Macroprudential policy shocks, non-bank financial intermediation and systemic risk in Europe

EBA Policy Research Workshop, Paris

Johanna Krenz (UHH) and Akhilesh K Verma (ESRI & Trinity College, Dublin)

November 6, 2024

Motivation

- Aim of macroprudential policy (MaP) is to safeguard the financial system as a whole.
- Most existing MaPs are targeted at traditional banks.
- However, the financial system is changing...

Motivation

- Aim of macroprudential policy (MaP) is to safeguard the financial system as a whole.
- Most existing MaPs are targeted at traditional banks.
- However, the financial system is changing...



Non-bank financial intermediaries (NBFIs)

Entities or activities involved in the process of credit intermediation, however, without any oversight or with significantly less stringent prudential oversight (EU Parliament, 2021).

Why should we care?

- + further funding sources and diversified financing options
- regulatory arbitrage
- complex securitization processes, high leverage, pro-cyclical
 risk-taking
- ties of NBFIs to traditional banks make a way for "spillover risk"

Non-bank financial intermediaries (NBFIs)

Entities or activities involved in the process of credit intermediation, however, without any oversight or with significantly less stringent prudential oversight (EU Parliament, 2021). GCS

Why should we care?

- + further funding sources and diversified financing options
- regulatory arbitrage
- complex securitization processes, high leverage, pro-cyclical risk-taking

 \rightarrow Leakage from regulated to unregulated actors can mute the effects of macroprudential policy, and, in the worst case, create new sources of systemic risk.

Research questions

- How effective is macroprudential policy in reducing systemic risk in the *entire* financial system?
- Is there a case of regulatory leakage to non-bank financial intermediaries (NBFI), hampering the efficiency of macroprudential policy with respect to reducing systemic risk?

Our contribution

Estimation of the effects of **macroprudential policy shocks** on the **systemic risk contributions of banks and non-banks**, respectively.

- Dataset covers approx. 600 financial institutions (160 banks, 440 NBFIs) per quarter, 20 European countries, 2005q1 to 2018q4.
- Systemic risk: ΔCoVaR = change in the value at risk of the entire financial system, conditional on single financial institutions being under distress (Adrian/Brunnermeier, 2016, AER).
- \rightarrow Allows to analyze the risk contributions of different types of financial intermediaries.
 - **Country-specific MaP shocks**: part of the change in the MaP stance which cannot be explained by fundamentals (Chari et al., 2022, JIE); different categories, e.g., shocks to borrower-based measures, shocks to lender-based measures.
- $\rightarrow\,$ Supposed to capture exogenous variation in MaP.

(no.) entities per country

descr. stats GICS assets

Preview of results

- Loan-demand targeted MaP measures significantly *reduce* systemic risk in the **entire financial system** over the first 1.5 years.
- Loan-supply targeted MaP measures significantly *raise* systemic risk for a year in **the entire financial system**; *reduces* systemic risk thereafter.
- Liquidity- and capital-targeted MaP measures also *increase* systemic risk in the **entire financial system**.
- Increased systemic risk driven by non-banks.
- \rightarrow Unintended effects of most MaP measures seems to be driven by leakage to unregulated actors.
 - Different effects for different types of NBFIs.

Related literature

- Empirical analyses of shifts of activities from banks to non-banks after MaP tightenings (= leakages): Acharya et al. (2013), Cizel et al. (2019), Acharya et al. (2020), Claessens et al. (2021), Irani et al. (2021), Braggion et al. (2022), Karapetyan et al. (2023) ...
- Theoretical analyses of leakages: Fève et al. (2019), Begenau and Landvoigt (2022), Gebauer and Mazelis (2023)
- $\rightarrow\,$ We explicitly analyze the systemic risk implications of such leakages.
 - Empirical analyses of the effects of macroprudential policies on (systemic) risk in the banking sector: Altunbas et al. (2018), Meuleman/van der Vennet (2020), Ely et al. (2021)
- \rightarrow We consider the role of MaPs for risk substitution/spillovers between banks and non-banks.

Outline of presentation

- Introduction
- Dataset
- ∆CoVaR
- MaP Shocks
- Main Estimations
- Results
- Conclusion and outlook

Dataset

- Approx. 600 financial institutions (160 banks, 440 NBFIs) per quarter, 20 European countries, 2005q1 to 2018q4
- Banking sector mean size at 30 trillion, NBFI sector mean size at 7.5 trillion.
- Daily intermediary-level market return data and yearly balance sheet data sourced from Compustat Global. GCS
- MaP measure (constructed from iMaPP database) and identified MaP shocks are at monthly frequency; data used in the estimation was provided by Chari et al., 2022.
- Macroeconomic and financial market data (quarterly frequency) from International Financial Statistics database of the IMF and Datastream Refinitiv.
- Mixed frequency of data is handled by aggregating or, respectively, extrapolating the data to *quarterly* frequency and running main estimation at quarterly frequency. descr. stats

∆CoVaR estimation (Adrian/Brunnermeier, 2016)Quantile regression of

$$egin{array}{lll} x_t^i &= & lpha^i + \gamma^i M_{t-1} + arepsilon_t^i \ x_t^{system} &= & lpha^{system|i} + eta^{system|i} x_t^i + \gamma^{system|i} M_{t-1} + arepsilon_t^{system|i}, \end{array}$$

where M_{t-1} – vector of state variables; x_t^i – daily market-valued asset returns of institution *i*; x_t^{system} – weighted average of all x_t^i .

2 Generate predicted values from these regressions to obtain

$$VaR_{t}^{i}(q) = \hat{\alpha}^{i} + \hat{\gamma}^{i}M_{t-1}$$

$$CoVaR_{t}^{i}(q) = \hat{\alpha}^{system|i} + \hat{\beta}^{system|i}VaR_{t}^{i}(q) + \hat{\gamma}^{system|i}M_{t-1}.$$

3 Compute $\Delta CoVaR_t^i$ for each institution as

CoVaR across countries

Krenz & Verma

$$\Delta CoVaR_t^i(q) = CoVaR_t^i(q) - CoVaR_t^i(50) = \hat{\beta}^{system|i}(VaR_t^i(q) - VaR_t^i(50)).$$

November 6, 2024

10 / 27

CoVaR across time

MaP shock identification (Chari et al., 2022)

- Obtain MaP stance of country *j* at quarter *t* from Integrated Macroprudential Policy (iMaPP) Database, for different categories
- Regress stance on a group of variables which potentially explain MaP decision, i.e.,

$$MaP_{jt} = \alpha_j + \beta_1 Crisis_{jt-1} + \beta_2 Credit_{jt-1} + \beta_3 Growth_{jt-1} + \beta_4 Controls_{jt-1} + \epsilon_{jt},$$

where α_j – country-fixed effects. Start with 18 explanatory variables and reduce set of variables to a combination which meets certain significance threshold.

3 Based on set of significant variables and estimated coefficients, predict macroprudential stance $(\widehat{MaP_{jt}})$ and subtract it from the actual stance. Difference yields the macroprudential policy shock for country *j* at time *t*,

$$\widetilde{MaP_{jt}} = MaP_{jt} - \widehat{MaP_{jt}}.$$



MaP categories

Not all MaP measures might be equally prone to leakage, hence, we follow common practice and classify measures into

- Demand measures loan-targeted, e.g., loan-to-value limits (LTV), debt-service-to-income ratios (DSTI),
- Supply measures loan-targeted, e.g., limits on credit growth, loan-loss provisions, loan restrictions,
- Supply measures liquidity-targeted, reserve and liquidity requirements, and
- Supply measures capital-targeted, e.g., conservation buffers, capital surcharges for SIFIs, CCvBs.

MaP types per country MaP types over time

Unconditional regression for the entire system

Two-way panel fixed effects estimations; local projections at horizons h = 0, ..., 12 (Jorda, 2005)

$$\Delta CoVaR_{ijt+h} = \beta_0^h + \beta_1^h \widetilde{MaP_{jt-1}} + \beta_i^h X_{ijt-1} + \beta_j^h Z_{jt-1} + FE + \epsilon_{it+h},$$

- $\Delta CoVaR_{ijt}$ systemic risk for bank *i* and country *j* in time period *t*
- *MaP_{jt}* country-specific MaP shock (first lag to account for implementation lags)
- *X_{ijt}* bank-level balance sheet controls
- Z_{jt} country-level macroeconomic controls
- FE: intermediary-, country- and time-fixed effects
- Bootstrapping is used to account for the fact that MaP_{jt} is an estimated regressor.

Unconditional results for the entire system (h = 0)

| | MaP Demand shock | MaP Supply shock | MaP Supply shock MaP Supply shock | |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| | (Loan) | (Loan) | (Liquidity) | (Capital) |
| L.CoVaR | 0.6214*** | 0.6213*** | 0.6262*** | 0.6212*** |
| | (0.0122) | (0.0113) | (0.0129) | (0.0116) |
| L.MaP_shock | -0.0221 | 0.1457*** | -0.0174 | -0.0103* |
| | (0.0157) | (0.0412) | (0.0293) | (0.0062) |
| L.VaR | 0.0030*** | 0.0030*** | 0.0031** | 0.0030*** |
| | (0.0008) | (0.0009) | (0.0013) | (0.0008) |
| L.ROA | -0.0072 | -0.0072 | -0.0093 | -0.0072 |
| | (0.0057) | (0.0058) | (0.0098) | (0.0053) |
| L.Size | -0.0321 | -0.0325 | -0.0224 | -0.0525 |
| | (0.0878) | (0.0872) | (0.0919) | (0.0866) |
| L.Leverage | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0001 | 0.0002 |
| | (0.0003) | (0.0003) | (0.0005) | (0.0003) |
| L.MB ratio | -0.0005 | -0.0004 | -0.0006 | -0.0005 |
| | (0.0007) | (0.0006) | (0.0011) | (0.0007) |
| L.GDP growth | 0.5500*** | 0.5611*** | 0.4123*** | 0.5512*** |
| | (0.1374) | (0.1352) | (0.1337) | (0.1341) |
| L.Inflation | 0.0133*** | 0.0130*** | 0.0137*** | 0.0134*** |
| | (0.0032) | (0.0032) | (0.0035) | (0.0033) |
| Firm fixed effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Time fixed effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Country fixed effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| R-squared | 0.4082 | 0.4091 | 0.4155 | 0.4080 |
| No of obs. | 33519.0000 | 33519.0000 | 33519.0000 | 33519.0000 |

Unconditional effects over time



Krenz & Verma

November 6, 2024 15 / 27

Main regression equation

$$\Delta CoVaR_{ijt+h} = \beta_0^h + \beta_1^h \widetilde{MaP_{jt-1}} + \beta_2^h Shadow_{ijt} + \beta_3^h \widetilde{MaP_{jt-1}} \cdot Shadow_{ijt} + \beta_i^h X_{ijt-1} + \beta_j^h Z_{jt-1} + FE + \epsilon_{it},$$

- *Shadow*_{*ijt*} dummy variable = 1 if entity is an NBFI and 0 otherwise
- FE: intermediary-, country-, time- and industry-time-fixed effects
- $\rightarrow \beta_1^h$ yields mean effect of the MaP shock on the systemic risk contribution of a bank *h* quarters ahead
- $\rightarrow \beta_1^h + \beta_3^h$ yields mean effect of the MaP shock on the systemic risk contribution of an NBFI *h* quarters ahead

Results for banks and NBFIs (h = 0)

| | MaP Demand shock | MaP Supply shock | MaP Supply shock | MaP Supply shock |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | (Loan) | (Loan) | (Liquidity) | (Capital) |
| L.CoVaR | 0.6219*** | 0.6217*** | 0.6260*** | 0.6217*** |
| | (0.0122) | (0.0112) | (0.0129) | (0.0116) |
| L.MaP_shock | -0.0491** | 0.0123 | 0.0388 | -0.0274** |
| | (0.0232) | (0.0404) | (0.0314) | (0.0136) |
| Shadow bank x L.MaP-shock | 0.0385 | 0.2166*** | -0.0957* | 0.0233 |
| | (0.0362) | (0.0782) | (0.0546) | (0.0150) |
| L.VaR | 0.0028*** | 0.0028*** | 0.0028** | 0.0028*** |
| | (0.0008) | (0.0009) | (0.0013) | (0.0008) |
| L.ROA | -0.0108* | -0.0107* | -0.0133 | -0.0109** |
| | (0.0057) | (0.0059) | (0.0100) | (0.0052) |
| L.Size | -0.0405 | -0.0420 | -0.0300 | -0.0604 |
| | (0.0896) | (0.0883) | (0.0932) | (0.0884) |
| L.Leverage | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0002 | 0.0001 |
| | (0.0003) | (0.0003) | (0.0005) | (0.0003) |
| L.MB ratio | -0.0004 | -0.0003 | -0.0006 | -0.0004 |
| | (0.0007) | (0.0006) | (0.0010) | (0.0006) |
| L.GDP growth | 0.5579*** | 0.5616*** | 0.4115*** | 0.5587*** |
| | (0.1424) | (0.1392) | (0.1337) | (0.1388) |
| L.Inflation | 0.0131*** | 0.0128*** | 0.0136*** | 0.0133*** |
| | (0.0034) | (0.0033) | (0.0036) | (0.0035) |
| Firm fixed effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Time fixed effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Country fixed effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Sector fixed effects | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Sector-time fixed effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| R-squared | 0.4082 | 0.4094 | 0.4145 | 0.4080 |
| No of obs. | 33519 | 33519 | 33519 | 33519 |
| p-value of F-test | 0.6663 | 0.0007 | 0.2115 | 0.5739 |

Effects on banks (red) and NBFIs (blue) over time



Krenz & Verma

Heterogenous NBFIs

NBFIs are very heterogenous. Not all types might be equally prone to leakage, hence, we distinguish between the following NBFIs:

- **Financial Services** (FS): predominantely engaged in providing loans, leases and mortgages to borrowers, large fraction is consumer finance
- Asset Management & Custody Banks (AMC): collect funds from savers and invest them into the liabilities of other financial intermediaries (e.g. Money Market Mutual funds)
- **Investment Banking & Brokerage** (IBB): mainly involved in the securitization and collateralization process (e.g. broker-dealers)
- **Insurers** (INSR): mainly involved in investment of insurance fees; closely monitored by ECB due to their large and increasing weight in the European financial system

GICS

assets no. entities per country

Results for disaggregated NBFIs (h = 0)

| | MaP Demand shock | MaP Supply shock | MaP Supply shock | MaP Supply shock |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | (Loan) | (Loan) | (Liquidity) | (Capital) |
| L.CoVaR | 0.6225*** | 0.6222*** | 0.6260*** | 0.6222*** |
| | (0.0122) | (0.0111) | (0.0128) | (0.0116) |
| L.MaP_shock | -0.0486** | 0.0123 | 0.0386 | -0.0268* |
| | (0.0236) | (0.0405) | (0.0308) | (0.0141) |
| FS x L.MaP-shock | 0.0915** | 0.3657* | 0.0469 | 0.0251 |
| | (0.0422) | (0.1910) | (0.0803) | (0.0205) |
| AMC x L.MaP-shock | 0.0066 | 0.2159** | -0.2083*** | 0.0171 |
| | (0.0457) | (0.0928) | (0.0736) | (0.0167) |
| IBB x L.MaP-shock | 0.0947 | 0.1851 | -0.1194 | 0.0175 |
| | (0.0598) | (0.1296) | (0.0913) | (0.0187) |
| INSR x L.MaP-shock | 0.0100 | -0.0056 | 0.1056 | 0.0407 |
| | (0.0430) | (0.0618) | (0.0820) | (0.0254) |
| Controls | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Firm fixed effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Time fixed effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Country fixed effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Sector fixed effects | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Sector-time fixed effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| R-squared | 0.4078 | 0.4092 | 0.4141 | 0.4076 |
| No of obs. | 33519.0000 | 33519.0000 | 33519.0000 | 33519.0000 |
| p-value of F-test (FS) | 0.1534 | 0.0427 | 0.2495 | 0.9126 |
| p-value of F-test (AMC) | 0.2651 | 0.0062 | 0.0122 | 0.3362 |
| p-value of F-test (IBB) | 0.3918 | 0.1190 | 0.3450 | 0.4744 |
| p-value of F-test (INSR) | 0.2560 | 0.8765 | 0.0628 | 0.5176 |







































• Loan-demand-targeted measures: significant reduction in overall systemic risk and systemic risk contribution of NBFIs over the first 1.5 years; reduction for banks only at few horizons

- Loan-demand-targeted measures: significant reduction in overall systemic risk and systemic risk contribution of NBFIs over the first 1.5 years; reduction for banks only at few horizons
- Loan-supply-targeted measures: significant increase in overall systemic risk and systemic risk contribution of NBFIs over the first year; no reduction for banks

- Loan-demand-targeted measures: significant reduction in overall systemic risk and systemic risk contribution of NBFIs over the first 1.5 years; reduction for banks only at few horizons
- Loan-supply-targeted measures: significant increase in overall systemic risk and systemic risk contribution of NBFIs over the first year; no reduction for banks
- Liquidity-targeted measures: significant increase in overall systemic risk starting about 1 year after the shock; effect on banks and non-banks different at different horizons

- Loan-demand-targeted measures: significant reduction in overall systemic risk and systemic risk contribution of NBFIs over the first 1.5 years; reduction for banks only at few horizons
- Loan-supply-targeted measures: significant increase in overall systemic risk and systemic risk contribution of NBFIs over the first year; no reduction for banks
- Liquidity-targeted measures: significant increase in overall systemic risk starting about 1 year after the shock; effect on banks and non-banks different at different horizons
- **Capital-targeted measures**: significant increase in overall systemic risk and systemic risk contribution of NBFIs at (almost) all horizons; reduction for banks only at few horizons

(Planned) robustness checks and sensitivity tests

- Including house price growth and credit growth among macro controls (hpgr & crgr)
- Core versus peripheral countries core vs. periph.
- Alternative measures of systemic risk SRISK and MES (Acharya/Browlees, 2017)
- Alternative classification of entities
- Further MaP measures, e.g., exposure limits

Conclusion and outlook

- We provide evidence that leakage to NBFIs hampers the effectiveness of MaP with respect to reducing systemic risk.
- Leakage to the NBFI sector might even increase systemic risk in the entire financial sector (capital measures).
- Results stress the importance of distinguishing between different types of measures and financial intermediaries when assessing the effectiveness of MaP.
- Results show the pressing need to find ways to broaden the regulations to also cover the NBFI sector, or, at least, certain parts of it.
- Further plan: use more granular data to study channels

Conclusion and outlook

- We provide evidence that leakage to NBFIs hampers the effectiveness of MaP with respect to reducing systemic risk.
- Leakage to the NBFI sector might even increase systemic risk in the entire financial sector (capital measures).
- Results stress the importance of distinguishing between different types of measures and financial intermediaries when assessing the effectiveness of MaP.
- Results show the pressing need to find ways to broaden the regulations to also cover the NBFI sector, or, at least, certain parts of it.
- Further plan: use more granular data to study channels

Thank you for your attention!

Appendix

Financial assets by European institutions



Krenz & Verma

$\Delta CoVaR$ – Definition

 $\Delta CoVaR_q^i$ is the difference between the value at risk of a country's financial system conditional on financial institution *i* in that country being in a distressed state and the same financial institution being in a "normal" state,

$$\Delta CoVaR_q^i = CoVaR_q^{j|x^i = VaR_q^i} - CoVaR_q^{j|x^i = VaR_5^i},$$

i.e., the marginal contribution of institution *i* to overall systemic risk of its country.

back to main

$\Delta CoVaR - Additional information$

Value at Risk (VaR_q^i) is the maximum expected loss of a financial institution (*i*) at a certain quantile (*q*),

$$Pr(x^i \leq VaR_q^i) = q,$$

where x^i represents the variable for which the VaR is calculated.

 $CoVaR^{j|x^i=VaR_q^i}$, is the VaR of the entire financial system (*j*) given the conditioning event $x_i = VaR_q^i$. It is implicitely defined by the *q*th quantile of the conditional probability distribution,

$$Pr\left(x^{j} \mid (x^{i} = VaR_{q}^{i}) \leq CoVaR_{q}^{j|x^{i}=VaR_{q}^{i}}\right) = q.$$

back to main

Classification along GICS Codes

| Industry | Sub-Industry | GICS Code | Classification |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Banks | Diversified Banks | 40101010 | Banks |
| | Regional Banks | 40101015 | Banks |
| Financial Services | Consumer Finance | 40202010 | FS |
| | Diversified Financial Services | 40201020 | FS |
| | Multi-Sector Holdings | 40201030 | FS |
| | Specialized Finance | 40201040 | FS |
| Capital Markets | Asset Management Custody Banks | 40203010 | AMC |
| Capital Markets | Investment Banking Brokerage | 40203020 | IBB |
| Insurance | Life Health Insurance | 40301020 | INSR |
| | Multi-line Insurance | 40301030 | INSR |

back to motivation

back to CoVar back to dataset back to contribution

Δ CoVaR across countries







Krenz & Verma

- Profit and loss data and financial statement data from Compustat
- Macroeconomic and financial data used in the estimations from Refinitv Datastream, International Financial Statistics (IMF), and FRED St. Louis data
- Identification of Banks and NBFIs via the Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) code. GICS

CoVaR across countries CoVaR across time

MaP types per country



Krenz & Verma

MaP types over time



back to MaP measures

| | All | | Ba | Banks | | Shadow Banks | |
|------------------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------------|--|
| | mean | sd | mean | sd | mean | sd | |
| Delta CoVaR | 0.56 | 0.73 | 0.64 | 0.72 | 0.52 | 0.73 | |
| MB ratio | 10.33 | 501.26 | 24.30 | 925.81 | 5.34 | 186.27 | |
| Leverage | 7.12 | 32.45 | 13.32 | 48.31 | 4.91 | 24.01 | |
| Return on assets | -0.14 | 5.73 | 0.00 | 0.12 | -0.19 | 6.67 | |
| Relative size | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.01 | 0.07 | |
| Observations | 33506 | | 8804 | | 24702 | | |

| 4 11 | |
|--------|--|
| - A 11 | |
| | |
| | |

| | mean | sd |
|------------------------|-------|------|
| MaP demand | 0.01 | 0.08 |
| MaP supply | 0.06 | 0.24 |
| MaP supply (Loan) | 0.01 | 0.06 |
| MaP supply (Liquidity) | 0.02 | 0.10 |
| MaP supply (Capital) | 0.04 | 0.17 |
| GDP growth | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| Inflation | 1.92 | 1.44 |
| Observations | 33506 | |



| | FS | | А | AMC | | IBB | | INSR | |
|------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|--|
| | Mean | Std dev | |
| Delta CoVaR | 0.60 | 0.71 | 0.46 | 0.70 | 0.57 | 0.82 | 0.77 | 0.80 | |
| MB ratio | 2.10 | 12.70 | 2.58 | 159.18 | 26.24 | 394.93 | 1.83 | 13.67 | |
| Leverage | 6.98 | 30.24 | 2.38 | 6.18 | 5.33 | 15.24 | 16.92 | 60.83 | |
| Return on assets | -0.05 | 0.71 | -0.24 | 8.37 | -0.12 | 2.08 | -0.17 | 3.86 | |
| Relative size | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.17 | |
| Observations | 4387 | | 14973 | | 3053 | | 2289 | | |

back

Total assets NBFIs



Number of NBFIs



15 / 23

Financial intermediaries in individual countries

| Country | Banks | Shadow banks | FS | IBB | AMC | INSR |
|----------------|-------|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|------|
| Austria | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Belgium | 3 | 17 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 1 |
| Bulgaria | 5 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Denmark | 46 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 1 |
| Finland | 3 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 1 |
| France | 20 | 32 | 7 | 3 | 20 | 2 |
| Germany | 16 | 121 | 14 | 20 | 77 | 10 |
| Greece | 10 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Hungary | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| Iceland | 2 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Ireland | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Italy | 23 | 47 | 14 | 5 | 18 | 10 |
| Netherlands | 2 | 22 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 3 |
| Norway | 31 | 13 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Poland | 18 | 76 | 19 | 14 | 41 | 2 |
| Portugal | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Romania | 3 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| Slovenia | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Spain | 18 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| United Kingdom | 19 | 278 | 45 | 27 | 190 | 16 |
| Total | 240 | 694 | 135 | 84 | 415 | 60 |

back to contr.

Total assets of financial intermediaries in individual countries (billions per quarter)

| Country | Banks | Shadow banks | FS | IBB | AMC | INSR |
|----------------|----------|-----------------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| Austria | 221.80 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Belgium | 691.06 | 549.18 | 122.72 | 179.21 | 0.01 | 247.25 |
| Bulgaria | 12.80 | 2.14 | 0.59 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 1.19 |
| Denmark | 4055.08 | 72.02 | 0.89 | 10.63 | 0.00 | 60.49 |
| Finland | 561.18 | 60.95 | 24.68 | 0.56 | 0.33 | 35.39 |
| France | 3440.47 | 1156.03 | 32.54 | 6.74 | 4.59 | 1112.16 |
| Germany | 1212.46 | 1185.12 | 3.79 | 7.33 | 4.45 | 1169.55 |
| Greece | 325.92 | 110.28 | 108.28 | 0.22 | 1.04 | 0.74 |
| Hungary | 10083.13 | 3 111.54 | 0.00 | 40.73 | 0.00 | 70.81 |
| Iceland | 4434.48 | 4544.85 | 4253.79 | 78.83 | 170.52 | 41.71 |
| Ireland | 385.83 | 1.58 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 1.28 |
| Italy | 1663.55 | 711.24 | 46.95 | 12.27 | 5.95 | 646.07 |
| Netherlands | 397.87 | 563.81 | 91.62 | 11.32 | 4.77 | 456.10 |
| Norway | 613.63 | 502.44 | 7.55 | 0.21 | 2.89 | 491.78 |
| Poland | 869.86 | 91.39 | 2.57 | 3.65 | 0.71 | 84.45 |
| Portugal | 69.04 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 |
| Romania | 80.38 | 5.95 | 0.00 | 5.85 | 0.10 | 0.00 |
| Slovenia | 9.02 | 4.02 | 0.10 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 3.26 |
| Spain | 1945.84 | 67.31 | 3.43 | 0.43 | 0.97 | 62.48 |
| United Kingdom | 2853.49 | 1411.64 | 8.88 | 239.65 | 51.10 | 1112.02 |
| Total | 33926.89 | 9 11151.94 | 4708.52 | 599.13 | 247.57 | 5596.72 |

Mean size of financial intermediaries in individual countries (billions)

| Country | Banks | Shadow banks | FS | IBB | AMC | INSR |
|----------------|----------|-----------------|---------|-------|--------|--------|
| Austria | 36.83 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Belgium | 240.29 | 43.90 | 29.39 | 29.82 | 0.01 | 247.25 |
| Bulgaria | 3.20 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.51 |
| Denmark | 145.05 | 10.02 | 0.54 | 1.49 | 0.00 | 60.49 |
| Finland | 213.33 | 11.42 | 19.05 | 0.16 | 0.33 | 35.39 |
| France | 221.64 | 49.92 | 5.76 | 0.51 | 1.67 | 556.08 |
| Germany | 113.11 | 15.11 | 0.42 | 0.14 | 0.32 | 198.96 |
| Greece | 47.45 | 15.80 | 46.25 | 0.07 | 0.79 | 0.74 |
| Hungary | 10083.13 | 35.75 | 0.00 | 11.55 | 0.00 | 70.81 |
| Iceland | 2217.24 | 304.45 | 2126.89 | 78.83 | 170.52 | 41.71 |
| Ireland | 128.26 | 0.59 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 1.28 |
| Italy | 108.95 | 25.00 | 6.34 | 1.01 | 2.18 | 98.45 |
| Netherlands | 204.89 | 45.17 | 28.55 | 1.61 | 3.13 | 262.74 |
| Norway | 29.02 | 62.18 | 1.89 | 0.14 | 2.89 | 311.53 |
| Poland | 66.85 | 1.65 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 79.13 |
| Portugal | 33.22 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 |
| Romania | 29.75 | 1.07 | 0.00 | 1.25 | 0.10 | 0.00 |
| Slovenia | 4.85 | 1.05 | 0.10 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 3.26 |
| Spain | 240.96 | 8.78 | 3.43 | 0.15 | 0.51 | 30.02 |
| United Kingdom | 311.62 | 8.11 | 0.40 | 1.90 | 2.79 | 111.55 |
| Total | 193.56 | 17.12 | 17.44 | 1.91 | 2.30 | 135.82 |

Further extensions: MaP shocks in core and periphery

| | MaP Demand shock | MaP Supply shock | MaP Supply shock | MaP Supply shock |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | (Loan) | (Loan) | (Liquidity) | (Capital) |
| L.CoVaR | 0.6263*** | 0.6263*** | 0.6290*** | 0.6259*** |
| | (0.0128) | (0.0134) | (0.0152) | (0.0130) |
| L.MaP_shock | -0.0387 | -0.0253 | 0.0795** | 0.0024 |
| | (0.0284) | (0.0186) | (0.0312) | (0.0153) |
| Shadow bank x L.MaP-shock | 0.0525* | 0.0634** | -0.0057 | 0.0052 |
| | (0.0308) | (0.0249) | (0.0246) | (0.0119) |
| Firm fixed effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Time fixed effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| R-squared | 0.4056 | 0.4057 | 0.4196 | 0.4051 |
| No of obs. | 24103 | 24103 | 13925 | 24099 |
| p-value of F-test | 0.4339 | 0.0223 | 0.0148 | 0.2733 |

| | MaP Demand shock | MaP Supply shock | MaP Supply shock | MaP Supply shock |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | (Loan) | (Loan) | (Liquidity) | (Capital) |
| L.CoVaR | 0.6452*** | 0.6445*** | 0.6446*** | 0.6452*** |
| | (0.0221) | (0.0225) | (0.0225) | (0.0225) |
| L.MaP_shock | -0.0444** | 0.0409 | 0.0078 | -0.0751*** |
| | (0.0197) | (0.0322) | (0.0479) | (0.0222) |
| Shadow bank x L.MaP-shock | 0.0787*** | 0.1211** | -0.0490 | 0.0393 |
| | (0.0249) | (0.0580) | (0.0509) | (0.0296) |
| Firm fixed effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Time fixed effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| R-squared | 0.4543 | 0.4549 | 0.4541 | 0.4547 |
| No of obs. | 8212 | 8212 | 8212 | 8212 |
| p-value of F-test | 0.0849 | 0.0045 | 0.3022 | 0.0566 |



Unconditional results for the entire system (h = 0)

| | MaP Demand shock | MaP Supply shock | MaP Supply shock | MaP Supply shock |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | (Loan) | (Loan) | (Liquidity) | (Capital) |
| L.CoVaR | 0.6056*** | 0.6056*** | 0.6047*** | 0.6055*** |
| | (0.0140) | (0.0142) | (0.0185) | (0.0144) |
| L.MaP_shock | 0.0123 | 0.0226** | 0.0455** | -0.0009 |
| | (0.0079) | (0.0101) | (0.0189) | (0.0048) |
| L.VaR | 0.0033*** | 0.0033*** | 0.0049*** | 0.0033*** |
| | (0.0007) | (0.0007) | (0.0010) | (0.0007) |
| L.ROA | -0.0151*** | -0.0151*** | -0.0216*** | -0.0151*** |
| | (0.0048) | (0.0051) | (0.0077) | (0.0045) |
| L.Size | -0.2124** | -0.2116** | -0.1959** | -0.2115** |
| | (0.1027) | (0.0988) | (0.0965) | (0.0979) |
| L.Leverage | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |
| , i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | (0.0003) | (0.0003) | (0.0004) | (0.0003) |
| L.MB ratio | -0.0006 | -0.0006 | -0.0010 | -0.0006 |
| | (0.0005) | (0.0005) | (0.0009) | (0.0005) |
| L.GDP growth | 0.2568*** | 0.2558*** | 0.1041 | 0.2571*** |
| - | (0.0862) | (0.0872) | (0.1048) | (0.0861) |
| L.Inflation | 0.0024 | 0.0023 | 0.0024 | 0.0024* |
| | (0.0015) | (0.0015) | (0.0020) | (0.0014) |
| L.Year-on-year house price growth | 0.0003 | 0.0004 | 0.0001 | 0.0003 |
| , , , | (0.0002) | (0.0002) | (0.0003) | (0.0002) |
| L.Year-on-year credit-to-gdp growth | 0.0290 | 0.0285 | 0.1120** | 0.0284 |
| | (0.0183) | (0.0182) | (0.0541) | (0.0179) |
| Firm fixed effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Time fixed effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Country fixed effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| R-squared | 0.3897 | 0.3897 | 0.4022 | 0.3897 |
| No of obs. | 33519 | 33519 | 33519 | 33519 |

Unconditional effects over time



Gray areas represent 90% confidence intervals. back

Krenz & Verma

Effects on banks (red) and NBFIs (blue) over time



Krenz & Verma

First-stage regression

| | Demand shock | Supply shock | Supply shock | Supply shock |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | (Aggregate) | (Loan) | (Liquidity) | (Capital) |
| REER growth | 0.203*** | 0.010 | | |
| | (0.074) | (0.049) | | |
| Real GDP growth | -0.225** | | | 0.334* |
| | (0.099) | | | (0.187) |
| Crisis in last 12 months | -0.025** | | | |
| | (0.013) | | | |
| Currency crisis count | 0.002** | -0.002** | 0.003** | 0.003 |
| | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.002) |
| Distance to default | | 0.001* | | 0.003** |
| | | (0.001) | | (0.001) |
| Policy rate | | -0.004*** | -0.015*** | -0.023*** |
| | | (0.001) | (0.002) | (0.005) |
| Cross border borrowing ratio | | | -0.023* | |
| | | | (0.012) | |
| Romer and Romer count | | | 0.003*** | |
| | | | (0.001) | |
| Banking crisis count | | | 0.008*** | |
| | | | (0.002) | |
| Growth forecast | | | 0.008** | |
| | | | (0.003) | |
| Romer and Romer crisis intensity | | | -0.003*** | -0.001*** |
| | | | (0.001) | (0.000) |
| Sovereign currency count | | | | 0.013** |
| | | | | (0.006) |
| Domestic credit growth | | | | 0.002*** |
| | | | | (0.001) |
| $i - i^*$ | | | | 0.011** |
| | | | | (0.005) |
| Constant | 0.009 | 0.013 | 0.051** | 0.051* |
| | (0.013) | (0.010) | (0.021) | (0.027) |
| Country fixed effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| R-squared | 0.054 | 0.050 | 0.155 | 0.085 |
| No of obs. | 1056.000 | 1056.000 | 1000.000 | 1052.000 |

