loss on defined benefit pension assets and liabilities.
- In addition, banks are required to provide the net defined benefit pension fund assets as per Article 4(1)(109) of the CRR at the reference date and the projected change of this item under the adverse scenario.
- No netting between the OCI impact and the change in pension assets shall be assumed in the reporting of the impact on CSV_MR_SUM. Effects arising, e.g. from offsetting OCI gains by increases in deductions, are calculated in CSV_CAP.
- Tax assumptions are applied on the capital template CSV_CAP in line with section 6.4.4.
- 558. AT1 and Tier 2 instruments eligible as regulatory capital under the CRR/CRD provisions and that may convert into CET1 or are written down upon a trigger event are reported as a separate memorandum item. If the conversion trigger is effectively above the bank's CET1 ratio in the adverse scenario, another separate memorandum item collects this information. However, the resulting impact in CET1 capital is not taken into account for the computation of capital ratios.
- 559. Banks are required to deduct from CET1 capital the expected applicable amount of insufficient coverage for non-performing exposures as per Art. 36(1) point (m) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 ("NPL calendar"). Additional data will be collected in the CSV_CR_NPL template regarding the exposures subject to the NPL calendar. The template guidance includes specific instructions for the report of this information.
- 560. In the scope for the determination of the insufficient coverage described in paragraph 559 shall be the loans that were originated or modified, in a way that increases the institution's exposure to the obligor, between 26 April 2019 and 31 December 2024 or replaced during the stress test horizon to comply with the static balance-sheet assumption (henceforward 'newly



originated loans') and are subsequently classified as S3. The applicable amount of insufficient coverage is determined according to Art. 47c and 469a of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013. Banks shall calculate the part of the newly originated loans that will become S3 during the first year of the projection in line with the estimated transition rates (TR1-3 or TR2-3).⁸⁹ No forbearance measures shall be assumed during the stress test horizon.

561. Banks shall calculate for the exposures in the scope of the NPL calendar as per paragraph 560 the total provisions and adjustments or deductions corresponding to those individual exposures. ⁹⁰ The CET1 deduction at the aggregate level is determined based on the shortfall between the sum of the minimum coverage requirements by exposure and the sum of capped individual provisions and adjustments or deductions. ⁹¹ The total provisions and IRB shortfall deduction during the stress test horizon shall be in line with the respective estimated loss rates and regulatory expected losses.

-

⁸⁹ For newly originated loans that will become S3 during the first year of the projection, unsecured loans will be subject to a minimum coverage of 35% by end-2027 (third year as NPE).

⁹⁰ Specific credit adjustments, additional valuation adjustments, other own funds reductions, IRB shortfall (with the absolute value attributable to each non-performing exposure to be determined in line with Art. 47c (1b, iv) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013), discount at purchase and partial write-offs. Only specific credit risk adjustments, additional valuation adjustments and IRB shortfall are expected to change during the projected period.

 $^{^{91}}$ Capped by the minimum coverage requirement at an exposure level.



Annex I: Sample of banks

Table 18: Sample of banks⁹²

Country	Bank name
AT	Erste Group Bank AG
	Raiffeisen Bank International AG
BE	Belfius Banque SA
	KBC Group NV
DE	Bayerische Landesbank
	Citigroup Global Markets Europe AG
	COMMERZBANK Aktiengesellschaft
	Deutsche Bank AG
	DZ BANK AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank
	Goldman Sachs Bank Europe SE
	J.P. Morgan SE
	Landesbank Baden-Württemberg
	Landesbank Hessen-Thüringen Girozentrale
	Morgan Stanley Europe Holding SE (**)
	Norddeutsche Landesbank – Girozentrale (**)
	Volkswagen Financial Services AG
DK	Danske Bank
	Jyske Bank

⁹² Due to specific business models, the sample of banks excludes the following banks which according to their total assets would have been included (country code of the bank is in brackets): AB Svensk Exportkredit (SE), BNG Bank N.V. (NL), Bpifrance (FR), DekaBank Deutsche Girozentrale (DE), Kommuninvest Grupp (SE), Nederlandse Waterschapsbank N.V. (NL), SFIL S.A. (FR). SPAREBANK 1 SR-BANK ASA (NO) and MBH bankcsoport (HU) have been excluded from the sample because of their size (the two banks' total assets amount to around EUR 30bn). Eurobank Ergasias Services and Holdings S.A. (GR) has been excluded from the sample because of major acquisition. Banks marked with two asterisks (**) are subject to additional proportionality elements as per paragraph 9 and Annex IX.



Country	Bank name	
	Nykredit Realkredit	
ES	Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria S.A.	
	Banco de Sabadell S.A.	
	Banco Santander S.A.	
	Bankinter, S.A. (**)	
	CaixaBank, S.A.	
	Unicaja Banco, S.A. (**)	
FI	Nordea Bank Abp	
	OP Osuuskunta (**)	
FR	BNP Paribas S.A.	
	BofA Securities Europe SA	
	Confédération Nationale du Crédit Mutuel	
	Groupe BPCE	
	Groupe Crédit Agricole	
	HSBC Continental Europe	
	La Banque Postale	
	Société Générale S.A.	
GR	ALPHA SERVICES & HOLDINGS S.A. (**)	
	National Bank of Greece S.A. (**)	
	Piraeus Financial Holdings S.A. (**)	
HU	OTP Bank Nyrt.	
IE	AIB Group plc (**)	
	Bank of America Europe Designated Activity Company	
	Bank of Ireland Group plc (**)	
	Barclays Bank Ireland plc	
		162



Country	Bank name
	Citibank Europe plc
IT	Banca Monte dei Paschi di Siena S.p.A. (**)
	Banco BPM S.p.A.
	BPER Banca S.p.A.
	ICCREA Banca S.p.A. – Istituto Centrale del Credito Cooperativo
	Intesa Sanpaolo S.p.A.
	UniCredit S.p.a.
NL	ABN AMRO Bank N.V.
	Coöperatieve Rabobank U.A.
	ING Groep N.V.
NO	DNB Bank Group
PL	Bank Polska Kasa Opieki SA
	Powszechna Kasa Oszczednosci Bank Polski SA
PT	Banco Comercial Português, SA (**)
	Caixa Geral de Depósitos, SA (**)
RO	Banca Transilvania (**)
SE	Länsförsäkringar Bank AB (publ)
	SBAB Bank AB – group
	Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken - group
	Svenska Handelsbanken - group
	Swedbank - group



Annex II: Template overview

Table 19: Overview of CSV templates

Section or topic	Template name	Description
N/A	Instructions	Summary of templates and colour code applied
N/A	Input	Input of bank name and relevant countries for credit risk, country/currency pairs for NII and currency breakdown for NFCI and Other remaining administrative expenses, countries for ORAE, and prescribed NFCI growth rate parameters
Credit risk	CSV_CR_SUM	Credit risk — Summary
Credit risk	CSV_CR_SCEN	Credit risk — Scenarios (projection for credit risk losses)
Credit risk	CSV_CR_SECTOR	Credit risk – Exposures by sector of economic activity
Credit risk	CSV_CR_REA	Credit risk — REA
Credit risk	CSV_CR_REA_OF	Credit Risk: S-REA for IRB approach portfolios for output floor calculation
Credit risk	CSV_CR_REA_IRB	REA — IRB approach floor
Credit risk	CSV_CR_REA_STA	REA — STA floor
Credit risk	CSV_CR_SEC_SUM	Securitisations — Summary
Credit risk	CSV_CR_SEC	Securitisations
Credit risk	CSV_CR_NPL	NPL calendar
Market risk, CCR losses and valuation reserves	CSV_MR_SUM	Market risk — Summary
Market risk, CCR losses and valuation reserves	CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL	Market risk — Full revaluation template
Market risk, CCR losses and valuation reserves	CSV_MR_OPT_REVAL	Market risk – Optional full revaluation template
Market risk, CCR losses and valuation reserves	CSV_MR_RESERVE	Market risk — Revaluation of reserves
Market risk, CCR losses and valuation reserves	CSV_MR_PROJ	Market risk — Projection of client revenues of items held with a trading intent and their related hedges
Market risk, CCR losses and valuation reserves	CSV_MR_CCR	Market risk — Counterparty defaults
Market risk, CCR losses and valuation reserves	CSV_MR_REA	REA — Market risk
NII	CSV_NII_SUM	NII — Summary
NII	CSV_NII_CALC	NII — Calculation
NII	CSV_NII_CALC_MATCH_FUNDING	NII — Calculation of match- funding cases
Conduct risk and other operational risks	CSV_OR_GEN	Conduct and other operational risk losses



Section or topic	Template name	Description	
Conduct risk and other operational risks	CSV_OR_CON	Material conduct risk losses	
Non-interest income, expenses and capital	CSV_REA_SUM	REA — Summary	
Non-interest income, expenses and capital	CSV_NFCI_DIV	Development of NFCI, dividend income, and share of the profit or (-) loss of investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates accounted for using the equity method	
Non-interest income, expenses and capital	CSV_ONEOFF	Adjustments for non-recurring events (one-offs)	
Non-interest income, expenses and capital	CSV_MDA	Calculation of potential distribution restriction following breach of the risk-based MDA trigger level	
Non-interest income, expenses and capital	CSV_LR_MDA	Calculation of potential distribution restriction following breach of the leverage ratio MDA trigger level	
Non-interest income, expenses and capital	CSV_CAPMEAS	Major capital measures and material losses	
Non-interest income, expenses and capital	CSV_ORAE	Breakdown of other remaining administrative expenses	
Non-interest income, expenses and capital	CSV_P&L	Development of P&L	
Non-interest income, expenses and capital	CSV_CAP	Capital	

Table 20: Overview of TRA templates

Section or topic	Template name Description		
N/A	TRA_SUM	Summary adverse or baseline scenario (stress test results)	
Credit risk	TRA_CR_STA	Credit risk (loss projection) STA	
Credit risk	TRA_CR_IRB	Credit risk (loss projection) IRB	
Credit risk	TRA_CR_SEC	Credit risk — Securitisations (REA projection)	
Non-interest income, expenses and capital	TRA_REA	REA (projection)	
Non-interest income, expenses and capital	TRA_P&L	P&L (projection)	
Non-interest income, expenses and capital	TRA_CAP	Capital (projection)	
Non-interest income, expenses and capital	TRA_CAPMEAS	Major capital measures and material losses	



Annex III: Summary of information to be provided by banks

562. This annex summarises the requirements given across all sections of the methodological note for information to be provided by banks to their competent authorities as input to the quality assurance process. It differentiates information that is required for all banks and information that are subject to the discretion of the competent authority.

Table 21: Credit risk (excluding securitisations) — information to be provided by banks

Description	Requirement	Reference
Description of the S2 definition applied and of how the low credit risk exemption was implemented. Banks should also comment on how the definitions applied for the stress test differ from internally used criteria for the SICR and in particular the low credit risk exemption	For all banks	Paragraph 56
Description of the internally applied S3 definition and of how this definition differs from the definitions applied in the stress test	For all banks	Paragraph 56
Explanation of possible differences in exposure values when compared to COREP figures	For all banks	Paragraph 57
Detailed information on funded collateral values linked to exposures, including how collateral values have been determined and how often appraisals are refreshed	Subject to the discretion of the competent authority	Paragraph 66
Methodology applied to estimate LGDs in case cure rates are not explicitly calculated	Banks that do not explicitly calculate cure rates	Paragraph 82
Information on the approach used for the restatement of starting point exposures and flows to the exposure classes under CRR3.	For all banks	Paragraph 95
Methodology applied to estimate LGDs for guaranteed exposures	Banks with loans under large-scale or nationwide guarantee schemes where the indirect exposure on the guarantor is significant	Paragraph 110
Details on CRR3 restatement	Banks should provide information on the approach used for the restatement of exposures	Paragraph 112
		166



Description	Requirement	Reference
	and flows to the exposure classes under CRR3	
Methodology employed for deriving point-in-time parameters for all portfolios (both starting values and projections)	For all banks	Paragraph 120
If projections substantially deviate from benchmark figures and/or where deviations are implausible, provide more detailed information on banks' models to estimate credit risk losses including: portfolios to which models apply (mapping to assets classes), approval by supervisors, assumptions made to account for PD and LGD parameter estimation (e.g. cure rates, etc.), technical information on econometric soundness and responsiveness of risk parameters to ensure that a model specification results in a prudent outcome	Subject to the discretion of the competent authority	Paragraph 122
Detailed information on the use and methodology of sector-specific models and the methodology applied to allocate losses across economic sectors	For all banks	Paragraph 123
Detailed information on the use of a mix between internal models and benchmark parameters	For banks that use a mix between internal models and benchmark parameters	Paragraph 126
Methodology applied to estimate the migration effect on point-in-time PD and LGD	For all banks	Paragraph 129
Exposure value by LTV buckets for portfolios under the standardised approach	Subject to the discretion of the competent authority	Paragraph 171

Table 22: Credit risk (securitisations) — qualitative information to be provided by banks

Description	Requirement	Reference
Outline of specific credit risk adjustments' calculation for securitisations	For all banks	Paragraph 180
Description of the mapping of exposures to credit quality steps	For all banks	Paragraph 184, 185, 189



Table 23: Market risk, CCR losses and valuation reserves — qualitative information to be provided by banks

Description	Requirement	Reference
Instruments, portfolio share and approach used for positions for which a full revaluation could not be performed	For all banks	Paragraph 259
Description of major hedging strategies at portfolio level	For all banks	Paragraph 264
Description of FX hedging strategies on amortised cost positions	For all banks	Paragraph 209
Calibration and impact of additional risk factors used for the application of the market risk approach	For all banks	Paragraphs 272
Explanation of the impact of the shock on correlation trading portfolios	Subject to the discretion of the competent authority	Paragraph 262
Description of assumptions used for the projection of client revenues for CA and CA-Adv banks	CA and CA-Adv banks	Paragraph 311
Description of removal of NII components from NTI	For all banks	Paragraph 230
Description of eligible CVA hedges	Subject to the discretion of the competent authority	Paragraph 288
Description of eligible FuVA hedges	Subject to the discretion of the competent authority	Paragraph 289
Description of historical client revenues generation	TE banks	Paragraph 313
Description of the CDS exposures that are part of a hedge accounting or are used for credit mitigation purposes on CCR exposures	For all banks	Paragraph 322
Description and justification of actions which have been carried out to appropriately identify and include basis risk for the application of the market risk approach	For all banks	Paragraph 259



Table 24: NII — qualitative information to be provided by banks

Description	Requirement	Reference
Explanation of legally mandated restrictions to pass through mechanisms	For all banks that report legally mandated restrictions	Paragraphs 424, 426
Information on the accounting framework applied to hedging and details of the hedging relationships	For all banks	Section 4.3.6
Evidence on the income on non-performing exposures reported in 2024, which will be the basis for the calculation of the cap to interest income from NPEs	For all banks	Paragraph 405
Description of the methodology employed for splitting margin and reference rate component	Subject to the discretion of the competent authority	Paragraph 378
Information on the credit ratings in scope and the selection process	Subject to the discretion of the competent authority	Paragraph 422
Information on the standing accounting practice applicable to the interest accrued on non-performing exposures	Subject to the discretion of the competent authority	Paragraph 406
Information on portfolios where no instruments were originated in 2024, but with total volume at the cut-off date greater that zero.	For all banks with respective portfolios	Paragraph 409
Information on the impact of non-linear derivatives components and embedded derivatives on NII, in particular where those non-linearities induce violations of the intertemporal consistency and the NII consistency equations (Annex VIII). Banks should also provide information on the calculations underpinning the projections of margins and the reporting of the non-linear components.	For all banks with respective instruments	Paragraph 417
Supporting evidence for the classification of deposits reported as redeemable at notice in FINREP as sight deposits.	For all banks with respective instruments	Paragraph 363



Table 25: Conduct risk and other operational risk — qualitative information to be provided by banks

Description	Requirement	Reference
Qualitative and quantitative information that supports banks' projections of losses arising from each material conduct risk event reported individually including the identification of a range of outcomes and assigned probabilities	For all banks	Paragraphs 456, 466
Information on the internal models used for projecting losses and REA including the scope of application	Subject to the discretion of the competent authority	Paragraph 478

Table 26: Non-interest income, expenses and capital — qualitative information to be provided by banks

Description	Requirement	Reference
Mapping of national accounting framework to IFRS	For all banks applying nGAAP	Paragraph 484
Additional information on the approach followed/internal models used when projecting P&L items	For all banks	Paragraph 486
P&L items affected by mergers and acquisitions	For all banks	Paragraph 490
Quantitative description of the evolution of contributions to the building-up of national DGSs between 2024 and 2027	For all banks subject to contributions to the building-up of a national DGS	Paragraph 502
List and background information on non- recurring events ('one-off events')	For all banks requesting one-off adjustments	Paragraph 505
References to publicly declared dividend policies	For all banks	Paragraph 518
Evidence that the bank can deviate from applying the same pay-out ratio as reported in the baseline scenario for the respective profitmaking year	When no dividend policy is available or documented	Paragraph 520
Documentation underlying the distribution reductions under Article 141(3) of the CRD	For banks failing to meet or exceed their combined buffer requirement	Paragraph 522
. •	or exceed their combined buffer	Paragraph 522



Description	Requirement	Reference
Documentation underlying the distribution reductions under Article 141c of the CRD	For banks failing to meet or exceed their GSII buffer requirement	Paragraph 522 and 523
Explanation of approach followed when calculating tax expenses	For all banks	Paragraph 531
Evidence of the possibility to use loss carryforwards to offset taxable amount without the creation of DTAs	For banks using loss carryforwards without creation or use of DTAs	Paragraph 531
Details on deferred tax arising from temporary differences	For all banks	Paragraph 532
Information on the reporting of AT1 and T2 coupons in P&L (following FINREP)	For all banks	Paragraph 549
Explanation on the approach followed to obtain the gain/loss on defined benefit pension assets and liabilities (OCI impact)	For all banks	Paragraph 557
Detailed information on the NPL calendar	Subject to the discretion of the competent authority	Paragraph 559



Annex IV: Summary of key constraints and other quantitative requirements

563. This annex provides a summary of key constraints, i.e. caps and floors, and other quantitative requirements that need to be met by banks as a minimum for the correct application of the common methodology, and that will be assessed by competent authorities. In addition, the tables indicate which constraints are already implemented in the common templates. The annex solely serves as a summary of information elsewhere in the methodological note and does not constitute additional requirements for banks.

Table 27: Credit risk (excluding securitisations) — key constraints and quantitative requirements

Description	Implementation in templates	Reference
No release of accumulated provisions for any given S3 exposure for any year of the scenario	No	Paragraph 150
No reduction in the Stock of Provisions for S3 exposure existing as of 31 December 2024 (old S3)	CSV_CR_SCEN	Box 9
No workout or cure of S3 assets is assumed	No	Paragraph 33
At the exposure level, funded collateral cannot be higher than the respective exposure	No	Paragraph 66
Total IRB risk exposure amount for the calculation of UTREA cannot decrease over the time horizon for both scenarios	CSV_REA_IRB	Paragraph 161
Total STA risk exposure amount for the calculation of UTREA cannot decrease over the time horizon for both scenarios	CSV_REA_STA	Paragraph 161
Exposure value for the calculation of risk exposure amounts is not affected by market value fluctuations	No	Paragraph 93
Prescribed formula to calculate provisions for existing S1 exposures	CSV_CR_SCEN	Box 5
Prescribed formula to calculate provisions for new S1 exposures	CSV_CR_SCEN	Box 4
Prescribed formula to calculate provisions for new S2 exposures	CSV_CR_SCEN	Box 6
Prescribed formula to calculate provisions for existing S2 exposures	CSV_CR_SCEN	Box 7
Prescribed formula to calculate provisions for new S3 exposures	CSV_CR_SCEN	Box 8



Description	Implementation in templates	Reference
Prescribed formula to calculate provisions for existing S3 exposures	CSV_CR_SCEN	Box 9
Prescribed formula to calculate the development of the stock of provisions of S1, S2 and S3 assets	CSV_CR_SCEN	Box 3
Prescribed formula to calculate REA on defaulted assets	No	Box 10

Table 28: Credit risk (securitisations) — key constraints and quantitative requirements

Description	Implementation in templates	Reference
Specific credit risk adjustments will be subtracted from the exposure to be risk-weighted	CSV_CR_SEC	Paragraph 179, 192
Total SEC-IRBA risk exposure amount cannot decrease compared with the starting point over the time horizon for both scenarios	CSV_CR_SEC_SUM	Paragraph 195
Total SEC-SA risk exposure amount cannot decrease compared with the starting point over the time horizon for both scenarios	CSV_CR_SEC_SUM	Paragraph 195
Total SEC-ERBA risk exposure amount cannot decrease compared with the starting point over the time horizon for both scenarios	CSV_CR_SEC_SUM	Paragraph 195
Total SEC-IAA risk exposure amount cannot decrease compared with the starting point over the time horizon for both scenarios	CSV_CR_SEC_SUM	Paragraph 195
When the SEC-IRBA is not allowed for the calculation of the STREA of the bank, the REA of exposures that are risk weighted using the SEC-IRBA shall increase with the same proportion as the REA of the same exposures when the SEC-IRBA is used for the calculation of UTREA.	CSV_CR_SEC_SUM	Box 2
When the IAA is not allowed for the calculation of the STREA of the bank, the REA of exposures that are risk weighted using the IAA shall increase with the same proportion as the REA of the same exposures when the IAA is used for the calculation of UTREA	CSV_CR_SEC_SUM	Box 2



Table 29: Market risk, counterparty credit risk losses and valuation reserves — key constraints and quantitative requirements

Description	Implementation in templates	Reference
No impact under the baseline scenario	All market risk templates	Paragraph 249
Computation of baseline NTI	CSV_MR_PROJ	Paragraph 314
Treatment of items held with a trading intent and their related economic hedges for CA and CA-adv banks	CSV_MR_SUM	Paragraph 256
Treatment of items held with a trading intent and their related economic hedges for TE banks	CSV_MR_SUM	Paragraph 257
Adverse scenario client revenues capped at 80% of average client revenues over the past three years.	CSV_MR_PROJ	Paragraph 312
NII to be excluded from NTI	No	Paragraph 229
NTI in the 2026 and 2027 adverse equal to capped client revenues	CSV_MR_SUM	Paragraph 316
Prescribed REA increase for VaR, sVaR and APR	CSV_MR_REA	Paragraph 337 and Table 14
Floor for REA increase for CVA, IRC (floored at the relative increase of REA in the IRB portfolio in the adverse scenario)	CSV_MR_REA	Paragraphs 336 and 344
Identification of the three most vulnerable counterparties based on the external PD. Setting of stressed LGD and the use of stressed exposure without additional collateral for the calculation of counterparty credit losses and the cross default to all exposures for these two counterparties	Yes	Paragraph 322

Table 30: NII — key constraints and quantitative requirements

Description	Implementation in templates	Reference
Nominal net interest income cannot increase over the stress test time horizon under the adverse scenario relative to 2024	CSV_NII_SUM	Paragraph 404
Under the adverse scenario, assumptions cannot lead (at group level) to an increase in the bank's NII compared with the 2024 value before considering the impact of the increase of provisions for non-performing exposures on interest income	CSV_NII_SUM	Paragraph 405
		174



Description	Implementation in templates	Reference
Under the baseline scenario, banks are required to project the interest accrued on non-performing exposures in line with the standing accounting practice. The interest revenue is calculated on the amortised cost (gross carrying amount less credit allowance)	CSV_NII_SUM	Paragraph 406
Banks are required to project income on non-performing exposures on a net basis, i.e. on the value of the exposure net of provisions	CSV_NII_CALC and CSV_NII_SUM	Paragraph 407
Under the baseline scenario, the margin component of the EIR of repriced liabilities will increase equal to a proportion of the increase in the sovereign bond spread of the country of exposure	No	Paragraph 422
Under the adverse scenario, the margin component of the EIR of repriced liabilities will increase equal to a proportion of the higher of the increase in the sovereign spreads of the country of exposure and the impact of the idiosyncratic component shock	No	Paragraph 422
Under both the baseline and the adverse scenario, the margin component of the EIR on repriced assets will be equal to the sum of the margin starting value and a proportion of the change in the sovereign bond spread in the country of exposure	No	Paragraph 425
Reference rate projections shall be consistent with the macroeconomic scenarios for risk-free yield curves	No	Paragraph 351
Under the static balance sheet assumption non-performing exposures will increase at the expense of performing exposures along the time horizon of the exercise	CSV_NII_SUM, CSV_NII_CALC	Paragraphs 368
Increase of non-performing exposures and provisions in NII is aligned with the development of non-performing exposures assets in the credit risk templates	CSV_NII_SUM, CSV_NII_CALC and CSV_CR_SCEN	Section 4.3.4
Reported EIRs for existing and maturing portfolios have to fulfil the requirements of intertemporal consistency	No	Section 4.4.2
NII of instruments held for trading net of economic hedges shall be equal, for each year of the baseline and adverse scenarios, to the average income over the period 2022-2024. Banks should report the historical numbers on which the calculation is based.	CSV_NII_SUM	Section 4.5



Table 31: Conduct risk and other operational risk — key constraints and quantitative requirements

Description	Implementation in templates	Reference
Projections of losses from new non-material conduct risk events are subject to a minimum overall 3-year floor, computed in the baseline scenario as 3 times the average of the historical conduct risk losses reported by the bank during the 2020-2024 period; the average is multiplied by 0.9 under the baseline scenario and by 1.4 under the adverse	CSV_OR_GEN	Paragraphs 468, 469
Projections of conduct losses connected to material conduct risk events are subject to a floor in the quality assurance process, i.e. banks that submit projections which are lower than the floor are required to justify their projections to their competent authority	CSV_OR_GEN	Paragraph 470
Projections of losses due to other operational risks are subject to a minimum overall 3-year floor, computed in the baseline scenario as 3 times the average of the other historical operational risk losses reported by the bank during the 2020-2024 period; the average is multiplied by 1 under the baseline scenario and by 1.3 under the adverse	CSV_OR_GEN	Paragraph 474
In the absence of relevant historical losses and/or projections, overall operational risk loss projections, aggregate for the 3 years of the exercise, will be calculated as a function of the relevant indicator (6% of the RI and 15% respectively in the baseline and adverse scenarios)	CSV_OR_GEN	Paragraph 475
Total capital requirements for operational risk in each year of the projection horizon in both scenarios shall stay constant and equal to the total restated capital requirements according to the CRR3 in the starting point (31 December 2024), or to the total transitional capital requirements in the starting point if a bank applies the Alternative Standardised Approach according to CRR3 Art. 314 (3 and 4).	CSV_OR_GEN	Paragraph 478



Table 32: Non-interest income, expenses and capital — key constraints and quantitative requirements

Description	Implementation in templates	Reference
Prescribed caps for dividend income and share of the profit of investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates outside the scope of consolidation	CSV_NFCI_DIV	Paragraphs 495, 499, 500
Prescribed growth rate parameters for NFCI and cap and floors (including FX)	CSV_NFCI_DIV	Paragraphs 495, 496, and 497
Prescribed floor/cap for other remaining administrative expenses (including FX effects)	CSV_P&L	Paragraphs 502
Floor/cap for remaining other operating expenses, depreciation and other provisions or reversal of provisions, other operating income (excluding leasing income) and expenses	No	Paragraphs 502, 539
Limitation of the number of one-off adjustments and permitted as well as excluded cases	CSV_ONEOFF	Paragraphs 505, 512
Prescribed threshold for recognition of submitted one-off adjustments	CSV_ONEOFF	Paragraph 509
Prescribed floor for dividend payments and link between the baseline and adverse scenario	No	Paragraphs 520, 518
Prescribed approach for distribution restrictions under Article 141(3) of the CRD	CSV_MDA	Paragraph 521
Prescribed approach for distribution restrictions under Article 141c of the CRD	CSV_LR_MDA	Paragraph 522
Application of the common tax rate	CSV_CAP	Paragraph 527
Previous stocks of DTAs and DTLs is not recalculated with the common tax rate	No	Paragraph 527
Prescribed floor for DTAs that do not rely on future profitability	CSV_CAP	Paragraph 530
The creation of DTAs that rely on future profitability and do not arise from temporary differences is limited to the offsetting of negative pre-tax profits	No	Paragraph 531
Prescribed floor for DTLs	CSV_CAP	Paragraph 533
No impact for realised gains or losses, gains or losses on derecognition of non-financial assets, modification gains or losses, negative goodwill, impairments on goodwill, foreign exchange effects	CSV_P&L	Paragraphs 536, 537, 538, 540, 541, 542 ,543



Description	Implementation in templates	Reference
Prescribed cap for operating leasing income	No	Paragraph 539
Prescribed approach for gains and losses on defined benefit pension schemes	No	Paragraph 557



Annex V: Overview of the differences between CA, CA-adv and TE banks

Box 32: Overview of the differences between CA banks and trading exemption banks for the full revaluation on all assets and liabilities at partial or full fair value

Category of bank	Baseline	Adverse
		Revaluation of all assets and liabilities with a full or partial fair value
Advanced Comprehensive		Additional detail for the revaluation of linear and optional derivatives to be provided in a dedicated template.
approach banks (CA-Adv)		For items held with a trading intent and their related hedges, impact is capped at a specific floor and also adjusted by a window-dressing coefficient (see Box 13).
Comprehensive		Revaluation of all assets and liabilities with a full or partial fair value
approach banks (CA)	No impact	For items held with a trading intent and their related hedges, impact is capped at a specific floor and also adjusted by a window-dressing coefficient (see Box 13).
		No revaluation of assets and liabilities with a full or partial fair value behaviour for items held with a trading intent and their related hedges.
Tuedius accessation		Impact for items held with a trading intent and their related hedges is assumed equal to zero (see paragraph 257).
trading exemption banks (TE) No impact	Exemption from reporting granular Delta and second other sensitivities in the CSV_MR_FULL_REVAL template. However, TE banks should report the breakdown of the P&L and OCI impact by delta and second order sensitivities for all items NOT held with a trading income	



Annex VI: Requirements for banks applying nGAAP

564. This annex contains additional instructions for banks whose stress test projections are not subject to IFRS 9 assumptions as per paragraph 31. Competent authorities can provide further guidance on country-specific issues.

Credit risk

- 565. Banks which are subject to nGAAP are expected to comply with the requirements of this methodological note as it applies to S1 and S3 exposures. All performing exposures and associated provisions should be mapped to S1 equivalent fields, and all non-performing exposures and associated provisions should be mapped to S3. Thus, no stocks and flows of S2 exposures have to be reported by nGAAP banks.
- 566. Provisions for equivalent stages should be calculated using forward-looking information to ensure comparability and consistency among banks. Notwithstanding this, parameters in combination with the respective formulas prescribed by the methodological note and the templates should lead to accurate stocks of provisions given this information.
- 567. A precise listing of the fields to be populated in the template is provided in Table 33 below.

Table 33: Fields in credit risk templates to be populated by banks applying nGAAPs

	Fields to be populated by nGAAP banks for 2023, 2024 and 2025
Beginning-of-year	Performing exposure (Exp) Of which: S1 (Exp S1)
stocks	Non-performing exposure (Exp S3)
	LTV - \$1
	Funded Collateral (capped) — S1
	LTV – Non-performing exposure
	Funded Collateral (capped) — Non-performing exposure
	Stock of provisions (Prov Stock) Of which: S1 (Prov Stock S1)
	Stock of provisions (Prov Stock) Of which: non-performing assets (Prov Stock S3)
Within year — flows and parameters	TR1-3
	S3 flow (S3 flow)
	LGD1-3
	Cure rate stage 1 to stage 3 assets (Cure1-3)
	LR3-3
End-of-year stocks	Performing exposure (Exp) of which: S1 (Exp S1)
	Non-performing exposure (Exp S3)



Market risk

- 568. The scope of market risk includes all financial instruments for which the scenario would, based on the applicable accounting regulation, result in a value adjustment (except assets valued by the moderate LOCOM categories). Amortised cost items being part of a hedge-accounting relationship are also recognised in the market risk methodology. Financial instruments shall be mapped for reporting purposes to IFRS categories that imply a comparable accounting treatment as under nGAAP. The mapping procedure shall ensure that the balance sheet impact of a financial item under nGAAP is equal to the impact implied by the IFRS classification it is mapped to.
- 569. To calculate CCR losses as described in section 3.7, the largest counterparty exposure must be taken into account irrespective of its accounting treatment.
- 570. Banks shall provide in the accompanying explanatory note a detailed description of the mapping procedure applied to translate nGAAP accounting positions to the IFRS classifications used in the market risk template.

NII

- 571. The definition and the respective mapping of performing and non-performing exposures should be aligned with that in place for credit risk.
- 572. The effective interest rate should be reported by analogy to the approach outlined in section 4. This applies to performing exposures (S1 and S2 exposures) and non-performing (S3) exposures.

NIEC

573. In the cases, where the national accounting framework requires goodwill to be depreciated/amortised over a period of time, the projection of depreciation/amortisation of goodwill in both scenarios should be set to zero. This is equivalent to the treatment of goodwill for IFRS banks (paragraph 541 of the EBA Methodological Note), where no impact should be assumed for impairments on goodwill on non-financial assets (i.e. the P&L contribution should be set to zero).



Annex VII: Exposure by ETV bucket for STA portfolios

Table 34: Treatment of exposures secured by mortgages on immovable property

Type of collateral	Type of exposure	ETV ⁹³	Exposure value			
			2024	2025	2026	2027
	non-IPRE	<45%				
		45% ≤ ETV <				
		55%				
		55% ≤ ETV <				
		100%				
		<40%				
		40% ≤ ETV <				
		50%				
Residential		50% ≤ ETV <				
		60%				
	IPRE	60% ≤ ETV <				
	IPKE	80%				
		80% ≤ ETV <				
		90%				
		90% ≤ ETV <				
		100%				
		≥100%				
		<45%				
		45% ≤ ETV <				
	non-IPRE	55%				
		55% ≤ ETV <				
		100%				
Commercial		<50%				
		50% ≤ ETV <				
	IDDE	60%				
	IPRE	60% ≤ ETV <	-		-	
		80%				
		≥80%				
No longer secured by immovable property ⁹⁴		-				

⁹³ For non-IPRE exposures, the ETV mentioned in the template represents a proxy of the loan relative to the property value, as used for the assignment of risk weights under CRR Art. 125(1) and 126(1).

 $^{^{94}}$ This field refers to the component of the exposure that is no longer eligible for the application of the CRR preferential treatment due to a decrease in nominal value of the lien on the property.



Annex VIII: Consistent reporting of NII variables at portfolio level

Initial State Data:

The following initial state variables are to be reported in the template by the bank:

$$Vol_{total,j,p}^{end-2024}; Vol_{mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024}; Vol_{mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024};$$

$$EIR_{total,j,p}^{end-2024}; EIR_{mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024}; EIR_{mat\ 2025,0M\leq 1Y,j,p}^{end-2024}; EIR_{mat\ 2025,1Y<0M\leq 2Y,j,p}^{end-2024}; EIR_{mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024}; EIR_{mat\ 2027,j,p}^{end-2024}; EIR_{mat\ 2027,$$

where $j \in \{RefRate_{fixed}, RefRate_{floating}, Margin_{fixed}, Margin_{floating}\}$ and p represents a specific country-currency asset-class portfolio.

The following additional initial state variables can be directly derived from the reported template variables for both the margin and the reference rate components for both the margin and the reference rate components:



$$\begin{split} EIR_{maturing}^{end-2024} & 2025,0M > 2Y, j, p \\ & = \left(EIR_{mat}^{end-2024} - EIR_{mat}^{end-2024} - EIR_{mat}^{end-2024} - EIR_{mat}^{end-2024} - EIR_{mat}^{end-2024} - EIR_{mat}^{end-2025,j,p} \times \frac{Vol_{mat}^{end-2024} - Vol_{mat}^{end-2024} - Vol_{mat}^{end-2024} - Vol_{mat}^{end-2024} - EIR_{mat}^{end-2024} - Vol_{mat}^{end-2024} - EIR_{mat}^{end-2024} - EIR$$

Average Original Maturity:

- For the floating rate portfolio, the AOM of the reference rate component corresponds to AOM of the underlying index.
- For the AOM of underlying index relating to the floating rate volume with OM <= 1Y and OM between 1Y and 2Y, the respective values in the EBA EU-wide stress test template must be used:
 - O AOM (Mat t1,OM≤1Y, Refrate, Floating)= Col EY
 - O AOM (Mat t1,1Y<OM≤2Y,Refrate, Floating)= Col FB

Average original maturity of instruments not maturing in 2025

$$AOM_{Not-Mat\ 2025j,p} = \left(AOM_{Tot,j,p}^{end-2024} - \frac{vol_{Mat\ 2025j,p}^{end-2024}}{vol_{Tot,j,p}^{end-2024}} xAOM_{Mat\ 2025j,p}^{end-2024}\right) x \frac{vol_{Tot,j,p}^{end-2024}}{\left(vol_{Tot,j,p}^{end-2024} - vol_{Mat\ 2025j,p}\right)} x \frac{vol_{Tot,j,p}^{end-2024}}{\left(vol$$

<u>Average original maturity of instruments maturing in 2025 with average original maturity above 1y</u> and 2y respectively

$$\begin{aligned} & AOM_{Mat\ 2025,0M>1Y,j,p} = \left(AOM_{Mat\ 2025,j,b}^{end-2024} - \\ & AOM_{Mat\ 2025,0M\leq1Y,j,p}^{end-2024} x^{\frac{Vol_{Mat\ 2025,0M\leq1Y,j,p}^{end-2024}}{Vol_{Mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024}} \right) x^{\frac{Vol_{Mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024}}{Vol_{Mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024} - Vol_{Mat\ 2025,0M\leq1Y,j,p}^{end-2024}} \\ & AOM_{Mat\ 2025,0M>2Y,j,p} = \left(AOM_{Mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024} - AOM_{Mat\ 2025,0M\leq1Y,j,p}^{end-2024} x^{\frac{Vol_{Mat\ 2025,0M\leq1Y,j,p}^{end-2024}}{Vol_{Mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024}} - \frac{Vol_{Mat\ 2025,0M\leq1Y,j,p}^{end-2024}}{Vol_{Mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024}} - \frac{Vol_{Mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024}}{Vol_{Mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024}} - \frac{Vol_{Mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024}} - \frac{Vol_{Mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024$$



Average original maturity for performing exposures not maturing until 2026 and 2027 respectively

$$AOM_{Not-Mat\ 2025-2026,j,p} = \left(AOM_{Total\ ,j,p}^{end-2024} - AOM_{Mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024} x \frac{vol_{Mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024}}{vol_{Tot,j,p}^{end-2024}} - AOM_{Mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024} x \frac{vol_{Mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024}}{vol_{Tot,j,p}^{end-2024}} \right) x \frac{vol_{Tot,j,p}^{end-2024}}{vol_{Tot,j,p}^{end-2024} - vol_{Mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024}}, \\ AOM_{Not-Mat\ 2025-2026-2027,j,p} = \left(AOM_{Total\ ,j,p}^{end-2024} - AOM_{Mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024} x \frac{Vol_{Mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024}}{vol_{Tot,j,p}^{end-2024}} - AOM_{Mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024} x \frac{Vol_{Mat\ 2026,j,p}^{end-2024}}{vol_{Tot,j,p}^{end-2024}} - AOM_{Mat\ 2027,j,p}^{end-2024} x \frac{Vol_{Tot\ ,j,p}^{end-2024}}{vol_{Tot\ ,j,p}^{end-2024}} - AOM_{Mat\ 2027,j,p}^{end-2024} x \frac{Vol_{Tot\ ,j,p}^{end-2024}}{vol_{Tot\ ,j,p}^{end-2024}} - AOM_{Mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024} x \frac{Vol_{Tot\ ,j,p}^{end-2024}}{vol_{Tot\ ,j,p}^{end-2024}} - Vol_{Mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024} - Vol_{Mat\ 2025,j,p}^{$$

Scenario evolution of volumes⁹⁵:

$$\begin{aligned} & \textit{Vol}_{\textit{existing},j,p}^{2025} = \textit{Vol}_{\textit{total},j,p}^{\textit{end}-2024} - \textit{Vol}_{\textit{mat}}^{\textit{end}-2024}_{\textit{2025},j,p} \\ & \textit{Vol}_{\textit{maturing},j,p}^{2025} = \textit{Vol}_{\textit{mat}}^{\textit{end}-2024}_{\textit{2025},j,p} \times \textit{APM}_{p} \\ & \textit{Vol}_{\textit{new},j,p}^{2025} = \textit{Vol}_{\textit{mat}}^{\textit{end}-2024}_{\textit{2025},j,p} \times (1 - \textit{APM}_{p}) \\ & \textit{Vol}_{\textit{existing},j,p}^{2026} = \textit{Vol}_{\textit{total},j,p}^{\textit{end}-2024} - \textit{Vol}_{\textit{mat}}^{\textit{end}-2024}_{\textit{2026},j,p} - \textit{Vol}_{\textit{mat}}^{\textit{end}-2024}_{\textit{2025},\textit{OM} \leq 1Y,j,p} \\ & \textit{Vol}_{\textit{maturing},j,p}^{2026} = (\textit{Vol}_{\textit{mat}}^{\textit{end}-2024}_{\textit{2026},j,p} + \textit{Vol}_{\textit{mat}}^{\textit{end}-2024}_{\textit{2025},\textit{OM} \leq 1Y,j,p}) \times \textit{APM}_{p} \\ & \textit{Vol}_{\textit{new},j,p}^{2026} = (\textit{Vol}_{\textit{mat}}^{\textit{end}-2024}_{\textit{2026},j,p} + \textit{Vol}_{\textit{mat}}^{\textit{end}-2024}_{\textit{2025},\textit{OM} \leq 1Y,j,p}) \times (1 - \textit{APM}_{p}) \\ & \textit{Vol}_{\textit{new},j,p}^{2027} = \textit{Vol}_{\textit{end}-2024}^{\textit{end}-2024} - \textit{Vol}_{\textit{mat}}^{\textit{end}-2024}_{\textit{2027},j,p} - \textit{Vol}_{\textit{mat}}^{\textit{end}-2024}_{\textit{2025},\textit{OM} \leq 1Y,j,p} - \textit{Vol}_{\textit{mat}}^{\textit{end}-2024}_{\textit{2025},\textit{1Y} < \textit{OM} \leq 2Y,j,p} \\ & \textit{Vol}_{\textit{maturing},j,p}^{2027} = (\textit{Vol}_{\textit{end}-2024}^{\textit{2024}} - \textit{Vol}_{\textit{end}-2024}^{\textit{2025},\textit{OM} \leq 1Y,j,p} + \textit{Vol}_{\textit{end}-2024}^{\textit{2024}}_{\textit{Not} 2025,\textit{1Y} < \textit{OM} \leq 2Y,j,p}) \times \textit{APM}_{p} \\ & \textit{Vol}_{\textit{new},j,p}^{2027} = (\textit{Vol}_{\textit{end}-2024}^{\textit{2024}} + \textit{Vol}_{\textit{end}-2024}^{\textit{2024}}_{\textit{Not} 2025,\textit{OM} \leq 1Y,j,p} + \textit{Vol}_{\textit{end}-2024}^{\textit{2024}}_{\textit{2025},\textit{1Y} \leq \textit{OM} < 2Y,j,p}) \times (1 - \textit{APM}_{p}) \\ & \textit{Vol}_{\textit{new},j,p}^{2027} = (\textit{Vol}_{\textit{end}-2024}^{\textit{2024}} + \textit{Vol}_{\textit{end}-2024}^{\textit{2025},\textit{OM} \leq 1Y,j,p} + \textit{Vol}_{\textit{end}-2024}^{\textit{2025},\textit{OM} < 2Y,j,p}) \times (1 - \textit{APM}_{p}) \\ & \textit{Vol}_{\textit{new},j,p}^{2027} = (\textit{Vol}_{\textit{end}-2024}^{\textit{2024}} + \textit{Vol}_{\textit{end}-2025,\textit{OM} \leq 1Y,j,p} + \textit{Vol}_{\textit{end}-2024}^{\textit{2025},\textit{OM} < 2Y,j,p}) \times (1 - \textit{APM}_{p}) \\ & \textit{Vol}_{\textit{new},j,p}^{2027} = (\textit{Vol}_{\textit{end}-2024}^{\textit{2024}} + \textit{Vol}_{\textit{end}-2025,\textit{OM} < 1Y,j,p} + \textit{Vol}_{\textit{end}-2024}^{\textit{2024},\textit{OM} < 2Y,j,p}) \times (1 - \textit{APM}_{p}) \\ \\ & \textit{Vol}_{\textit{end},j,p}^{2027} = (\textit{Vol}_{\textit{end}-2024}^{\textit{2024}} + \textit{Vo$$

Note: The Average Point of Maturity (APM) must be equal the methodologically prescribed values.

Scenario evolution of EIR for margin component⁹⁶

$$Margin_{existing,j,p}^{2025} = Margin_{non-mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024}$$
 $Margin_{maturing,j,p}^{2025} = Margin_{mat\ 2025,j,p}^{end-2024}$

⁹⁵ APM for the margin and reference rate components of fixed rate portfolios are the same. The margin and reference rate component of floating rate portfolios have different APM, with the exemption of Derivatives. Floating rate Derivatives portfolios have the same APM for the margin and reference rate.

⁹⁶ For the calculation of $\Delta Margin_{j,p}^t$ the centralised tool implementation implements a simplification due to the $Margin_{maturing,t,j,p}^{end-2024}$, cancelling out in these formulas.



$$\boldsymbol{Margin_{new,j,p}^{2025}} = \boldsymbol{Margin_{mat\,2025,j,p}^{end-2024}} + \Delta \boldsymbol{Margin_{j,p}^{2025}}$$

$Margin_{existing,j,p}^{2026}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \textit{Margin}_{existing,j,p}^{2026} \\ &= \textit{Margin}_{non-mat\ 2025-2026,j,p}^{end-2024} \times \frac{\textit{Vol}_{not-mat\ 2025-2026,j,p}^{end-2024}}{\textit{Vol}_{ex,j,p}^{2026}} \end{aligned}$$

$$+\left(Margin_{mat\ 2025,OM>1Y,j,p}^{end-2024}+\Delta Margin_{j,p}^{2025}\right)\times\frac{Vol_{mat\ 2025,OM>1Y,j,p}^{end-2024}}{Vol_{ex,j,p}^{2026}}$$

$Margin^{2026}_{maturing,j,p}$

$$= Margin_{mat\ 2026,j,p}^{end-2024} \times \frac{Vol_{mat\ 2026,j,p}^{end-2024}}{Vol_{mat\ j,p}^{2026} + Vol_{new,j,p}^{2026}}$$

$$+\left(Margin_{mat\ 2025,OM\leq 1Y,j,p}^{end-2024}+\Delta Margin_{j,p}^{2025}\right)\times \frac{Vol_{mat\ 2023,OM\leq 1Y,j,p}^{end-2024}}{Vol_{mat,j,p}^{2026}+Vol_{new,j,p}^{2026}}$$

$Margin_{new,i,p}^{2026}$

$$= \left(Margin_{mat\ 2026,j,p}^{end-2024} + \Delta Margin_{j,p}^{2026}\right) \times \frac{Vol_{mat\ 2026,j,p}^{end-2024}}{Vol_{mat\ j,p}^{2026} + Vol_{new,j,p}^{2026}}$$

$$+\left(Margin_{mat\ 2025,OM\leq 1Y,j,p}^{end-2024}+\Delta Margin_{j,p}^{2026}\right)\times \frac{Vol_{mat\ 2025,OM\leq 1Y,j,p}^{end-2024}}{Vol_{mat\ 2025,OM\leq 1Y,j,p}^{2026}+Vol_{new,j,p}^{2026}}$$

Margin²⁰²⁷

$$\begin{aligned} &\textit{Margin}_{\textit{existing,j,p}}^{\textit{margin}_{\textit{existing,j,p}}} \\ &= \textit{Margin}_{\textit{non-mat}}^{\textit{end-2024}} \underbrace{ \frac{\textit{Vol}_{\textit{non-mat}}^{\textit{end-2024}} 2025 - 2026 - 2027, \textit{j,p}}{\textit{Vol}_{\textit{ex,j,p}}^{\textit{2027}}} \\ &\frac{\textit{Vol}_{\textit{ex,j,p}}^{\textit{2027}}}{\textit{Vol}_{\textit{ex,j,p}}^{\textit{2027}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \left(Margin_{mat\ 2025,OM>2Y,j,p}^{end-2024} + \Delta Margin_{j,p}^{2025} \right) \times \frac{Vol_{ex,j,p}^{end-2024}}{Vol_{ex,j,p}^{2027}}$$

$$+ \left(Margin_{mat\ 2026,j,p}^{end-2024} + \Delta Margin_{j,p}^{2026} \right) \times \frac{Vol_{mat\ 2026,j,p}^{end-2024}}{Vol_{ex,j,p}^{2027}}$$

$Margin_{maturing,j,p}^{2027}$

$$= Margin_{mat\ 2027,j,p}^{end-2024} \times \frac{Vol_{mat\ 2027,j,p}^{end-2024}}{Vol_{mat\ j,p}^{2027} + Vol_{new,j,p}^{2027}}$$

$$+\left(Margin_{mat\ 2025,0M\leq 1Y,j,p}^{end-2024}+\Delta Margin_{j,p}^{2026}\right)\times\frac{Vol_{mat\ 2025,0M\leq 1Y,j,p}^{end-2024}}{Vol_{mat\ 2025,0M\leq 1Y,j,p}^{2027}+Vol_{new,j,p}^{2027}}$$

$$+ \left(Margin_{mat\ 2025,OM \le 1Y,j,p}^{end-2024} + \Delta Margin_{j,p}^{2026} \right) \times \frac{Vol_{mat\ 2025,OM \le 1Y,j,p}^{end-2024}}{Vol_{mat\ 2025,OM \le 1Y,j,p}^{end-2024} + \left(Margin_{mat\ 2025,1Y < OM \le 2Y,j,p}^{end-2024} + \Delta Margin_{j,p}^{2025} \right) \times \frac{Vol_{mat\ 2025,1Y < OM \le 2Y,j,p}^{end-2024}}{Vol_{mat\ 2025,1Y < OM \le 2Y,j,p}^{end-2024} + Vol_{new,j,p}^{2027}}$$

$Margin_{new,i,p}^{2027}$

$$= \left(Margin_{mat\ 2027,j,p}^{end-2024} + \Delta Margin_{j,p}^{2027}\right) \times \frac{Vol_{mat\ 2027,j,p}^{end\ 2027,j,p}}{Vol_{mat\ j,p}^{2027} + Vol_{new\ j,p}^{2027}}$$

+
$$\left(Margin_{mat\ 2025,OM \le 1Y,j,p}^{end-2024} + \Delta Margin_{j,p}^{2027}\right) \times \frac{Vol_{mat\ 2025,OM \le 1Y,j,p}^{end-2024}}{Vol_{mat\ 2025,OM \le 1Y,j,p}^{2027}}$$

$$\begin{split} & = \left(Margin^{end-2024}_{mat\ 2027,j,p} + \Delta Margin^{2027}_{j,p} \right) \times \frac{Vol^{end-2024}_{mat\ 2027,j,p}}{Vol^{2027}_{mat,j,p} + Vol^{2027}_{new,j,p}} \\ & + \left(Margin^{end-2024}_{mat\ 2025,OM \le 1Y,j,p} + \Delta Margin^{2027}_{j,p} \right) \times \frac{Vol^{end-2024}_{mat\ 2025,OM \le 1Y,j,p}}{Vol^{2027}_{mat\ j,p} + Vol^{2027}_{new,j,p}} \\ & + \left(Margin^{end-2024}_{mat\ 2025,1Y < OM \le 2Y,j,p} + \Delta Margin^{2027}_{j,p} \right) \times \frac{Vol^{end-20242}_{mat\ 2025,1Y < OM \le 2Y,j,p}}{Vol^{2027}_{mat\ j,p} + Vol^{2027}_{new,j,p}} \end{split}$$



Scenario evolution of EIR reference rate component



$$\begin{split} \textit{RefRate}^{2027}_{\textit{AOM}} &= \textit{RefRate}^{end-2024}_{\textit{Mat}} x \frac{\textit{Vol}^{end-2027}_{\textit{Mat},j,p} + \textit{Vol}^{end-2027}_{\textit{New},j,p}}{\textit{Vol}^{end-2024}_{\textit{Mat}} x 2027,j,p} + \\ \textit{RefRate}^{2026}_{\textit{AOM}} &= x \frac{\textit{Vol}^{end-2024}_{\textit{Mat},j,p} + \textit{Vol}^{end-2024}_{\textit{New},j,p}}{\textit{Vol}^{end-2024}_{\textit{Mat},j,p} + \textit{Vol}^{end-2024}_{\textit{New},j,p}} + \\ & \left(\textit{RefRate}^{2025}_{\textit{AOM}} &= x \frac{\textit{Vol}^{end-2024}_{\textit{Mat},j,p} + \textit{Vol}^{2027}_{\textit{New},j,p}}{\textit{Vol}^{end-2024}_{\textit{Mat},j,p} + \textit{Vol}^{end-2024}_{\textit{New},j,p}}, \right. \\ \textit{RefRate}^{2027}_{\textit{New},j,p} &= \textit{RefRate}^{2027}_{\textit{AOM}} &= x \frac{\textit{Vol}^{end-2024}_{\textit{Mat},j,p} + \textit{Vol}^{2027}_{\textit{New},j,p}}{\textit{Vol}^{2027}_{\textit{Mat},j,p} + \textit{Vol}^{2027}_{\textit{New},j,p}} + \\ \textit{RefRate}^{2027}_{\textit{AOM}} &= x \frac{\textit{Vol}^{end-2024}_{\textit{Mat},j,p} + \textit{Vol}^{2027}_{\textit{New},j,p}}{\textit{Vol}^{2027}_{\textit{Mat},j,p} + \textit{Vol}^{2027}_{\textit{New},j,p}} + \\ + & \textit{RefRate}^{2027}_{\textit{AOM}} &= x \frac{\textit{Vol}^{end-2024}_{\textit{Mat},j,p} + \textit{Vol}^{2027}_{\textit{New},j,p}}{\textit{Vol}^{2027}_{\textit{Mat},j,p} + \textit{Vol}^{2027}_{\textit{New},j,p}} \\ + & \textit{RefRate}^{2027}_{\textit{AOM}} &= x \frac{\textit{Vol}^{end-2024}_{\textit{Mat},j,p} + \textit{Vol}^{2027}_{\textit{New},j,p}}{\textit{Vol}^{2027}_{\textit{Mat},j,p} + \textit{Vol}^{2027}_{\textit{New},j,p}} \\ + & \textit{RefRate}^{2027}_{\textit{AOM}} &= x \frac{\textit{Vol}^{end-2024}_{\textit{Mat},j,p} + \textit{Vol}^{2027}_{\textit{New},j,p}}{\textit{Vol}^{2027}_{\textit{Mat},j,p} + \textit{Vol}^{2027}_{\textit{New},j,p}} \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

Definition of RefRate^t_{AOM.i.p}

- RefRate^t_{AOM j=fixed,p}: for fixed rate instruments swap rate with maturity equal to AOM
 (Average original maturity of the maturing portfolio), provided in the macro-economic scenario for the respective year and scenario.
- RefRate^{t,s}_{AOM j=floating,p}: <u>for floating rate instruments the AOM defining the swap rate is the AOM of the underlying index</u>, as highlighted in previous section.
- Interpolation of tenors (maturities): If the swap rate for a given AOM does not exist, then linear interpolation between the closest tenor below and above AOM from scenario is performed, i.e.: $swap rate_{AOM} = swap rate_{x_0} + \frac{swap rate_{x_1} swap rate_{x_0}}{x_{1-x_0}}(x-x_0)$, with x_0 being the closest scenario tenor smaller than AOM and x_1 being the closest scenario tenor larger than AOM.
- If AOM smaller or larger than maturity of any swap rate provided in macro-scenario, the respective swap rate with the smallest or largest maturity in the scenario is used.
- The applicable swap rate for currencies (including "other") reported by the bank but not present in the macro-economic scenario shall be the swap rate Rest of the World "RoW".

Scenario evolution of EIR reference rate component for sight deposits

For each year of the scenario the reference rate for the existing, maturing, new business of sight deposits should follow the below formulas before the application of any floors,

All $RefRate_{j=fixed,p=sight}^{t,before\ floor}$ are defined as: $RefRate_{j=fixed,p=sight}^{t,before\ floor} = SwapRate_{1M,p=sight}^{end-2024} + \alpha\ (SwapRate_{1M}^{t} - SwapRate_{1M}^{end-2024})$



All $RefRate_{j=floating,p=sight}^{t,before\,floor}$ are defined as

$$RefRate_{j=floating,p=sight}^{t,before\ floor} = SwapRate_{AOMindex}^{end-2024} + \alpha\left(SwapRate_{AOMindex}^{t} - SwapRate_{AOMindex}^{end-2024}\right)$$

Where α equals:

- 0.5 for Households Other and Households Legal Floor/Regulated
- 0.75 for Non-Financial Corporations Other and Non-Financial Corporations Legal Floor/Regulated
- 1 for all other sight deposits portfolios

For the Households Other – Sight there is a methodological floor at 0%, which translates in following equations to obtain the applicable Reference Rate:

$$\begin{aligned} & \textit{RefRate}_{j=fixed,p=Households\ Other}^{t} = \textit{Max}(\textit{RefRate}_{j=fixed,p=sight}^{t,before\ floor},0) \\ & \textit{RefRate}_{floating,p=Households\ Other}^{t} = \textit{Max}(\textit{RefRate}_{j=floating,p=sight}^{t,before\ floor},0) \end{aligned}$$

For the Households Legal Floor/Regulated – sight deposits and Non-financial corporations Legal Floor/Regulated- sight deposits, the floors which apply in each year of the baseline and the adverse scenario are either the legal floor applying in 2024 or the outcome of the regulated formula in the corresponding year of the baseline or adverse scenario. Both type of deposits are reported in the same portfolio. The below formulas for the floor and the application of the regulatory formula are applied separately to each of the deposit portfolios of paragraph 395 and paragraph 396. The floor and the regulatory formula outcome are reported by banks in the dedicated cells of the CSV NII SUM template.

```
 \begin{aligned} & \textit{RefRate}_{fixed,p=Households,LegalFloor/Regulated}^{2025,2026,2027} = \textit{Max}(Floor_{j=fixed,p}^t, RefRate_{j=fixed,p=sight}^{t,before\,floor}) \\ & \textit{RefRate}_{floating,p=Households,LegalFloor/Regulated}^{2025,2026,2027} = \textit{Max}(Floor_{j=floating,p}^t, RefRate_{j=floating,p=sight}^{t,before\,floor}) \\ & \textit{RefRate}_{fixed,p=Non-FinCorp\,LegalFloor/Regulated}^{2025,2026,2027} = \textit{Max}(Floor_{fixed,p}^t, RefRate_{j=fixed,p=sight}^{t,before\,floor}) \\ & \textit{RefRate}_{floating,p=Non-FinCorp\,LegalFloor/Regulated}^{2025,2026,2027} = \textit{Max}(Floor_{float,p}^t, RefRate_{j=floating,p=sight}^{t,before\,floor}) \end{aligned}
```

Scenario evolution of reference rate component on embedded derivatives subject to phase-out (Derivatives – Other)

Embedded derivatives are assumed to not produce interest income/expense after they mature. Thus, the formulas for the projection of the reference rate components are adjusted to reflect that, over the scenario, a share of the portfolio will not yield interest income/expense. For the floating rate portfolios, the formulas are adjusted to reflect the non-matured share of the portfolio. To achieve these, the formulas downscale the applicable scenario rate by the ratio of the non-maturing volume to total volume. Upon maturity of instruments the embedded derivative seizes to generate interest and thus, the EIR need to be set to 0%. For floating rate



instruments, the index resets before maturity and thus, only the EIR for the maturing instruments is set at 0.

The volumes used in the downscaling of the reference rate of the floating rate portfolios refer to margin volumes.

Fixed rate portfolios

$$\begin{aligned} & \textit{RefRate}_{\textit{New,j,p}}^{2025} = 0 \\ & \textit{RefRate}_{\textit{New,j,p}}^{2026} = 0 \\ & \textit{RefRate}_{\textit{New,j,p}}^{2027} = 0 \\ & \textit{RefRate}_{\textit{New,j,p}}^{2025} = 0 \\ & \textit{RefRate}_{\textit{AOM}_{\textit{Mat}}^{end-2024} - 2024}^{2025} \\ & \textit{AoM}_{\textit{Mat}}^{end-2024} - 2024 \\ & \textit{RefRate}_{\textit{AOM}_{\textit{Mat}}^{end-2024} - 2024}^{2026} \\ & \textit{RefRate}_{\textit{AOM}_{\textit{Mat}}^{end-2024} - 2024}^{2026} = 0 \\ & \textit{RefRate}_{\textit{AOM}_{\textit{Mat}}^{end-2024} - 2024}^{2026} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Floating rate portfolios

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{RefRate}_{\textit{new,j,p}}^{2025} = \begin{cases} \textit{RefRate}_{\textit{new,j,p}}^{2025} * \frac{\textit{Vol}_{j,p,i=Margin}^{lend-2024} - \textit{Vol}_{\textit{Mat}}^{end-2024}_{2025,j,p,i=Margin}}{\textit{Vol}_{j,p,i=Margin}^{lend-2024}} , \textit{if Vol}_{j,p}^{end-2024} > 0 \\ 0, \textit{if Vol}_{j,p}^{end-2024} \leq 0 \end{cases} \\ \\ \textit{RefRate}_{\textit{AOM}_{\textit{OM}\leq 1Y,j,p}}^{2025} = \begin{cases} \textit{RefRate}_{\textit{AOM}_{\textit{OM}\leq 1Y,j,p}}^{2025} * \frac{\textit{Vol}_{j,p}^{end-2024} - \textit{Vol}_{\textit{Mat}}^{end-2024}_{2025,j,p}}{\textit{Vol}_{j,p}^{end-2024}} , \textit{if Vol}_{j,p}^{end-2024} > 0 \\ 0, \textit{if Vol}_{j,p}^{end-2024} \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$RefRate_{AOM_{OM \leq 1Y,j,p}^{end-2024}}^{2025} = \begin{cases} RefRate_{AOM_{OM \leq 1Y,j,p}^{end-2024}}^{2025} * \frac{Vol_{j,p}^{end-2024} - Vol_{Mat \; 2025,j,p}^{end-2024}}{Vol_{j,p}^{end-2024}}, if \; Vol_{j,p}^{end-2024} > 0 \\ 0, if \; Vol_{j,p}^{end-2024} \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$RefRate_{AOM_{11}^{end-2024} com \leq 2Y,j,p}^{2025} \\ = \begin{cases} RefRate_{AOM_{11}^{end-2024} com \leq 2Y,j,p}^{2025} * \frac{Vol_{j,p,i=Margin}^{end-2024} - Vol_{Mat \, 2025,j,p,i=Margin}^{end-2024}}{Vol_{j,p,i=Margin}^{end-2024}}, if \, Vol_{j,p}^{end-2024} > 0 \\ 0, if \, Vol_{j,p}^{end-2024} \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} RefRate^{2026}_{new,j,p} \\ = \begin{cases} RefRate^{2026}_{new,j,p} * \frac{Vol^{end-2024}_{j,p,i=Margin} - Vol^{end-2024}_{Mat\ 2025,j,p,i=Margin} - Vol^{end-2024}_{Mat\ 2026,j,p,i=Margin}}{Vol^{end-2024}_{j,p,i=Margin}}, & if\ Vol^{end-2024}_{j,p} \\ 0, & if\ Vol^{end-2024}_{j,p} \leq 0 \end{cases} \\ > 0 \end{split}$$

$$Ref Rate_{AOM_{Mat\ 2025,OM \le 1Y,j,p}}^{2026} \\ = \begin{cases} Ref Rate_{AOM_{Mat\ 2025,OM \le 1Y,j,p}}^{2026} * & \frac{Vol_{j,p,i=Margin}^{end-2024} - Vol_{Mat\ 2025,j,p,i=Margin}^{end-2024} - Vol_{Mat\ 2025,j,p,i=Margin}^{end-2024} - Vol_{Mat\ 2025,j,p,i=Margin}^{end-2024} \\ & Vol_{j,p,i=Margin}^{end-2024} \end{cases}, if\ Vol_{j,p,i=Margin}^{end-2024} > 0 \\ & 0, if\ Vol_{j,p}^{end-2024} \le 0 \end{cases}$$



$$\begin{split} RefRate_{AOM_{Mat}2026,j,p}^{2026} &= \begin{cases} RefRate_{AOM_{Mat}2026,j,p}^{2026} &* \frac{Vol_{j,p,i=Margin}^{end-2024} - Vol_{Mat}^{end-2024} - Vol_{Mat}^{end-2024} - Vol_{Mat}^{end-2024} - Vol_{j,p,i=Margin}^{end-2024} - Vol_{Mat}^{end-2024} - Vol_{j,p,i=Margin}^{end-2024} - Vol$$

Notes:

> 0

- $\Delta EIR_{i,p}^t$ is the difference between the EIR in year t of the scenario vs. the end year EIR of 2024.
- $\Delta EIR_{j,p}^t$ in case of margins will be the same across instruments within a given portfolio p.
- $\Delta EIR_{j,p}^t$ in case of reference rates will be the same for volumes with the same average original maturity within a given portfolio p.
- If the equations above are satisfied, the Intertemporal Consistency will also be satisfied.
- The formulas of this Annex apply to all instruments reported in templates CSV_NII_CALC and CSV_NII_CALC_FUNDING_MATCH, except for the following cases:
 - For derivatives instruments, the margin component is calculated by the bank, considering the potential non-linearities in accordance with Section 4.4.2.
 - For instruments reported under the item of paragraph 426 "Regulated deposits placed with the Caisse des depots et consignations: France-EUR- Assets - Loans and advances - Credit Institutions and/or other financial corporations" the reference rate new business is given by the regulated formula reported in the Regulated Sight Deposits table, in CSV_NII_SUM. For other instruments, under paragraph 426, the reference rate component is calculated according to the formulas described in this Annex.
 - For all instruments reported in accordance with paragraphs 424 and 426, the margin component is calculated according to the formulas described in this Annex, but the change in the margin component new business is calculated as difference between the margin new business (2025, 2026 and 2027) and the margin new business 2024 reported by the banks in the "Legally exempted new business" table of CSV_NII_SUM.

Projections of EIR and volumes of NPE

Volumes of NPEs and provisions are projected with formulas which are implemented within the CSV_NII_CALC and CSV_NII_CALC_FUNDING_MATCH templates,

$$\begin{split} &Vol_{j,p}^{t,s,NPE} \\ &= max \big(Vol_{j,p}^{end-2024,NPE}; (Vol_{j,p}^{end-2024,NPE} + Vol_{j,p}^{end-2024,PE}) \times NPE \ gr. \ rate_{j,p}^{t,s} \\ &+ Vol_{j,p}^{end-2024,NPE} \big) \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} &Vol_{j,p}^{t,s,Prov} \\ &= max \big(Vol_{j,p}^{end-2024,Prov}; (Vol_{j,p}^{end-2024,NPE} + Vol_{j,p}^{end-2024,PE}) \times Prov. \, gr. \, rate_{j,p}^{t,s} \\ &+ Vol_{j,p}^{end-2024,Prov} \big) \end{split}$$

The projections of EIR for NPE follow paragraph 413. For portfolio $p, t = \{2025, 2026, 2026\}, s = \{baseline, adverse\}, j = \{fixed, floating\}$, the equation is re-written as follows,

$$EIR_{t,s,j,p}^{NPE} =$$

$$\frac{\sum_{v=2025}^{t=\{2025,2026,206\}} \frac{NII_{v,s,j,p}^{PE}}{Vol_{j,p}^{end-2024,PE}} x \left(\left(Vol_{v,s,j,p}^{NPE} - Vol_{v,s,j,p}^{Prov} \right) - \left(Vol_{v-1,s,j,p}^{NPE} - Vol_{v-1,s,j,p}^{Prov} \right) \right)}{+EIR_{end-2024,j,p}^{NPE} x \left(Vol_{v,s,j,p}^{NPE} - Vol_{end-2024,j,p}^{Prov} - Vol_{end-2024,j,p}^{Prov} \right)}{\left(Vol_{t,s,j,p}^{NPE} - Vol_{t,s,j,p}^{Prov} \right)}$$

To calculate the $EIR_{j}^{v,s,PE} = NII_{v,s,j,p}^{PE} / Vol_{end-2024,j,p}^{PE}$ the intermediate variable $NII_{v,s,j,p}^{PE}$ is calculated as,

$$\begin{split} &NII_{v,s,j,p}^{PE} \\ &= (RefRate_{Existing,j}^{t,w}xVol_{Ex,j,p}^{t,PE} + RefRate_{Maturing,j}^{t,s}xVol_{Mat,j}^{t,PE} + RefRate_{New,j}^{t,s}xVol_{New,j}^{t,PE} \\ &+ Margin_{Existing,j}^{t,s}xVol_{Existing,j}^{t,PE} + Margin_{Matusring,j}^{t,s}xVol_{Maturing,j}^{t,PE} + Margin_{New,j}^{t,PE}xVol_{New,j}^{t,PE}) \end{split}$$

 $EIR_{j}^{v,s,PE}$ is calculated using $Vol_{end-2024,j,p}^{PE}$ to ensure consistency with the income produced by performing exposures $(NII_{v,s,j,p}^{PE})$ which is calculated using the sum of the volumes for the existing, new, maturing business.



Annex IX: Additional proportionality elements for smaller banks

574. In line with paragraph 9, banks may be allowed to apply additional proportionality elements, when interpreting the methodology. Additional proportionality elements of the 2025 EU-wide stress test will be facilitated, in particular, by an extensive use of materiality thresholds. For starting point data and bottom-up projections banks are allowed to apply the following materiality thresholds and simplifications as compared with the general methodology.

Credit risk

- 575. The thresholds for providing the breakdown by country of the counterparty, thereby derogating from paragraph 105, are modified as follows. The breakdown by country of the counterparty will be reported according to a minimum of:
 - 75% of the sum of total exposure;
 - Top 3 countries in terms of total exposure
- 576. The thresholds for the requirement to incorporate the development of FX effects in the projection of credit risk parameters is increased from 5% to 15%, thereby derogating from paragraph 157.
- 577. Eligible banks only need to report securitisation exposures within CSV_CR_SEC_SUM and CSV_CR_SEC and apply the methodology as per section 2.7, if the bank's securitisation REA (or exposures) exceed 10% of total credit risk REA (or credit exposures). Fisk Exposure Amounts (REA) associated to any securitisation held on the balance sheet as of 31 December 2024 will be reported as "other non-credit obligation assets" in CSV_CR_REA_IRB or "other exposures" in CSV_CR_REA_STA, respectively, so that total REA as of 31 December 2024 in CSV_REA_SUM will match total REA reported in COREP. Then, REA associated with securitisations held on the balance sheet as of 31 December 2024 shall be kept constant throughout the exercise. The standardised REA for securitisations held on balance sheet as of 31 December 2024 and which are reported in "other non-credit obligation assets" in CSV_CR_REA_IRB shall also be kept constant throughout the exercise and be reported in the CSV_CR_REA_OF template under STA "other exposures".

⁹⁷ Banks shall calculate both ratios (REA or exposures) using at the denominator the sum of the credit and the securitisation part. When exposures ratios are considered, also the securitisations with zero RWA must be included.



Net interest income

578. The thresholds defined for determining the relevant country currency pairs, thereby derogating from paragraphs 403, are reduced as follows: (i) volume coverage: from 90% to 75%; and (ii) number of country/currency pairs: from 50 to 5. To qualify as 'domestic banks', i.e. limiting the reporting to the domestic country/currency pair and the residual category other/other, the threshold for non-domestic exposures is increased from 10% to 25%.

Operational risk

- 579. For non-material conduct risk losses and other operational risk losses banks need to project losses equal to the floors set out by paragraphs 469 and 474.
- 580. For projecting material conduct risk losses, the banks have to apply either the methodology or, as a simplification, project losses equal to the floor set out by paragraph 470. Only banks that choose to apply the methodology for material conduct risk have to report data in CSV OR_CON as required by the Methodological Note. Banks that apply above simplification should populate sheet CSV OR_GEN RowNum 3, 5, 12, 16, 20, and 21, ColNum 7-12 with zeros.
- 581. For sheet CSV_OR_CON, banks, which choose to project material conduct risk losses equal to the floor set out by paragraph 470 should only fill RowNum 53, ColNum 5-9 and 15-17 of CSV_OR_CON. These values will then serve as an input into RowNum 9, ColNum 1-5 and 10-12 of CSV_OR_GEN. The projections in CSV_OR_CON RowNum 53, ColNum 15-17 should correspond to the values reported in RowNum 11, ColNum 10-12 of CSV_OR_GEN. As the floor for material conduct risk losses only applies in the adverse scenario, no projections are expected for the baseline scenario. In addition, a split between RowNums 53 and 54 in CSV_OR_CON is not necessary.
- 582. If required for QA purposes, in exceptional cases banks can be requested to project and report stress test results at a higher level of granularity than the minimum required as described in this section.



Annex X: Methodology for net fees and commission income projections.

Overview of the approach

- 583. The choice of the model followed a stock-take of supervisory modelling approaches for the projection of NFCI available at national competent authorities (NCAs) and the ECB. The stock-take identified the approach developed by Kok, Mirza and Pancaro (2017) to project NFCI amounts for the EU-wide stress test. 98 The selected model has been used by the ECB as the top-down challenger model during the quality assurance of the EU-wide stress test and it was deemed as the most suitable starting point. The choice of an already developed model was in line with the need to build on established frameworks and existing experiences, avoiding thus duplication of effort.
- 584. Following the selection, the model was validated by a dedicated work-stream composed of experts from the EBA and NCAs. The aim of the validation was to assess the suitability of the selected model for EU-wide stress test purposes and to identify areas for further development. Following the assessment, the model underwent targeted adjustments.
- The overlay takes the form of a "corridor" with a maximum and minimum permissible decrease of cumulative NFCI (cap and floor). The prescribed NFCI growth rate parameters transmitted to banks correspond to the output of the supervisory model after the application of the model overlay.

Supervisory model for NFCI

Data sources and bank sample

586. The dataset relies on various supervisory and private vendor data sources. The bank-level timeseries for NFCI and total assets are sourced from banks' FINREP submissions and are complemented with long-term supervisory data provided by competent authorities or private vendors. Macroeconomic and financial timeseries that serve as explanatory variables are sourced from the EU-wide stress test adverse scenario and historical values are retrieved from institutional data warehouses and financial data providers.⁹⁹

⁹⁸ Kok, C., Mirza, H., & Pancaro, C. (2019). Macro stress testing euro area banks' fees and commissions. Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money, 61, 97-119.

⁹⁹ Among them the ECB, the IMF, and complemented with data licensed from Bloomberg, IHS Markit, and S&P Capital IQ.



587. To calibrate a model that produces projections which are consistent and comparable across firms, the dataset relies on data from banks for which NFCI amounts are significant. Therefore, the estimation sample excludes banks for which NFCI/TA lies below the 10th percentile of the historically observed distribution of the NFCI/TA ratio.

Explanatory variables and selection method

- 588. The model includes a set of macro-financial variables as regressors. These regressors are selected before estimating the model by the Least Angle Regression (LARS). The variable selection is used each time the model is updated to ensure that the best predictors are selected. Thus, only those variables selected by the LARS procedure are considered in the dynamic panel data model. The data model are considered in the dynamic panel data model.
- 589. The key macro-financial variables used as input to the variable selection procedure are the following: real GDP growth, short-term and long-term interest rates, stock market returns, residential property real price growth, consumer price index growth, and the first differences of the 5-year iTraxx-Europe index. The one-year lagged values of all these variables are also included in the initial set of explanatory variables.
- 590. A weighting scheme is applied to the country-specific macro-financial variables to account for the geographical diversification of banks. The explanatory variables are weighted for each bank using their relative exposure to each country. Therefore, the final set of macroeconomic timeseries is a composite indicator reflecting the geographical breakdown of each bank's assets.

Econometric approach

591. The model is a dynamic panel data model for the ratio of NFCI scaled by total assets. In this specification, the one-year lagged value of the dependent variable is used as an explanatory variable along with the final set of macroeconomic and financial variables selected by the LARS procedure. Therefore, the following equation is estimated,

$$NFCI/TA_{i,t} = \alpha_i + \rho \, NFCI/TA_{i,t-1} + \beta X_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,t}, \quad i=1,\dots,N; \ t=1,\dots T.$$

Where α_i is the bank-specific fixed effect and $\epsilon_{i,t}$ is the error term. The error term is assumed to be identically independent distributed (i.i.d.) and independent of the fixed effect α_i . $X_{i,t}$ is

¹⁰⁰Efron, B., Hastie, T., Johnstone, I., & Tibshirani, R. (2004). Least angle regression. The Annals of statistics, 32(2), 407-499.

 $^{^{101}}$ The set of explanatory variables includes contemporaneous, and 1-year lagged values of the macroeconomic and financial variables that form the dataset.

 $^{^{102}}$ The adjustment is not applied to the iTraxx as this variable is common across geographies.

¹⁰³ The bank specific weights for the geographical allocation of activities are constructed using data from FINREP (table F20.04). Weights are time-varying reflecting banks' geographical diversification. The weights are assumed to remain fixed over the three-year horizon of the baseline and adverse macroeconomic scenarios of the EU-wide stress test exercise in line with the static balance sheet assumption. For years before 2013, when this information is not available, weights are fixed at their 2014 value.



the set of weighted macro-financial variables selected before estimating the model by the LARS.

- 592. Following the selection of explanatory variables, the coefficients of the dynamic panel data model are estimated using the bias corrected Least Square Dummy Variable (LSDVC) estimator to account for the bias due to the presence of the lagged dependent variable and the individual fixed effects in the right-hand side of the model.
- 593. The estimated model is used to calculate scenario conditional projections of the NFCI/TA ratio bank-level over the three years of the stress test horizon. The growth rate projections for NFCI are derived from the projections of the NFCI/TA ratio using the static balance sheet assumption which implies that total assets (TA) remain constant over the scenario horizon.¹⁰⁴

Model overlay to the supervisory model

Reasoning and calibration

- 594. The projections of the supervisory model are subject to a model overlay. The model overlay is applied at the level of individual banks in the estimation sample. This approach ensures that supervisory models are prudent, plausible, while results can be comparable with past EU-wide stress test exercises. Moreover, the overlay minimizes model related risks while it ensures minimum and transparent adjustment to the output of the supervisory model.
- 595. The model overlay takes the form of a cap and a floor ("corridor") to model projections for the bank level NFCI growth rates. The overlay is applied to the cumulative NFCI growth rate projections. The resulting cap and floor are common across banks in the sample and are different for the baseline and adverse scenario. In the baseline scenario, the cap is set at 0% and the floor is set at the value of the cap of the adverse scenario. In the adverse scenario, the cap and floor ("corridor") are set at a certain range. The calibration of the cap and floors maintains the order of severity between the two scenarios of the EU-wide stress test exercise.

Application and final projections

- 596. The model-based projections of NFCI growth rates are aggregated for each bank to cumulative growth rates relatively to the starting point. The cumulative bank level growth rate projections are then compared with the scenario specific cap and floor for each scenario according to Box 33.
- 597. If the cumulative NFCI growth rates are within the scenario specific cap and floor, then the NFCI growth rates (relatively to the starting point) that are transmitted to each bank correspond to the supervisory model output for this bank. If the bank level cumulative projections are below or above these caps and floors, the projections are replaced by the cap or the floor value for

1

¹⁰⁴ Growth rates are provided relatively to the starting point.



each year of the three-year scenario horizon. In this case, the NFCI growth rates (relatively to the starting point) that are transmitted to each bank correspond to the cap or the floor.

598. For banks with no model-based projections, the final prescribed NFCI growth rate parameters are derived from the model-based projections for banks with similar characteristics or the floor of the model overlay.



Box 33: Application of the model overlay and calculation of final growth rate parameters transmitted to banks

For each bank *i* the final baseline scenario growth rates are derived from the supervisory model projections and the model overlay as follows,

$$\frac{\Delta^{h}NFCI^{final,base}_{i,2024+h}}{NFCI_{i,2024}} = \begin{cases} 0\% & if \left(\sum_{h=1}^{3} \frac{\Delta^{h}NFCI^{model,base}_{i,2024+h}}{NFCI_{i,2024}}\right) / 3 > 0\% \\ & \frac{\Delta^{h}NFCI^{final,base}_{i,2024+h}}{NFCI_{i,2024}} & if \left(\sum_{h=1}^{3} \frac{\Delta^{h}NFCI^{model,base}_{i,2024+h}}{NFCI_{i,2024}}\right) / 3 & in \left[-L^{base}\%, 0\%\right] \\ & -L^{base}\% & if \left(\sum_{h=1}^{3} \frac{\Delta^{h}NFCI^{model,base}_{i,2024+h}}{NFCI_{i,2024}}\right) / 3 < -L^{base}\% \end{cases}$$

For each bank *i* the final adverse scenario growth rates are derived from the supervisory model projections and the model overlay as follows,

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\Delta^{h}NFCI^{final,adv}{}_{i,20242+h}}{NFCI_{i,2024}} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} -U^{adv}\% & if \left(\sum_{h=1}^{3} \frac{\Delta^{h}NFCI^{model,adv}{}_{i,2024+h}}{NFCI_{i,2024}} \right) \middle/ 3 > -U^{adv}\% \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{\Delta^{h}NFCI^{model,adv}{}_{i,2024+h}}{NFCI_{i,2024}} & if \left(\sum_{h=1}^{3} \frac{\Delta^{h}NFCI^{model,adv}{}_{i,2024+h}}{NFCI_{i,2024}} \right) \middle/ 3 & in \left[-L^{adv}\%, -U^{adv}\% \right] \\ &-L^{adv}\% & if \left(\sum_{h=1}^{3} \frac{\Delta^{h}NFCI^{model,adv}{}_{i,2024+h}}{NFCI_{i,2024}} \right) \middle/ 3 < -L^{adv}\% \end{aligned}$$

Where:

- h denotes each of the three scenario years with h = 1,2,3.
- $\frac{\Delta^h NFCI_{i,2024+h}}{NFCI_{i,2024}}$ denotes the growth rate of NFCI for bank i between the end of the reference year 2024 and the scenario year 2024 + h with h=1,2,3.
- $\frac{\Delta^h NFCI^{final,adv\ or\ base}_{i,2024+h}}{NFCI_{i,2024}}$ denotes the growth rate of NFCI transmitted to bank i for each scenario and scenario period h with h=1,2,3.
- $\left(\sum_{h=1}^{3} \frac{\Delta^{h} NFCI^{model,adv\ or\ base}_{i,2024+h}}{NFCI_{i,2024}}\right)/3$ denotes the cumulative growth rate of NFCI projected by the supervisory model for bank i for each scenario.
- L^{adv} and L^{base} denote the absolute value of the floor for each scenario.
- U^{adv} denotes the absolute value of the cap for the adverse scenario.



Annex XI: Guidance on transitional adjustments under the fully loaded definition

599. The table below provides guidance on transitional arrangements under the fully loaded definition. This is a stylized table providing the main elements for ease of reference, but reference should be made to the relevant Articles of the CRR3 for full specification of the provisions and related eligibility conditions.

Table 35: Guidance on transitional arrangements under the fully loaded definition

Area	CRR3 Article	Coefficients to be applied	
Output floor factor	465(1)	Factor x = 72.5%	
Cap on output floor	465(2)	No cap to UTREA applies	
High creditworthiness unrated corporates	465(3)	RW= 100% ¹⁰⁵	
Low-risk residential real estate	465(5 to 9)	Secured part up to 55% of property value: RW=20%,	
		Unsecured: RW of the counterparty	
Securitisations ¹⁰⁶	465(13)	Article 262: ρ=0.5	
		Article 261: ρ=1	
Temporary treatment for unrealised gains and losses	468	The temporary treatment of unrealised gains and losses measured a fair value through other comprehensive income is not allowed, i.e. it not allowed to remove from the CE1 items the amount $A = a * f$, referred to paragraph 1 of Article 468.	
	495	IRB REA not allowed ¹⁰⁷	
Equity	495a(1)	RW = 250%	
	495a(2)	RW = 400%	

¹⁰⁵ Please refer to Article 122(2).

 $^{^{106}}$ Transitional adjustments in accordance with Article 465(13) are assumed constant as of at the starting point.

¹⁰⁷ Without prejudice to the grandfathering of specific exposures as per CRR 495a(3).



Area	CRR3 Article	Coefficients to be applied		
IRB Specialised Lending Exposures	495b(1)	LGD input floor without any adjustment		
SA Specialised Lending unrated high- quality object finance	495b(3)	RW = 100%		
Leasing exposures as CRM	495c(1)	Hc for "other physical collateral" unadjusted		
Unconditionally cancellable commitments	495d(1)	The applicable percentage calculate the exposure value of an unconditionally cancellable commitment is 10%		
Temporary treatment of public debt issued	500a(1)	RW as in Article 114(2), i.e. unadjusted.		
in the currency of another Member State	500a(2)	25% of Tier 1 capital		
Operational risk business indicator	314(3)	Provision on EU parent institutions, subject to safeguards, does not apply		
	314(4)	Provision, if safeguards are met, to continue to use the alternative standardised approach does not apply		

